

DRAFT REPORT

2018 GAY MINE REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION DATA SUMMARY REPORT

Gay Mine Remedial Investigation Fort Hall, Idaho

Submitted to:

US EPA

Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue (ECL-115) Seattle, WA 98101

Submitted on behalf of:

FMC Corporation 2929 Walnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 J.R. Simplot Company: 1150 W. Highway 30 P.O. Box 912 Pocatello, ID 83204

Submitted by:

Golder Associates Inc.

18300 NE Union Hill Road, Suite 200 Redmond, Washington, USA 98052

+1 425 883-0777

103-93418-16.003.700

February 26, 2019

Distribution List

Joe Wallace, USEPA

Jeff Hamilton, J.R. Simplot Company

Alan Prouty, J.R. Simplot Company

Lori Hamann, J.R. Simplot Company

Dave Heineck, Summit Law Group

Jonathan Bucca, FMC

Sandi Fisher, USFWS

Kelly Wright, SBT

Susan Hanson, SBT

Randy Thompson, BIA

Colleen S. O'Hara, BLM

Julius Nwosu, USEPA

Ted Repasky, USEPA

Nick Vidargas, USEPA

Joe Goulet, USEPA

Kay Morrison, USEPA

Nikki Carlton, CH2M

Jeff Schut, CH2M

Jeremy Moore, USFWS



i

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRO	DUCTION	1
	1.1	Purpose and Scope	1
	1.2	Report Organization	2
2.0	DESC	RIPTION OF FIELD WORK COMPLETED DURING 2018	3
	2.1	Surface Water Investigations	3
	2.1.1	Surface Water Sampling Locations and Procedures	3
	2.1.2	Opportunistic Wildlife Observations During Surface Water Sampling	3
	2.1.3	Livestock Investigations	4
	2.1.4	Surface Water Flow Measurements	4
	2.2	Well Drilling and Installations	4
	2.2.1	Summary of Geologic Conditions	5
	2.2.2	Summary of Hydrogeologic Conditions	7
	2.2.2.1	Wells Formation Groundwater	8
	2.2.2.2	Dinwoody Formation Groundwater	9
	2.2.3	Well Development	9
	2.2.4	Groundwater Sampling	9
3.0	SUMM	IARY OF RESULTS	11
	3.1	Surface Water Results	11
	3.1.1	Surface Water Results Compared to Ecological Screening Levels	12
	3.1.1.1	Salamander Mortalities	12
	3.1.2	Surface Water Results Compared to Human Health Screening Levels	13
	3.2	Groundwater Results	14
	3.2.1	East Limb Wells	14
	3.2.1.1	Wells Formation Monitoring Wells	14
	3.2.1.2	Borehole EL-01	15
	3.2.2	South 40 Area Wells Formation Wells	16
	3.2.3	Southern Portion of North Limb Area	17



	3.2.4	Groundwater Results Compared to Maximum Contaminant Levels	17
	3.3	Overall Data Validation Notes	17
4.0	SUMN	MARY OF PROPOSED WORK FOR 2019	17
5.0	REFE	RENCES	19

TABLES

- Table 1.1-1: COPCs Requested for Analysis by Media
- Table 2.1-1: Surface Water Sampling Locations
- Table 2.2-1: Wells Formation Regional Groundwater Monitoring Well Details
- Table 2.2-2: Water Level Measurements for Wells Formation Groundwater Monitoring Wells
- Table 3.1-1: Surface Water Sampling Results Dissolved Fraction
- Table 3.1-2: Surface Water Sampling Results Total Fraction
- Table 3.1-3: Surface Water Sampling Results General Chemistry
- Table 3.1-4: Surface Water Sampling Results Field Parameters
- Table 3.2-1: Groundwater Sampling Results Analytical Results
- Table 3.2-2: Groundwater Sampling Results Field Parameters

FIGURES

- Figure 1.1-1: Site Location
- Figure 2.1-1: Surface Water Sampling Locations 2018
- Figure 2.2-1: Groundwater Well Locations
- Figure 2.2-2: East Limb Geology
- Figure 2.2-3: East Limb Groundwater and Pit Lake Elevations
- Figure 2.2-4: Z Pit Lake and W Pit Lake Hydrographs
- Figure 3.2-1: Piper Diagram of 2018 Groundwater Samples
- Figure 3.2-2: Piper Diagram of Borehole EL-01 and SW-040 Water Quality



iii

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Field Notes for 2018 Sampling

APPENDIX B

Surface Water and Groundwater Sampling Photographs

APPENDIX C

Monitoring Well Logs and Well Construction Diagrams

APPENDIX D

Data Validation Summary

APPENDIX E

Necropsy Summary



DRAFT iv

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ALS	ALS Environmental Laboratory
amsl	above mean sea level
ASA	Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent
bgs	below ground surface
cfs	cubic feet per second
COPC	contaminant of potential concern
DSR	Data Summary Report
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
ESL	ecological screening level
Golder	Golder Associates, Inc.
gpm	gallons per minute
HHSL	human health screening level
ISM	Incremental sampling methodology
LC ₅₀	lethal concentration for half the test organisms
MCL	maximum contaminant level
mg/L	milligrams per liter
MS/MSD	matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate
NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit
pci/l	picocuries per liter
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
QC	Quality Control
RI	Remedial Investigation
RI/FS	Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study
RIWP	Remedial Investigation Work Plan
S40	South 40
Site	Gay Mine Site
SOW	Scope of Work
SPNL	Southern Portion of the North Limb
s.u.	standard unit
TDS	total dissolved solids
TKN	total kjeldahl nitrogen
TOC	total organic carbon
Tribes	Shoshone-Bannock Tribes
TSS	total suspended solids
μg/L	microgram per liter
μS/cm	microSiemens per centimeter
USGS	United States Geological Survey



1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Data Summary Report (DSR) has been prepared by Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) on behalf of the J.R. Simplot Company and FMC Corporation ("The Companies"). The report has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent (ASA) Scope of Work (SOW) for Performance of a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) for the Gay Mine Site (Site) in southeastern Idaho.

1.1 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this DSR is to describe field activities and present results for Remedial Investigation (RI) work completed during 2018 at the Gay Mine (Figure 1.1-1). Field investigations conducted during 2018 consisted of installation of groundwater monitoring wells in the East Limb of the Site and surface water and groundwater sampling per the 2018 RI Work Plan (RIWP) addendum (Golder 2018).

An RIWP was prepared by Golder and describes the overall RI work to be performed at the Gay Mine (Golder 2014). This was implemented in phases according to approved RIWP Addenda, summarized below:

- Reconnaissance activities were performed in the fall of 2014 and used to revise the Site investigation plan as reported in the 2014 DSR (Golder 2015a). Survey work included a search for potential hazardous materials in the Headquarters Area for further investigation, general surveys of mapped overburden and mill shale piles, a geologic survey across the Site, a survey of historic groundwater wells, and mapping vegetation types across the Site.
- During 2015, the first phase of Site investigations was completed according to the 2015 RIWP Addendum (Golder 2015b). Results were presented in the 2015 DSR (Golder 2016a). This work included a geophysical survey of underground utilities and sampling of potentially hazardous materials in the Headquarters Area, sampling of surface water in the fall, sediment sampling, riparian soils collections, shallow groundwater well installation and sampling in the South 40 area, and incremental sampling methodology (ISM) and discrete soils and vegetation collection (dominant plants and selenium accumulators) from 5-acre overburden decision units, mill shale piles, the landfarm area, background areas, and haul roads.
- A 2016 RIWP Addendum (Golder 2016b) was prepared and the original Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP; Golder 2015c) was updated to reflect work in both the RIWP and the 2016 RIWP Addendum as a revised QAPP (Golder 2016c). The 2016 RIWP Addendum presents the details of the groundwater investigation in the South 40 and Southern Portion of the North Limb (SPNL) in the old Headquarters Area. One of the deep aquifer wells in the South 40 (MW-S40-3) described in the 2016 RIWP Addendum was installed and sampled during 2016, with results presented in the 2016 DSR (Golder 2017a). Additional 5-acre overburden ISM soil sampling was performed across the Site, as well as collections of dominant vegetation, riparian vegetation, selenium-accumulator vegetation, and culturally-important vegetation. Mud nest materials were collected to address avian exposures. Spring surface water was collected across the Site. All data were presented in the 2016 DSR (Golder 2017a).
- The remaining wells in the South 40 and SPNL (near the Headquarters Area) proposed in the 2016 RIWP Addendum were installed during 2017 per the 2016 RIWP Addendum (Golder 2016b) and described in the 2017 DSR (Golder 2018a). The additional sampling of culturally-significant plants in the background areas



DRAFT 1

was detailed in a memo submitted to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes (Tribes), and other agencies (Golder 2017b).

The 2018 Gay Mine Groundwater and Surface Water RIWP Addendum summarizes the field activities conducted during 2018 (Golder 2018b) for groundwater well installations in the East Limb as well as spring and fall surface water sampling across the Site.

A summary of contaminants of potential concern (COPCs) for all environmental media sampled at Gay Mine in 2018 as listed in the QAPP (Golder 2016c) is provided in Table 1.1-1. The EPA provided approval via email communications (Wallace 2018) for the groundwater monitoring well and surface water investigations per the submitted RIWP Addendum (Golder 2018b). All analytical work was performed by ALS Environmental Laboratories (ALS).

1.2 Report Organization

This DSR includes the following sections:

- Section 1.0 presents the purpose of this report.
- Section 2.0 presents a description of the field work performed during 2018.
- Section 3.0 presents a summary of the results of the field work completed during 2018.
- Section 4.0 presents a summary of data requirements based on the results of the field work to include in a RIWP Addendum for work to be implemented during 2019.
- Section 5.0 provides references cited in the report.



2.0 DESCRIPTION OF FIELD WORK COMPLETED DURING 2018

2.1 Surface Water Investigations

Two surface water sampling events were conducted in 2018 as part of the RI investigations with the objective to collect additional data to verify concentrations of COPCs in surface water during normal spring (high-flow, spring snowmelt) and fall (low-flow) hydrological conditions.

2.1.1 Surface Water Sampling Locations and Procedures

Surface water samples were collected from May 15 through May 23, 2018 to target high-flow conditions and from September 10 through 21, 2018 for low-flow conditions at the sites identified in the 2018 RIWP Addendum (Table 2.1-1; Golder 2018). A total of 46 sites were sampled during the May 2018 effort, including collection of 3 duplicates and 3 blanks. All sample locations were the same as in 2016 except for of Sites SW-008, SW-036, and SW-049. Site SW-008 was sampled approximately 300 feet downgradient of the 2016 sample location due to the higher amount of water in the area (a GPS point was collected at the sample location). Water was not observed at SW-036 at the location of the 2016 sample, however ponded water was observed about 200 feet west of the 2016 SW-036 location. A composite sample from the four quadrants of the pond was collected as SW-036 and new GPS coordinates were taken of the pond and sample location. Site SW-049 was a new site added on Lincoln Creek, upstream of Site SW-001. During the September 2018 event, all sites were revisited and a total of 36 sites had water and were sampled, with 2 duplicates and 2 blanks collected.

Figure 2.1-1 shows the surface water sample locations. All sampling efforts including daily calibration of field equipment were documented in a field notebook, presented in Appendix A. Photographs were taken at each site in the spring and fall and are presented in Appendix B.

Surface water samples were collected using two different methods dependent on type of water body, as outlined in the QAPP (Golder 2015c). For flowing water, a peristaltic pump was used. Tubing and filters were used to collect a sampled from one location and then disposed as non-hazardous waste. For pit lake and pond sites, disposable NalgeneTM containers provided by the analytical laboratory were used to collect four subsamples of surface water from four quadrants of the water body. This sample was mixed in the NalgeneTM bottle and then the peristaltic pump was used to fill sample bottles.

No decontamination was required as all sampling materials used were disposable and only used for one sampling event. A field blank for unfiltered samples and an equipment blank for filtered samples were collected for surface water samples to represent field conditions and to establish if the dedicated equipment contributed any contamination. These blanks were collected at a frequency of 1 in 20 as described in Section 2.5 of the QAPP (Golder 2015c).

2.1.2 Opportunistic Wildlife Observations During Surface Water Sampling

Animals observed during water sampling include the following species:

- Red Tail Hawk (Buteo jamaicensis)
- Coyote (Canis latrans)
- Mule deer (Odocoileus hemionus)
- Rocky Mountain Elk (Cervus canadensis nelsoni)



DRAFT 3

- Salamander (Ambystoma tigrinum)
- Swallow, likely cliff swallow (Petrochelidon pyrrhonota)
- Unidentified bird species including water fowl, song birds, and grouse
- Small unidentified fish
- Unidentified frog
- Unidentified snake
- Unidentified swimming rodent

In addition, cattle and signs of cattle were observed at many of the locations in both the spring and fall sampling events.

2.1.3 Livestock Investigations

Danielle Gunn of the University of Idaho, Agricultural Extension Educator (Fort Hall, ID) examined two cows as part of the Livestock Investigation Protocol (Golder 2017c). The first was a dead calf in the Headquarters Area on September 19. She determined that this calf was most likely hit by a vehicle due to bruising in the chest cavity, and there was no external evidence of selenium toxicity (Gunn 2018a). Samples of liver tissue and rumen contents were shipped to the Poisonous Plant Laboratory for analysis. The necropsy results were provided in an email by Ms. Gunn on October 23, 2018 (Gunn 2018c). Dr. Jeff Hall wrote the necropsy summary for the Poisonous Plant Lab which found that most of the mineral concentrations in the bovine liver sample were in the expected normal range except for lower than normal copper and selenium which was higher than the threshold for clinical signs of chronic selenosis, but well below the threshold for acute effects. This report is included in Appendix E for reference. The other cow investigated was a potentially blind calf that she examined in the afternoon of October 4, 2018. She determined that the calf had limited eyesight and was suffering from some sort of viral infection not related to Gay Mine metals or poisonous plants (Gunn 2018b).

2.1.4 Surface Water Flow Measurements

Surface water flow measurements were collected at Sites SW-018, 019, 020, 021, 023, 024A, 031, 034, and 049 during both events. Channel geometry and flow velocities were measured in the field to calculate estimated flow rates. These measurements were recorded in the field forms and in the field notebooks (Appendix A). With the exception of SW-034, the flows measured in May were higher than the September measurements. Sites SW-018, 019, 020, and 049 (on Ross Fork, Big Spring, and Lincoln Creek) had the highest flows for both events, measuring 17.3 to 41.1 cubic feet per second (cfs) in May and 6.5 to 30.5 cfs in September. The lowest flows in May were measured at Sites SW-021, 031, and 034 (Jeff Cabin Creek, Portneuf River, and Bakers Creek) at 2.3 to 4.6 cfs. The lowest flows measured in September were at Sites SW-021, 024A, and 031(Jeff Cabin Creek, Portneuf River, and Bakers Creek) between 1.05 to 2.96 cfs. SW-034 had a measured flow of 2.7 cfs in May and 3.8 cfs in September, indicating a slight increase in flow from the May to September event. Flow measurements are listed in Table 3.1-4.

2.2 Well Drilling and Installations

The groundwater investigations at the Site are being conducted using a phased approach. Phases 1 and 2 of groundwater investigations in the South 40 Area and Phase 1 in the SPNL Area were completed between 2015 and 2017. The 2018 drilling program consisted of a Phase I groundwater investigation of the East Limb Area.



DRAFT 4

The purpose was to characterize occurrence, flow direction, and water quality of the Wells Formation regional groundwater system. The objective was to advance and install three monitoring wells in the Wells Formation in the East Limb Area, as described below. Also, in 2018, water quality samples were collected from the existing Wells Formation monitoring wells in the South 40 and SPNL areas (Section 3.2).

The well drilling and construction in 2018 generally followed a similar methodology as used between 2015 and 2017. The wells were drilled and installed by Thomas Drilling, Inc. (Afton, Wyoming) using a Schramm Fury 120 air-rotary drill rig. A Golder field geologist was onsite to provide oversight and direction. The boreholes were logged by a Golder geologist by inspecting drill cuttings, monitoring drill action, and communicating with the driller.

Two of the three borings were completed as monitoring wells MW-EL-02 and MW-EL-03. Boring EL-01 was terminated at 445 feet in the Dinwoody Formation. No well was installed in this boring, as detailed in Section 2.2-2. Monitoring wells MW-EL-02 and MW-EL-03 were constructed in accordance with Shoshone-Bannock Tribal Water Resources well construction regulations (Shoshone-Bannock 2013). MW-EL-02 was constructed entirely with 4-inch diameter stainless steel casing due to the relative shallow static water level in this well (approximately 70 feet below ground surface [bgs]). MW-EL-03 was constructed with 4-inch diameter carbon steel casing from the ground surface to 165 feet bgs (within approximately 140 feet of the static water table) and stainless steel casing and screen was used below this depth to protect the integrity of the water quality samples. A 20-slot interval (0.020 inch) was used for the well screens and the gravel pack was Colorado 10x20 silica sand in both wells. The wells were sealed using bentonite and cement grout.

The well locations are shown on Figure 2.2-1. Well completion details are provided in Table 2.2-1. Detailed well logs and well construction diagrams for the two wells completed in 2018 are included Appendix C. The drilling and well completion details at individual wells are provided below.

2.2.1 Summary of Geologic Conditions

The geologic conditions of East Limb Area consist of a complex assemblage of sedimentary formations found elsewhere on the Gay Mine Site. Previous site investigations (Corbett 1980) and existing geologic maps (Mansfield 1927) indicate the following formations (from youngest to oldest these formations) may be present in the East Limb: recent alluvium and aeolian deposits, Salt Lake Formation (mixed deposits), Thaynes Formation limestone, Dinwoody Formation shale and mudstone, Phosphoria Formation (primarily) shale, and Wells Formation (primarily) limestone. The phosphate ore that was mined during operations was found in the Meade Peak member of the Phosphoria Formation. There are no overturned beds in the East Limb Area, meaning the Wells Formation underlies the Phosphoria Formation throughout the area.

The two primary geologic structures in the East Limb Area are the Central Gay Mine fault that runs southeast to northwest along the northern portion of the East Limb Area and a north-northwest trending syncline on the east side of the East Limb Area. The Central Gay Mine fault is a tear fault that runs through most of the Gay Mine Site and, in the East Limb Area, may form an impermeable barrier between the East Limb and the Gay Mine syncline to the north (Corbett 1980). The East Limb geology is shown on Figure 2.2-2 using a regional geologic map developed by the United States Geological Survey (USGS). As noted below, the 2018 groundwater investigation identified localized inaccuracies in the USGS geologic map (in the vicinity of borehole EL-01) but it is included in this report to illustrate the general geologic conditions of the area.

There are a series of northwest trending normal faults in the area, which may control the outcrops of Phosphoria Formation in the East Limb. The mining activity was focused in areas with outcropping Phosphoria Formation (Figure 2.2-2). The USGS map shows the Wells Formation exposed at ground surface in small areas adjacent to



the Phosphoria formation outcrops. The Phosphoria Formation is surrounded to the west and east by the younger Dinwoody and Thaynes Formations.

The geologic conditions encountered in each borehole are summarized below and detailed on the well logs (Appendix C). Well locations are shown on Figure 2.2-1. The geologic conditions vary between the borehole locations with the EL-01 and EL-03 boreholes intersecting younger geologic formations. The EL-02 borehole intersected Wells Formation bedrock near ground surface because the drill location was in the bottom of the former U Pit. Monitoring wells MW-EL-02 and MW-EL-03 were completed in Wells Formation bedrock. The Wells Formation is a mix of sandstone, siltstone dolomite, and limestone beds ranging from very hard to loose/fractured. Groundwater was typically found in loose or fractured zones. No monitoring well was completed in the EL-01 borehole. Details for each boring are provided below.

- EL-01: Boring EL-01 was drilled within overburden to the east of the BB and II pits within the toe of the external overburden disposal area, approximately 1,500 feet east of II-2 Pit. The geologic conditions encountered during drilling consisted of approximately 25 feet of unconsolidated overburden material followed by semi-consolidated to consolidated siltstone and shale. The borehole was drilled to a depth of 445 feet bgs. The entire sequence encountered during drilling (except for the upper unconsolidated overburden) was interpreted to be part of the Dinwoody Formation. Groundwater in borehole EL-01 was initially intersected between approximately 60 and 65 feet bgs, occurring in a zone of predominantly fractured shale. Additional water bearing zones in the Dinwoody Formation were encountered as drilling continued to 445 feet bgs (see Section 2.2.2). The geologic conditions encountered during drilling differed from the regional geologic maps, which showed Phosphoria Formation at ground surface. Geologic interpretation of the drill cuttings during drilling suggested that the Dinwoody Formation in the vicinity of borehole EL-01 could approach a thickness of 800 to 1,000 feet. This is supported by Corbet (1980), which stated that the Dinwoody Formation can be up to 1.100 feet thick in some locations, with up to 500 feet of Phosphoria Formation below the Dinwoody Formation and above the upper unit of the Wells Formation. Based on the apparent steeply dipping (possibly in the range of 30°) strata in the area of borehole EL-01, the potential depth to reach the Wells Formation was estimated at 1,500 feet. Because the potential depth of the well far exceeded the planned depth, it was not feasible to install a monitoring well in the Wells Formation at this location and the boring was terminated at 445 feet bgs (Golder 2018c).
- MW-EL-02: MW-EL-02 was drilled in the bottom of the U-3 Pit, which is located on the north side of the East Limb. The upper 45 to 50 feet of the MW-EL-02 borehole was unconsolidated material or broken rock, potentially overburden material associated with the U-3 Pit. The borehole intersected competent Wells Formation bedrock at 50 feet bgs with layers of sandstone, limestone, and dolomite. A water bearing zone was encountered at approximately 100 to 105 feet bgs during drilling and the borehole was terminated at a depth of 125 feet bgs. Static groundwater recovered to approximately 75 feet bgs.
- MW-EL-03: MW-EL-03 was drilled approximately 1,200 feet west of the HH pits on unmined ground. Consolidated bedrock was encountered at approximately 10 feet bgs and consisted of shale, limestone, and siltstone with some sandstone, interpreted to be part of the Dinwoody Formation. The Phosphoria Formation was encountered at approximately 222 feet bgs, interpreted by Corbett (1980) to be the Cherty Shale member of the Phosphoria formation. The Meade Peake member of the Phosphoria formation was intersected at approximately 325 feet bgs and continued until approximately 590 feet bgs. Groundwater was encountered in the Cherty Shale but not the Meade Peak (Section 2.2.2). Wells Formation bedrock began at approximately 595 feet bgs and continued until the boring was terminated at 636 feet bgs. Wells Formation



groundwater was encountered at the top of the formation and static water level was measured at approximately 310 feet bgs following well completion. Groundwater was also encountered in the Dinwoody Formation during drilling (Section 2.2.2).

2.2.2 Summary of Hydrogeologic Conditions

Groundwater was encountered in the Dinwoody Formation, the Cherty Shale member of the Phosphoria Formation, and the Wells Formation during the 2018 East Limb Groundwater investigations. Groundwater in the Dinwoody Formation and Cherty Shale are likely part of groundwater flow systems that are isolated from the Wells Formation regional groundwater system. No groundwater was encountered in the Meade Peake member of the Phosphoria Formation (i.e., lower portion of the Phosphoria Formation), indicating low-permeability material that acts as a groundwater flow barrier, as has previously observed (e.g., Corbet 1980). Two monitoring wells were completed in the Wells Formation (MW-EL-02 and MW-EL-03). Borehole EL-01 was abandoned in accordance with tribal well abandonment procedures because the geologic conditions encountered during drilling indicated that it was not feasible to install a well at the location of borehole EL-01 in accordance with the objectives of the study plan (Section 2.2.1). The hydrogeologic conditions encountered in each borehole/monitoring well are summarized below.

- Borehole EL-01: Groundwater was encountered in the Dinwoody Formation at a depth of approximately 60 feet bgs. Additional discrete water bearing zones within the Dinwoody Formation were intersected at depths of approximately 125 feet bgs, 170 feet bgs, 275 feet bgs, and 330 feet bgs and these zones occurred in areas of weaker or fractured rock. Water production rates (i.e., the rate of borehole discharge from the airrotary drilling system) was estimated at over 300 gallons per minute (gpm) at the end of drilling, although production rates decreased during drilling in areas with more competent rock. Static water levels, which were measured inside the cased borehole during and after drilling, remained between approximately 50 and 55 feet bgs. The final static water level was 54 feet bgs, which was collected after the borehole was advanced to total depth (445 feet bgs) and the casing was pulled up several feet to prevent plugging. A static water level of 54 feet bgs translates to a groundwater elevation of approximately 5,880 feet. The relatively consistent static groundwater levels, along with existing information of the hydrogeologic properties of the Dinwoody Formation (e.g., Corbet 1980), indicate that groundwater encountered throughout borehole EL-01 is a continuous, hydraulically connected groundwater system with variable permeability. Groundwater levels during drilling only varied slightly. This indicates that vertical gradients within the Dinwoody Formation at this location are low and there is good hydraulic connection between vertical intervals within the Dinwoody Formation. The hydraulic connection between vertical intervals is facilitated primarily by fracture zones.
- Monitoring Well MW-EL-02: As discussed above, Wells Formation bedrock was intersected near the top of MW-EL-02 and was the only bedrock encountered during the drilling of this well. Groundwater was first encountered at approximately 100 feet bgs and drilling was terminated at 125 feet bgs. The well was completed with a screened interval from 90 to 120 feet bgs. The static groundwater level after well development was approximately 77 feet bgs or 5,738 feet elevation, as determined from well survey data collected after the well was installed.
- Monitoring Well MW-EL-03: During the drilling of MW-EL-03, groundwater was encountered in the Dinwoody Formation, the Cherty Shale member of the Phosphoria Formation, and the Wells Formation. Little to no groundwater was encountered in the Meade Peake member of the Phosphoria Formation. Groundwater in the Dinwoody Formation was first encountered at approximately 60 feet bgs and production rates increased slightly at approximately 150 feet bgs but production rates were 0.5 gpm or less throughout



the Dinwoody Formation. The water level in the Dinwoody Formation was approximately 60 feet bgs, or approximately 6,000 feet elevation. Groundwater was encountered near the top of the Cherty Shale, at approximately 230 feet bgs. The production rate was less than 2 gpm but increased to approximately 30 gpm at 310 feet bgs. During drilling, a grout plug was set at the base of the Phosphoria Formation to isolate upper groundwater systems from the Wells Formation groundwater, and the monitoring well was sealed at the top of the Wells Formation to seal off the overlying groundwater. The top of the Wells Formation was intersected at approximately 596 feet bgs and groundwater was encountered near the top of the formation. The borehole was drilled to a total depth of 636 feet bgs and the well was screened from 610 to 630 feet bgs. The static groundwater level after well development was approximately 311 feet bgs or 5,750 feet elevation, as determined from well survey data collected after the well was installed.

2.2.2.1 Wells Formation Groundwater

The Wells Formation static groundwater elevations in MW-EL-02 and MW-EL-03 were approximately 5,738 feet and 5,750 feet, respectively, following well development. Figure 2.2-3 compares these groundwater elevations to those measured in the W Pit (5,742 feet) and Z Pit (5,738 feet) in September 2018. Previous studies have suggested that the Wells Formation groundwater discharges at the bottom of the pits and is a primary source of water in these pit lakes (Corbet 1980). The W Pit elevation provides a third point with MW-EL-02 and MW-EL-03 to triangulate the flow direction in the Wells Formation, which suggests northeasterly flow in the East Limb Area towards the Central Gay Mine fault.

The Z Pit water elevation was approximately 4 feet lower than the W Pit in September 2018. Water level sensors (i.e., pressure transducers) were installed in the W and Z Pits in June 2016. The sensor data were converted to water elevations from survey data collected in 2018 and are shown on Figure 2.2-4. The figure shows that changes in water elevations in the W and Z Pits follow a similar pattern, suggesting a common hydrogeologic source between the pit lakes. The Z Pit water elevations have been consistently lower than the W Pit elevations. Over the period of transducer record (June 2016 through July 2018), the average elevation of the W Pit was 5,739.04 feet compared to 5,735.09 feet in the Z Pit. Over this period, water levels in W Pit were 2.6 to 5.2 feet higher than the Z Pit. The lower elevation of the Z Pit, when compared to the W Pit and the East Limb Wells Formation monitoring wells, indicates there is a potential hydrologic divide or barrier between the Z Pit and the W Pit.

A total of six monitoring wells (three in each area) were completed in the Wells Formation in the South 40 and SPNL areas of the Gay Mine Site between 2016 and 2017. Water levels were measured in these wells in 2018 during groundwater sampling. Groundwater elevations in the SPNL wells ranged between approximately 5,056 and 5,079 feet in 2018 and groundwater elevations in the South 40 wells ranged between approximately 5,175 and 5,250 feet in 2018. The East Limb groundwater elevations were roughly 500 to 700 feet higher than the groundwater elevations measured in the SPNL or South 40 areas. Groundwater flow in the East Limb appears to be northeasterly based on the available data. The large groundwater elevation difference between the East Limb and the South 40 and SPNL areas suggests that the East Limb groundwater is hydrologically separate from the South 40 and SPNL areas. This is supported by the difference in interpreted groundwater flow systems which indicates that the East Limb groundwater system is not connected to the SPNL or South 40 groundwater systems. Groundwater flow in the South 40 (northern section) and SPNL areas is northerly (Golder 2018a). Water level measurements from all Wells Formation monitoring wells are provided in Table 2.2-2.

DRAFT



2.2.2.2 Dinwoody Formation Groundwater

Dinwoody Formation groundwater was encountered in MW-EL-03 and borehole EL-01. The Dinwoody Formation is discontinuous in the East Limb Area, as evidenced by the exposures of Phosphoria and Wells Formation (older formations) in the central portion of the East Limb, where the mine pits were excavated. MW-EL-03 is located on the west side of the East Limb and borehole EL-01 is located on the east side of East Limb, indicating the Dinwoody Formation groundwater areas intersected during drilling at these locations are isolated from one another. Only minor quantities of groundwater (0.5 gpm or less) were encountered in the Dinwoody Formation during the drilling of MW-EL-03. The flow direction and discharge point of Dinwoody Formation groundwater in the vicinity of MW-EL-03 is unknown.

Much higher production rates (estimated at 300 gpm or higher in certain zones) were encountered in the Dinwoody Formation during the drilling of borehole EL-01. Dinwoody Formation groundwater appears to discharge to springs in this area. There are several springs that occur in the vicinity of borehole-EL-01 (Figure 2.2-1). A geochemical comparison of samples collected from borehole EL-01 and spring SW-040 indicates that the water in these locations is derived from a common source (Section 3.2.1.2).

2.2.3 Well Development

Wells MW-EL-03 and MW-EL-02 were developed by Thomas Drilling in late July and late September 2018, respectively. The wells were developed by surging and pumping with a submersible pump. The pumping rates and measured drawdown during development provide some preliminary information on the hydraulic properties at each well. Details are provided below.

- MW-EL-02: The well was pumped for 5.5 hours at 4 gpm (826 gallons total) and the measured drawdown was less than one foot. Turbidity was measured at less than 10 nephelometric turbidity units (NTU). Elevated pH (9.69 to 11.85 standard units [s.u.]) was observed during the initial development of this well. The well was subsequently pumped 2 more times at much higher volumes: (1) approximately 4,300 gallons were purged over 6.5 hours on September 10, 2018, and (2) approximately 5,000 gallons were purged over about 7 hours on September 14, 2018. Total drawdown during these pumping periods was less than 2 feet. In both instances the pH dropped over the course of pumping but then increased after pumping ceased. When low-flow sampling was performed on October 18, 2018, the pH was 12.25 s.u. The elevated pH, along with the water quality results, suggests that cement grout associated with the monitoring well construction is in contact with the well screen (Section 3.2.1.1). The decline in pH during pumping indicates the elevated pH is a near-well issue and not indicative of the groundwater system.
- MW-EL-03: The well was pumped for 60 minutes at 40 to 50 gpm and the measured drawdown was about 15 feet. During development, the submersible pump was moved through the screen interval and the development water became visibly clear. During low-flow sampling, the turbidity of the purge water was 1.41 NTU.

2.2.4 Groundwater Sampling

The initial plan for sampling was to purge three casing volumes from the monitoring wells with a submersible pump and then collect samples using dedicated disposable bailers. However, the sampling method was revised due to: (1) high turbidity levels in the initial sample that was collected with the bailer method, and (2) difficulty retrieving the bailers because of friction generated between the bailer and steel well casing. Instead, dedicated bladder pumps were installed in all 8 Wells Formation monitoring wells in October and the wells were sampled using low-flow sampling methods. Groundwater quality samples were collected in October 2018 from:



- Phase 2 South 40 Wells (MW-S40-1, MW-S40-2, and MW-S40-3)
- Phase 1 SPNL Wells (MW-SPNL-1 and MW-SPNL-3)
- Phase 1 EL Wells (MW-EL-02 and MW-EL-03).

No analytical sample was collected from MW-SPNL-2 due to a pump and/or tubing malfunction that could not be resolved before this location became inaccessible in late fall 2018. Additionally, an incomplete sample set (short 3, 1-liter bottles for radiochemical analyses) was collected from Well MW-EL-03 due to a tubing malfunction. We anticipate replacing the tubing in these two wells in the spring or summer of 2019.

One duplicate sample was collected for the organic and inorganic analyses, meeting the 1 in 20 sample frequency provided in the QAPP Section 2.5 (Golder 2015c). The wells were purged and sampled with dedicated QED Environmental Systems-brand bladder pumps and compressed nitrogen gas using standard low-flow sampling methods. Filtered and unfiltered samples were collected. Samples were filtered in the field using the bladder pump. Field parameters were collected and recorded throughout the development and purging processes. Decontamination procedures were followed as described in the 2015 QAPP (Attachment A, TG-1.2-20). Analytical methods and results are provided on Table 3.2-1 for the inorganic analytes and Table 3.2-2 for the field-collected parameters. The analytical parameters that were tested are provided in Table 1.1-1.



3.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

This section provides a summary and discussion of the sample results. A data validation summary and review are provided in Appendix D.

3.1 Surface Water Results

Surface water samples were collected from 46 sites in May 2018 and 36 sites in September 2018. For quality control purposes duplicates, matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) volumes, and blanks were collected for each event, three sets in May and two sets in September. No decontamination was required for this sampling effort as all materials used were disposable. Surface water samples consisted of filtered and unfiltered samples. Filtered samples were analyzed for dissolved analytes and unfiltered samples were evaluated for total analyte analysis. Results are presented in Tables 3.1-1 and 3.1-2, for total and dissolved fractions respectively, along with the ecological screening levels (ESLs) and human health screening levels (HHSLs) for comparison. General water chemistry results are provided in Table 3.1-3. *In-situ* measurements for conductivity, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, pH, temperature, turbidity, and flow were collected at all water sample sites and are presented in Table 3.1-4.

The tables also provide a description of the waterbody types. Categories were made more inclusive and anything referred to as a seep is listed as a spring as they are very similar hydrologic features. Ponds are distinguished from pit lakes as they were not formed from mining operations or were naturally occurring. Only one river (Portneuf) is present in the study area, all other flowing waters are listed as streams, unless otherwise designated as springs.

Overall, there is high variability between sites and between spring and fall 2018 sampling results at individual sites (Tables 3.1-1 and 3.1-2). A brief summary of the results by water type are provided below.

- Pit Lakes: The O,P Pit (SW-003) Lake had the highest total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations at 1,000 milligrams per liter (mg/L; Spring 2018) and 1,780 mg/L (Fall 2018). TDS concentrations at the other Pit Lakes ranged from 339 to 825 mg/L. The highest total selenium concentrations were measured in the A12 Pit Lake (SW-013; 86.8 microgram per liter [μg/L] in Spring 2018 and 82.6 μg/L in Fall 2018). The JD/JF Pit Lake (SW-016) showed the most variability between spring and fall sampling with 71.5 μg/L total selenium in the spring sample and 24.3 μg/L in the fall sample. Similarly, total selenium in the O,P Pit Lake (SW-003) ranged between 48.5 μg/L in the spring and 18.9 μg/L in the fall. At the East Limb Pit Lakes, total selenium concentrations ranged between 27.1 μg/L (spring) and 29.7 μg/L (fall) at the Z Pit, while the W Pit had much lower total selenium concentrations (1.4 μg/L in spring and 0.9 μg/L in fall). Total Manganese concentrations were below 50 μg/L at the A12 Pit Lake (SW-013), JD/JF Pit Lake (SW-016), and the Z Pit Lake (SW-025). Total manganese concentrations at the O,P Pit Lake (SW-003) ranged from 231 μg/L to 463 μg/L, with the highest concentrations occurring in the fall. Total manganese concentrations at the W Pit (SW-029) ranged from 212 μg/L (spring) to 119 μg/L (fall).
- **Springs:** Water quality was variable between the springs. The highest TDS concentrations were measured in the fall at SW-036 (1,760 mg/L), with a lower concentration (578 mg/L) in the spring. TDS concentrations at all other sites ranged between 103 mg/L and 907 mg/L. The highest total manganese concentrations were also measured in the fall at SW-036 (14,200 μg/L). Six sites had manganese concentrations below 50 μg/L in both the spring and fall sample. The highest total selenium concentrations were measured at SW-040 (46.2 μg/L in spring and 63.4 μg/L in fall) and SW-48 (43.7 μg/L in spring and 28.8 μg/L in fall).



DRAFT 11

Total selenium at Queedup Springs was 8.2 μ g/L (spring) and 9.1 μ g/L (fall). Total selenium concentrations at all other springs were below 5 μ g/L.

- Ponds: TDS concentrations in the Ponds ranged from 258 mg/L (SW-004A in spring) to 1,070 mg/L (SW-012 in fall). Total selenium concentrations were below 5 μg/L at pond sites in both the spring and fall, although spring and fall samples from SW-004A and SW-012 were above 3.1 μg/L dissolved selenium screening level for lentic systems. Only the spring sample from SW-010 and SW-011 had dissolved selenium above 3.1 μg/L. Total manganese concentrations above 50 μg/L were found in the spring and fall samples from SW-010, SW-012, and SW-030. At SW-004A, only the fall sample was above 50 μg/L; while at SW-011, only the spring sample exceeded the screening criteria.
- **Creeks**: TDS concentrations in the creeks ranged from 867 mg/L at SW-015 (Willow Creek) in the spring to 96 mg/L at SW-019 (Ross Fork) in the spring. Total selenium concentrations were below 5 μg/L at all creek sites during both the spring and fall. Dissolved selenium concentrations exceeded the lotic screening value of 1.5 μg/L at 4 creek sites in the spring (SW-015, SW-002, SW-037, and SW-049), and only SW-049 in the fall. Total manganese concentrations were below 50 μg/L at the majority of the sites; only SW-018 (spring), SW-002 (spring), SW-015 (fall), and SW-035 (spring and fall) had total manganese concentrations greater than 50 μg/L.
- Portneuf River: TDS concentrations ranged from 273 mg/L to 622 mg/L at 3 monitoring stations on the Portneuf River (SW-023, SW-024A, and SW-031). Total and dissolved selenium concentrations were below screening levels in all samples and total manganese concentrations were below 50 μg/L in all but one sample (spring sample at SW-031).

Similar patterns between total and dissolved constituents are evident in most samples. Consistent with previous sampling, there is no definitive pattern between onsite and offsite sampling locations; where samples from both areas may have exceedances for both ESLs and HHSLs.

3.1.1 Surface Water Results Compared to Ecological Screening Levels

Dissolved arsenic, barium, and boron exceeded ESLs at all sampled locations both on and off Site. The highest dissolved arsenic concentrations were measured in W Pit Lake (SW-029), with the highest concentrations (57.5 µg/L) measured in the fall at this location. Concentrations of dissolved cadmium, copper, magnesium, manganese, nickel, silver, uranium, vanadium, and zinc exceeding the ESL were measured at several locations, all on disturbed or downgradient sites. Dissolved calcium, selenium, and uranium were detected at concentrations greater than the ESL in both disturbed/downgradient sites as well as background/upgradient locations; however, the highest concentrations were measured in disturbed/downgradient sites.

3.1.1.1 Salamander Mortalities

There were more salamander mortalities observed in 2018 than in the previous rounds of surface water sampling in 2015 and 2016. Following is a summary of the locations that had salamander mortalities, along with the corresponding concentration of dissolved selenium:

- SW-010 (spring): Se = 3.3 μ g/L. SW-010 (fall): Se = 0.6 μ g/L. This location is one of the ponds above the A-12 Pit in the Headquarters Area.
- SW-011 (spring): Se = $2.4 \mu g/L$. SW-011 (fall): Se = $0.6 \mu g/L$. This location is one of the ponds above the A-12 Pit in the Headquarters Area.



SW-013 (spring): Se = 86.1 μ g/L. SW-013 (fall): Se = 81.7 μ g/L. This location is the A-12 Pit lake in the Headquarters Area.

- SW-016 (fall): Se = 24.4 µg/L. This location is the JD/JF Pit lake in the South 40 Area.
- SW-025 (fall): Se = 29.8 μ g/L. This location is the Z Pit lake in the East Limb.
- SW-041 (spring): Se = 1.9 μ g/L. This location is a spring catch basin northeast of II-2 overburden area in the East Limb.
- SW-042 (spring): Se = $0.8 \mu g/L$. This location is a spring catch basin northeast of AA-2 overburden area in the East Limb.

Live salamanders were observed in the following locations:

- SW-013 (spring): Se = 86.1 μg/L.
- SW-016 (fall): Se = 24.4 μg/L.
- SW-037 (fall): Se = 0.6 μg/L. This location is in Willow Creek downstream of the South 40 Area.

The salamanders were observed most often in the larger bodies of water, which are the pit lakes at this site that generally have higher concentrations of selenium than the surrounding springs or streams. Live salamanders were observed in the same location as dead ones in the A-12 Pit in the spring and the JD/JF Pit in the fall. To put these numbers into context with some literature values, Oleander (2003) reports a 7-day lethal concentration for half the test organisms (LC $_{50}$) for the South African clawed frog (*Xenopus laevis*) at 456 μ g/L and a 7-day LC $_{50}$ for narrow-mouthed toad (*Gastrophryne carolinensis*) embryos as 90 μ g/L. This is not intended to be a thorough research report on selenium toxicity to amphibians, nor imply that selenium is the only possible stressor, rather is presented to give context to the concentrations of dissolved selenium measured at the time of the salamander mortalities. There are many other potential explanations for the observed mortalities, including issues unique to ephemeral ponds such as fluctuating temperatures, fluctuating concentrations of other water quality parameters (including dissolved oxygen), and eventual crowding of individuals which may increase the rate of transmission of certain infectious agents such as iridovirus, which has been implicated in large-scale die-offs of the United States western tiger salamanders (USGS 2000). The issue of amphibian mortalities in the ponds at the Site will be discussed in greater detail in the Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment.

3.1.2 Surface Water Results Compared to Human Health Screening Levels

Human health exceedances are only related to total fractions of metals, general chemistry, and field parameters. No HHSLs apply to the dissolved fraction results.

Total arsenic and boron exceeded HHSLs at all sampled locations both on and off site. Total cadmium, hexavalent chromium, cobalt, copper, lead, molybdenum, nickel, silver, and thallium were in exceedance at some locations, all on disturbed or downgradient sites in the eastern and northern areas of the Site. Concentrations of total iron, manganese, and selenium exceeding the screening level were detected in numerous sites including both disturbed/downgradient sites as well as background/upgradient sites. Many sites at both disturbed and background areas are also in exceedance for iron. Iron appeared to be elevated at streams in the spring and at lakes/ponds in the fall.



3.2 Groundwater Results

Groundwater samples were collected between October 17 and October 22, 2018. Water quality samples were collected from Wells Formation wells in the South 40, the SPNL, and the East 40 areas. Both total and filtered samples were collected. Results are presented in Table 3.2-1 along with comparisons to HHSLs and are discussed below.

Groundwater analysis results are compared to both maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) and HHSLs for groundwater. The MCLs are derived by the EPA and are legally enforceable primary standards that apply to public water systems. In comparison, the HHSLs are based on toxicity data from a residential exposure scenario and are not enforceable standards. Both values are shown to give a general idea of the water quality, both as it relates to screening contaminants against HHSLs and as the groundwater compares to general drinking water quality (MCLs).

3.2.1 East Limb Wells

2018 was the first year of water quality sampling at MW-EL-02 and MW-EL-03. A screening level water sample was collected directly from borehole EL-01 well prior to borehole abandonment.

3.2.1.1 Wells Formation Monitoring Wells

The water quality in MW-EL-03 was generally similar to the Wells Formation groundwater quality measured in the South 40 and SPNL wells (Table 3.2-1), except for arsenic. Arsenic concentrations in MW-EL-03 were 18.8 μ g/L (dissolved) and 25.0 μ g/L (total); while arsenic concentrations in all other Wells Formation wells were below 1.5 μ g/L in 2018. The source of arsenic in this well is unknown but may be related to proximity to volcanic rocks or thermal groundwater. The pH of MW-EL-03 was neutral (7.28 s.u.) and similar to the pH measured in the South 40 and SPNL Wells Formation monitoring wells in 2018 (Table 3.2-2). TDS in MW-EL-03 was 459 mg/L, total alkalinity was 248 mg/L, and nitrate was <0.05 mg/L.

In MW-EL-02, arsenic concentrations were low (0.18 μ g/L dissolved and 0.39 μ g/L total), but the pH was elevated (12.25 s.u.). The elevated pH in MW-EL-02 is likely caused by the intrusion of grout near the well screen during well construction (Section 2.2.3). The other monitoring wells completed in the Wells Formation have neutral or near-neutral pH (Table 3.2-2). The general chemistry from MW-EL-02 is compared to the other 6 Wells Formation wells sampled in 2018 on a Piper Diagram on Figure 3.2-1. The figure shows much higher relative abundance of chloride and calcium (associated with the cement used in the grout) in MW-EL-02 compared to the other wells.

Concentrations of certain metals, including selenium, were also higher in MW-EL-02 compared to the other Wells Formation monitoring wells. For example, total selenium was $47.5 \,\mu\text{g/L}$ in MW-EL-02 compared to $1.2 \,\mu\text{g/L}$ in MW-EL-03. The selenium concentrations, and other metals, in this well may be impacted by the high pH conditions caused by the grout intrusion. Increased pH can lead to the desorption or mobilization of naturally occurring selenium in the native geologic material immediately adjacent to the well. The decrease in pH observed during the long-term pumping of this well during well development (Section 2.2.3), indicates this is a near-well condition and not indicative of natural groundwater conditions in the area.

Concentrations of target analytes were generally less than the HHSLs at MW-EL-02 and MW-EL-03 except for the analytes detailed below.



Concentrations of total and dissolved arsenic exceeded the screening level for each location. MW-EL-02 ranged from 0.18 (dissolved) to 0.39 μg/L (total). Arsenic concentrations in MW-EL-03 ranged from 18.8 μg/L (dissolved) and 25 μg/L (total), these concentrations also exceed the MCL for arsenic.

- Elevated concentrations of barium were detected in MW-EL-02 (1,120 μg/L dissolved, 1,380 μg/L total).
- Hexavalent chromium concentrations exceeding the HHSL were detected in both wells. Concentrations of both dissolved and total fractions ranged from 0.381 μg/L (MW-EL-03) to 16.8 μg/L (MW-EL-02).
- Concentrations of total and dissolved iron in MW-EL-03 exceeded the HHSL (maximum 924 μg/L).
- Manganese concentrations exceeded the HHSL and MCL in samples from MW-EL-03. Concentrations ranged from 97.1 μg/L (dissolved) and 105 μg/L (total).
- Molybdenum concentrations measured in MW-EL-03 ranged from 318 μg/L (total) to 328 μg/L (dissolved), which are greater than the molybdenum HHSL.
- Total and dissolved selenium concentrations exceeded the HHSL in the sample from MW-EL-02. Concentrations ranged from 52.5 μg/L (dissolved) and 47.5 μg/L (total).
- MW-EL-02 contained concentrations of thallium (maximum of 0.119 μg/L) exceeding the HHSL.
- TDS concentrations were elevated above the HHSL in MW-EL-02, with a concentration of 721 µg/L.
- Radioactivity measured as gross beta exceeded the HHSL in MW-EL-02.

3.2.1.2 Borehole EL-01

The borehole EL-01 sample was collected by lowering a submersible pump into the borehole, pumping the equivalent of 3 casing volumes, allowing water levels to recover, and then collecting the sample with a disposable bailer. The sample collection methods were detailed in an email to EPA dated August 24, 2018. It is noted that the sample collection methods were not done in accordance with the Project QAPP because the borehole did not conform to monitoring well standards. Therefore, the results provide a general estimate of groundwater quality but may not precisely represent actual groundwater quality concentrations. The results are shown on Table 3.2-1 and 3.2-2 and analytes exceeding the HHSL are summarized below.

- Selenium concentrations were 178 μg/L (total) and 176 μg/L (dissolved).
- Arsenic concentrations were 0.25 μg/L (total) and 0.18 μg/L (dissolved).
- Concentrations of hexavalent chromium were 0.042 μg/L (dissolved).
- Concentrations of iron were 5,400 μg/L (total) and 368 μg/L (dissolved).
- Manganese concentrations were 323 μg/L (total) and 289 μg/L (dissolved).
- TDS concentration was 5,010 mg/L.

A sample was also collected from a nearby surface water monitoring station SW-040 in August 2018 for comparison. SW-040 is a spring that is located approximately 500 to 1,000 feet north-northeast of borehole EL-01 (Figure 2.1-1). The borehole EL-01 and SW-040 samples are compared graphically on a Piper Diagram in Figure 3.2-2. Piper diagrams are used to compare the ionic composition of water samples. Figure 3.2-2 indicates



nearly identical water types between borehole EL-01 and SW-040 where magnesium and bicarbonate dominate. The SW-040 sample has slightly more calcium than borehole EL-01. This may be attributed to the additional source area that is contributing flow to SW-040. The anions (chloride, sulfate, and bicarbonate) are identical and plot directly on top of each other on Figure 3.2-2.

The results indicate that borehole EL-01 and SW-040 share a common source and SW-040 is representative of Dinwoody Formation groundwater. This is supported by an earlier geologic and hydrogeologic investigation of the area, which suggests that the approximate elevation of SW-040 corresponds to a Dinwoody Formation groundwater discharge point (Corbet 1980). The static groundwater level in borehole EL-01 also indicates a hydraulic connection between these sites. The groundwater elevation in borehole EL-01 was approximately 5,900 feet compared to an estimated elevation of 5,850 feet at SW-040. This indicates that groundwater in borehole EL-01 is upgradient of SW-040.

Elevated selenium concentrations are attributed to proximity to potential source areas. Borehole EL-01 was drilled through overburden to the east of the BB and II pits.

3.2.2 South 40 Area Wells Formation Wells

2018 was the second year of water quality sampling at MW-S40-1 and MW-S40-2 and the third year of water quality sampling at MW-S40-3. The 2018 sample results from MW-S40-3 were generally similar to the 2017 sample results. Overall, water quality concentrations are generally below HHSLs in Wells Formation groundwater in the South 40. The pH of the samples ranged from 7.83 to 8.38 s.u., and alkalinity ranged between approximately 164 mg/L and 363 mg/L. TDS concentrations ranged from 186 mg/L (MW-S40-3) to 745 mg/L (MW-S40-1). Nitrogen concentrations were less than 0.23 mg/L in all three wells.

Selenium concentrations were below 6 μ g/L (0.7 to 5.9 μ g/L) in both the total and dissolved fractions from all three wells. Concentrations of aluminum, chromium, chromium III, cobalt, copper, iron, manganese, and vanadium decreased to less than the HHSLs in 2018. The following analyses exceeded the HHSLs in 2018:

- Arsenic concentrations ranged from 0.75 to 1.16 μg/L (dissolved) and 0.81 to 1.46 μg/L (total).
- Hexavalent chromium concentrations in MW-S40-2 and MW-S40-3 ranged from 0.037 to 0.488 μg/L (total and dissolved fractions).
- Concentrations of total iron were less than the HHSL, except for MW-S40-1 which was 363 μg/L. Dissolved fractions were less than the screening level for each well.
- Total and dissolved molybdenum concentrations in MW-S40-3 were 12.1 μg/L.
- Concentrations of nickel exceeding the HHSL were detected in MW-S40-2 ranging from 43.6 to 48.8 μg/L (total and dissolved fractions).
- Dissolved thallium concentrations greater than the HHSL were detected in MW-S40-1 (0.046 μg/L) and MW-S40-3 (0.024 μg/L).
- Concentrations of TDS exceeding the HHSL were detected in MW-S40-1 and MW-S40-2 (maximum of 745 μg/L).
- Total and dissolved radioactivity (Gross Beta) concentrations exceeded HHSL in MW-S40-1 and MW-S40-2.



3.2.3 Southern Portion of North Limb Area

2018 represents the second year of sample collection from the three wells in the SPNL Area. Note that due to pump/tubing malfunction, no sample was collected from MW-SPNL-2. Overall, the water quality in these wells is generally similar to the Wells Formation groundwater in the South 40 Area. Alkalinity ranged between 184 mg/L and 215 mg/L and pH was between 7.94 and 8.34 s.u. TDS was less than 315 mg/L and selenium concentrations (total and dissolved) were under 5 µg/L in MW-SPNL-3 and 8.7 µg/L in MW-SPNL-1. Although detected at concentrations greater than the screening level in 2017, concentrations of aluminum, antimony, barium, chromium, chromium III, cobalt, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, vanadium, zinc, and radioactivity (gross alpha and gross beta) decreased to less than the HHSLs in the SPNL wells in 2018. The improved water quality in 2018 compared to 2017 may be associated with the additional time (1 year) since the wells were installed installations that has allowed groundwater in the vicinity of the wells to equilibrate with antecedent formation conditions. The following analytes exceeded the HHSLs in 2018:

- Arsenic concentrations ranged from 0.67 to 1.16 μg/L (dissolved) and 0.74 to 1.1 μg/L (total).
- Hexavalent chromium concentrations (dissolved and total) were 2.32 μg/L and 2.34 μg/L in MW-SPNL-1 and 0.093 μg/L and 0.037 μg/L in MW-SPNL-3.
- Concentrations of total and dissolved molybdenum (maximum of 18 μg/L) exceeding the HHSL were detected in MW-SPNL-3.
- Thallium concentrations ranged from 0.059 μg/L (total) to 0.062 μg/L (dissolved) in MW-SPNL-3.

3.2.4 Groundwater Results Compared to Maximum Contaminant Levels

The majority of the 2018 groundwater samples were below primary and secondary MCLs, with the following exceptions:

- Arsenic (total and dissolved samples from MW-EL-03)
- Iron (secondary MCL, total sample from MW-S40-1)
- Manganese (secondary MCL, total and dissolved samples from EL-01 and MW-EL-02)
- Selenium (total and dissolved sample from EL-01 and dissolved sample from MW-EL-02).

3.3 Overall Data Validation Notes

All analytical data packages were validated in accordance with EPA National Functional Guidelines. All data were found acceptable and are usable for decision making purposes. Full data validation packages that detail qualified data are included in Appendix D.

4.0 SUMMARY OF PROPOSED WORK FOR 2019

Work proposed for 2019 includes the following:

- An additional round of surface and groundwater sampling will be completed in 2019.
- Collect additional soil samples from across the Site to continue to characterize COPC concentrations. A new RIWP Addendum detailing the methods and sample locations will be developed and submitted for agency and Tribal review and EPA approval.



Signature Page

Golder Associates Inc.

DRAFT

DRAFT

Jeremy Clark
Project Environmental Toxicologist

Gary Zimmerman
Principal - Senior Consultant

DRAFT

DRAFT

Jay Pietraszek Senior Hydrologist

JC/JP/sb

Golder and the G logo are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation

(b) (6)



5.0 REFERENCES

Corbett, TF. 1980. Interactions between Open Mining and Groundwater Flow Systems at the East Area of the Gay Mine, Idaho. Idaho. Idaho Water Resources Research Institute. University of Idaho. Moscow, Idaho.

- Golder Associates Inc. (Golder). 2014. *Remedial Investigation Work Plan.* Gay Mine Site Fort Hall Indian Reservation, Fort Hall, Idaho. Prepared for FMC Corporation and J. R. Simplot Company. October 8.
- Golder. 2015a. 2014 *Gay Mine Remedial Investigation Data Summary Report*. Prepared for FMC Corporation and J.R. Simplot Company. April 22.
- Golder. 2015b. 2015 *Gay Mine Remedial Investigation Work Plan Addendum*. Ft. Hall Idaho. Prepared for FMC Corporation and J.R. Simplot Company. June 8, Rev.1.
- Golder. 2015c. *Quality Assurance Project Plan* Gay Mine Remedial Investigation Work Plan. Prepared for FMC Corporation and J.R. Simplot Company. June 8, Rev. 1.
- Golder. 2016a. 2015 *Gay Mine Remedial Investigation Data Summary Report*. Prepared for FMC Corporation and J.R. Simplot Company. October 11, 2016.
- Golder. 2016b. *Draft 2016 Gay Mine Remedial Investigation Work Plan Addendum*. Prepared for FMC Corporation and J.R. Simplot Company. April 20, 2016.
- Golder. 2016c. *Draft Quality Assurance Project Plan Gay Mine Remedial Investigation Work Plan*. Prepared for FMC Corporation and J.R. Simplot Company. April 20, 2016, Rev.0.
- Golder. 2017a. 2016 Gay Mine Remedial Investigation Data Summary Report. Prepared for FMC Corporation and J.R. Simplot Company. July 7.
- Golder. 2017b. Gay Mine Collection of Culturally Significant Plant Species in Background Areas. Submitted to Joe Wallace, USEPA. March 3.
- Golder. 2017c. General Protocol for Assessing Dead or Distressed Cattle in Areas Near the Gay Mine, Idaho.

 Prepared for FMC Corporation and J.R. Simplot Company. February 10.
- Golder 2018a. *Draft 2017 Gay Mine Remedial Investigation Data Summary Report.* Prepared for FMC Corporation and J.R. Simplot Company. January 10.
- Golder 2018b. Draft Report 2018 Gay Mine Groundwater and Surface Water Remedial Investigation Work Plan Addendum. Prepared for FMC Corporation and J.R. Simplot Company. March 22.
- Golder 2018c. *Technical Memorandum Proposed Termination of Borehole EL-01*. Prepared for Environmental Protection Agency on behalf of FMC Corporation and J.R. Simplot Company. March 22, 2019.
- Gunn, Danielle. 2018a. Email to Susan Hanson and Jeff Hamilton. Subject: Dead Calf Observations. September 4.
- Gunn, Danielle. 2018b. Email to Kelley Wright, Adam Hill, Casper Appenay, Tony Galloway, Joe Wallace, and Susan Hanson: Subject: Blind calf issue. October 4.
- Gunn, Danielle. 2018c. Email to Kelley Wright, Adam Hill, Casper Appenay, Tony Galloway, Joe Wallace, Jeff Hamilton, and Susan Hanson: Subject: Necropsy Results. October 23.



Mansfield, G.R. 1927. Geography, geology, and mineral resources of part of southeastern Idaho with descriptions of Carboniferous and Triassic fossils. United States Geologic Survey.

- Ohlendorf, Harry M. Chapter 17: Ecotoxicity of Selenium. In: Handbook of Toxicology, second edition. Edited by D.J. Hoffman, B.A. Rattner, G.A. Burton Jr., and J. Cairns Jr. 2003.
- Shoshone-Bannock. 2013. Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, Tribal Water Resources, TWR C Well Construction Regulations.
- USGS. 2000. USGS Diagnoses Causes of Many US Amphibian Die-Offs. August 14. Accessed in February 2019 from: https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2000/08/000811062012.htm.
- Wallace, J. 2018. Email to Doug Dunster, Jeremy Clark, Rachel Greengas, and Jeff Hamilton from Joe Wallace (EPA): Subject: Approval of Surface Water Sampling Portion of 2018 Gay Mine G/W and S/W RIWP Addendum. May 1.



Tables

Table 1.1-1: COPCs Requested for Analysis by Media

	Media								
COPCs	Surface Water	Groundwater							
Aluminum	х	х							
Antimony	х	х							
Arsenic	х	х							
Barium	х	Х							
Beryllium	х	х							
Boron	х	Х							
Cadmium	х	х							
Chromium (Total)									
Chromium (III)	х	Х							
Chromium (VI)	х	х							
Cobalt	х	х							
Copper	х	х							
Iron	х	Х							
Lead	х	Х							
Magnesium	х	х							
Manganese	х	х							
Mercury	х	х							
Molybdenum	х	х							
Nickel	х	х							
Selenium	х	х							
Silver	х	х							
Thallium	х	Х							
Total Uranium	х	Х							
Total Radium		Х							
Vanadium	х	Х							
Zinc	х	Х							
Gross Alpha		Х							
Gross Beta		х							
Ra-226, Ra-228		Х							
Alkalinity	х	Х							
Hardness	х	Х							
Nitrogen, total Kjeldahl	х	х							
Nitrate, Nitrite	х	Х							
Phosphorous	х	Х							
Potassium	X	X							
Sulfate	X	X							
Sodium	X	X							
Total Dissolved Solids	x	X							
Calcium	x	X							
Chloride	X	X							
Total Suspended Solids	X								

Notes:

x = Media specific COPCs

Table taken from Table QAPP-28 in the 2015 Quality Assurance Project Plan Report (Golder 2015c)



Table 2.1-1: Surface Water Sample Locations

Site	Site Description	Sampled?	Geographic	Coordinates ²	UTM Cod	ordinates ³	Site Type ¹
No.	Site Description	Sampled	Latitude	Longitude	х	Υ	Site Type
001	Lincoln Creek (downstream of Dry Hollow Creek)	Dry	43° 06' 57.8"	112° 07' 13.5"	408847.3	4774312	Downgradient
002	Lincoln Creek below North Limb	Yes (Spring)	43° 06' 16.1"	112° 06' 34.6"	409710.5	4773014	Downgradient
003	O, P Pit Lake	Yes	43° 05' 43.7"	112° 05' 17.7"	411435	4771993	On Disturbance
004A	Cattle Pond A above O, P Pit (near reclaimed area)	Yes	43° 05' 31.2"	112° 05' 00.1"	411828.5	4771601	On Disturbance
004B	Cattle Pond B above O, P Pit (near reclaimed area)	Dry	43° 05′ 31.7"	112° 05' 00.5"	411819.7	4771616	On Disturbance
005	Lincoln Creek above North Limb	Yes (Spring)	43° 05′ 29.9"	112° 05' 04.7"	411723	4771561	Upgradient
006	Covered Springs (East of Site on Lincoln Creek)	Yes	43° 06' 06.4"	112° 03' 41.3"	413623.8	4772664	Upgradient
007	Bronco Springs (almost due east of K Pit)	Yes	43° 04' 38.8"	112° 04' 04.2"	413071.7	4769969	Upgradient
800	Cow Spring / Unnamed pond near cattle trough (above K Pit)	Yes	43° 04′ 37.4"	112° 05' 31.4"	411099.3	4769950	On Disturbance
009	Source of Bunkhouse Spring	Yes (Spring)	43° 03' 00.0"	112° 05' 05.6"	411643.9	4766938	Upgradient
010	Pond #3 / Main Holding Pond above A12 Pit	Yes	43° 02' 50.5"	112° 05' 51.7"	410597.1	4766659	Upgradient
011	Pond 1 above A12 Pit (East)	Yes	43° 02′ 48.4″	112° 05' 52.9"	410569.1	4766595	On Disturbance
012	Pond 2 above A12 Pit (West)	Yes	43° 02′ 48.1"	112° 05' 58.6"	410440.1	4766587	On Disturbance
013	A12 Lake in A12 Pit	Yes	43° 02' 42.8"	112° 06' 19.2"	409971.8	4766430	On Disturbance
014	Big Willow Springs	Yes	43° 01′ 57.3"	112° 05' 31.5"	411032.8	4765012	Upgradient
015	Willow Creek	Yes	43° 01' 00.5"	112° 07' 17.2"	408617.4	4763291	Downgradient
016	Lake in JD/JF Pit	Yes	43° 01' 09.8"	112° 07' 44.8"	407996.5	4763587	On Disturbance
017	Danielson Creek (above Ross Fork Creek)	Dry	43° 01' 15.8"	112° 09' 35.1"	405502.5	4763806	Upgradient
018	Ross Fork Creek (downstream of Danielson Creek)	Yes	43° 00' 53.4"	112° 09' 48.7"	405185.2	4763119	Downgradient
019	Ross Fork above the Narrows	Yes	43° 00' 36.1"	112° 07' 59.3"	407654.3	4762551	Downgradient
020	Big Springs (spring only)	Yes	42° 59' 14.7"	112° 07' 22.4"	408456.1	4760030	Upgradient
021	Jeff Cabin Creek (water source for Falkner Ranch)	Yes	42° 57' 16.0"	112° 02' 14.8"	415376.9	4756278	Upgradient
022	Lower Big Jimmy Creek Spring (area feeding into Portneuf River)	Yes	43° 00' 07.0"	111° 59' 57.3"	418555.1	4761515	Upgradient
023	Portneuf River (downstream of Bakers Creek)	Yes	43° 01' 15.2"	111° 58' 57.3"	419938.1	4763603	Downgradient
024A	Portneuf River (above Bakers Creek)	Yes	43° 01' 23.1"	111° 58' 43.9"	420243.8	4763844	Downgradient
025	Z Pit Lake	Yes	43° 01' 12.2"	112° 01' 46.7"	416102.5	4763556	On Disturbance



Table 2.1-1: Surface Water Sample Locations

Site	Sita Dagarintian	Compled	Geographic	Coordinates ²	UTM Coo	ordinates ³	0% T 1
No.	Site Description	Sampled?	Latitude	Longitude	х	Υ	Site Type ¹
026	Queedup Springs (by Lone Pine Canyon Road)	Yes	43° 01' 33.3"	112° 01' 30.9"	416468.4	4764203	Downgradient
027	Bakers Creek below East Limb (above Queedup Springs)	Dry	43° 01' 38.5"	112° 01' 44.5"	416161.6	4764367	Downgradient
028	Lone Pine Spring (Y Spring South, along Lone Pine Road)	Yes	43° 01' 34.1"	112° 02' 59.9"	414454.5	4764253	Upgradient
029	W Pit Lake	Yes	43° 02' 11.7"	112° 01' 48.2"	416091.4	4765392	On Disturbance
030	East Limb North Pond / Holding pond below Y intersection	Yes	43° 02' 29.6"	112° 03' 44.1"	413475.8	4765977	Upgradient
031	Portneuf River (above U Creek)	Yes	43° 04' 20.6"	112° 00' 28.1"	417951.6	4769346	Upgradient
032	Red Rock Spring	Yes (Spring)	43° 06' 03.1"	112° 01' 13.6"	416961.9	4772523	Upgradient
033	Mud Springs (north & east of mine, along road near Red Rock Spring)	Yes	43° 06' 09.2"	112° 01' 50.1"	416138.4	4772719	Upgradient
034	North Fork of Bakers Creek	Yes	43° 01' 21.1"	111° 58' 57.2"	419942	4763785	Downgradient
035	U Creek (above confluence with Portneuf River)	Yes	43° 04' 19.4"	112° 00' 30.8"	417890.1	4769310	Downgradient
036	Seep and Pond below EE-2	Yes	43° 01' 43.9"	112° 03' 06.4"	414312	4764558	Downgradient
037	Willow Creek (Downstream of South 40 Area, upstream of Ross Fork Creek)	Yes	43° 00' 44.9"	112° 07' 43.7"	408010	4762819	Downgradient
038	Lincoln Peak Spring (Above and east of the M Pit area)	Yes	43° 05' 13.4"	112° 04' 17.3"	412789	4771039	Upgradient
039	Y Spring (Between SW-014 and SW-015)	Yes	43° 01' 24.0"	112° 06' 20.2"	409917	4763999	Downgradient
040	Seep east of 11-2 Overburden Disposal Area (OBDA)	Yes	43° 02′ 48.4"	112° 02' 13.4"	415534	4766532	On Disturbance
041	Catch Basin northeast of 11-2 OBDA	Yes (Spring)	43° 02' 52.4"	112° 02' 28.2"	415203	4766660	On Disturbance
042	Catch Basin northeast of AA-2 OBDA	Yes (Spring)	43° 02′ 34.4"	112° 02' 07.2"	415671	4766099	Downgradient
043	Spring east of AA-2 OBDA	Yes	43° 02' 34.7"	112° 01' 53.6"	415977	4766104	Downgradient
044	Seep Area above and northeast of W Pit	Yes (Spring)	43° 02' 16.0"	112° 02' 00.5"	415815	4765528	On Disturbance
045	BB-2 North Spring and Pond	Yes	43° 02′ 37.9"	112° 02' 47.8"	414752	4766218	On Disturbance
046	Pond on Lone Pine Road	Yes (Spring)	43° 01' 43.6"	112° 02' 08.8"	415615	4764530	Downgradient
047	Seep and Pond below FF-2	Yes (Spring)	43° 01' 53.0"	112° 03' 40.1"	413551	4764848	Downgradient
048	Spring box below OBDA 11 (downstream of SW-040)	Yes	43° 02' 49.5"	112° 02' 5.1"	415724.3	4766561	Downgradient
049	Lincoln Creek (downstream of Yandell and Warm Springs)	Yes	43° 07' 40.8"	112° 10' 45.1"	404083	4775705	Downgradient



¹ Site Types: Upgradient = Unimpacted site; On Disturbance = Located on the Site; Downgradient = Downgradient of Site
2 Projection = Geographic (latitude/longitude) NAD83, reported in degrees, minutes, seconds
3 Projection = UTM NAD83 (Zone 12), reported in meters
Dry = not sampled in spring or fall due to absence of water

January 2019 103-93418-16.001.900

Table 2.2-1: Wells Formation Regional Groundwater Monitoring Well Details (Phase 2 South 40 Monitoring Wells, Phase 1 SPNL, and East Limb Monitoring Wells)

			Plane I	ast (ft)		_ ,						
Monitoring Well		Description	Northing (Y)	Easting (X)	Top of Casing Elevation	casing	Ground Elevation (ft AMSL)	_		Depth of Well (ft bgs)	Screen Interval (ft bgs)	Filter Pack Interval (ft bgs)
MW-S40-1	Jul-17	Regional Groundwater - South 40	497086.08	664318.15	5770.72	5771.35	5768.5	4	838	825	790-820	780-838
MW-S40-2	Jul-17	Regional Groundwater - South 40	491388.91	666910.21	5683.31	5683.99	5680.9	4	560	555	520-550	510-559
MW-S40-3	Oct-16	Regional Groundwater - South 40	489520.86	670160.43	5686.72	5687.05	5684.1	4	617	617	595-615	588-617
MW-SPNL-1	Aug-17	Regional Groundwater - Headquarters	502756.06	670598.27	5652.50	5653.15	5650.6	4	635	606	582-602	560-604
MW-SPNL-2	Aug-17	Regional Groundwater - Headquarters	502382.26	669765.45	5703.72	5705.01	5701.4	4	679	675	630-670	620-675
MW-SPNL-3	Aug-17	Regional Groundwater - Headquarters	503753.80	670569.53	5739.60	5740.11	5737.5	4	740	738	713-733	700-738
MW-EL-02	Aug-18	Regional Groundwater - East Limb	504555.84	685287.30	5814.18	5814.65	5811.1	4	129	125	90-120	80-125
MW-EL-03	Jul-18	Regional Groundwater - East Limb	501828.99	683677.44	6060.38	6060.88	6057.1	4	636	635	610-630	605-636

Notes:

ft bgs - feet below ground surface

ft AMSL - feet above mean sea level



^{1.} S40 and SPNL deep wells surveyed by A&E on August 31, 2017.

^{2.} EL wells surveyed by A&E on August 29, 2018.

January 2019 103-93418-16.001.900

Table 2.2-2: Water Level Measurements for Wells Formation Groundwater Monitoring Wells

			Water Level	Measurements	
Monitoring Well ID	Top of Casing Elevation (ft AMSL)	Measurement Date	Below Top of Casing (ft)	GW Elevation (ft AMSL)	Deviation Corrected GW Elevation (ft AMSL)
MW-S40-1	5770.72	11/17/2017	572.3	5198.42	5198.77
		9/4/2018	556.90	5213.82	5214.15
		10/10/2018	557.07	5213.65	5213.98
		10/20/2018	557.38	5213.34	5213.67
MW-S40-2	5683.31	11/17/2017	444.99	5238.32	5238.38
		9/5/2018	431.6	5251.71	5251.76
		10/10/2018	437.45	5245.86	5245.91
		10/20/2018	439.18	5244.13	5244.18
MW-S40-3	5686.72	11/7/2016	531.65	5155.07	5155.72
		6/27/2017	529.45	5157.27	5157.91
		7/13/2017	527.25	5159.47	5160.11
		11/16/2017	520.13	5166.59	5167.21
		9/5/2018	512.00	5174.72	5175.31
		10/10/2018	511.50	5175.22	5175.81
		10/19/2018	511.84	5174.88	5175.47
MW-SPNL-1	5652.50	11/18/2017	581.64	5070.86	5071.01
		9/6/2018	579.42	5073.08	5073.23
		10/10/2018	578.88	5073.62	5073.77
		10/22/2018	578.77	5073.73	5073.88
MW-SPNL-2	5703.72	11/18/2017	626.76	5076.96	5077.00
		9/6/2018	625.56	5078.16	5078.20
		10/10/2018	625.10	5078.62	5078.66
		10/22/2018	625.04	5078.68	5078.72
MW-SPNL-3	5739.60	11/18/2017	686.42	5053.18	5053.53
		9/6/2018	683.97	5055.63	5055.97
		10/10/2018	683.58	5056.02	5056.36
		10/22/2018	683.41	5056.19	5056.53
MW-EL-02	5814.18	9/10/2018	76.6	5737.58	NA
		9/14/2018	76.5	5737.68	NA
		10/10/2018	76.66	5737.52	NA
		10/18/2018	76.83	5737.35	NA
MW-EL-03	6060.38	8/14/2018	310.83	5749.55	NA
		9/7/2018	312.3	5748.08	NA
		9/14/2018	311.64	5748.74	NA
		10/10/2018	312.15	5748.23	NA
		10/17/2018	312.35	5748.03	NA

^{1.} S40 and SPNLwells surveyed by A&E on August 31, 2017.

ft AMSL - feet above mean sea level

^{2.} Borehole deviation survey of S40 and SPNL wells completed in November 2017.

^{3.} EL wells surveyed by A&E on August 29, 2018.

Table 3.1-1: Surface Water Sampling Results – Dissolved Fraction

	<u> </u>					Analista	l landa a a a C	alairma Cambanata	Λ Ι	-:	۸ 4:	A	
						CAS #	1	alcium Carbonate		ninum 9-90-5		,	senic 10-38-2
								M2340B					4/SW6020
						Analysis Method				6010C			
						Ecological Screening Level		N/A		I/A			0.14
			ļ			Units		mg/l		g/L			ug/L
Site	Site Description			Position	Waterbody Type	Area	Result	Qualifier		Qualifier	Result		Qualifier
Blank		5/17/2018					0.039	<u> </u>	< 3	U	< 0.020	U 0.011	
Blank		5/22/2018					0.062			U	0.056	< 0.003	U
Blank		5/23/2018					0.10			U	< 0.020	U 0.013	J
Blank		9/13/2018					0.113	<u> </u>		U		U 0.008	J
Blank		9/18/2018					0.087		< 3	U	0.063	0.017	J
SW-002	Lincoln Creek below North Limb	5/23/2018		Creek	Downgradient	Northwest of North Limb	391		3120		0.347	1.26	
SW-003	O, P Pit Lake	5/23/2018		Pit Lake	On disturbance	North Limb	661		12		0.582	1.06	
SW-003	O, P Pit Lake	9/20/2018		Pit Lake	On disturbance	North Limb	916	<u> </u>	< 4	U	0.893	2.29	
SW-004A	Cattle Pond A above O, P Pit(near reclaimed area)	5/23/2018		Pond	On disturbance	North Limb	187		48		0.256	0.503	
SW-004A	Cattle Pond A above O, P Pit(near reclaimed area)	9/19/2018		Pond	On disturbance	North Limb	261	<u> </u>	< 4	U	0.352	0.996	
SW-005	Lincoln Creek above North Limb	5/23/2018		Creek	Upgradient or Background	Northeast of North Limb	330		49		0.191	0.345	
SW-006	Covered Springs(on Lincoln Creek going to east)	5/22/2018	3	Spring	Upgradient or Background	Northeast of North Limb	247		52		0.082	J+ 0.188	
SW-006	Covered Springs(on Lincoln Creek going to east)	9/19/2018		Spring	Upgradient or Background	Northeast of North Limb	237		< 4	U	0.066	0.187	
SW-007	Bronco Springs (almost due east of K Pit)	5/22/2018		Spring	Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb	316		< 3	U	0.094	0.183	
SW-007	Bronco Springs (almost due east of K Pit)	9/19/2018	3	Spring	Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb	303		< 4	U	0.062	0.223	
SW-007	Bronco Springs (almost due east of K Pit)	9/19/2018	B Duplicate	Spring	Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb	305		< 4	U	0.062	0.235	
SW-008	Cow Spring / Unnamed pond near cattle trough(above K Pit)	5/23/2018	3	Spring	On disturbance	North Limb	436		158		0.125	0.308	
SW-008	Cow Spring / Unnamed pond near cattle trough(above K Pit)	9/20/2018	3	Spring	On disturbance	North Limb	373		< 4	U	0.292	1.03	
SW-009	Source of Bunkhouse Spring	5/21/2018	3	Spring	Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb	539		< 3	U	0.165	0.541	
SW-010	Pond #3 / Main Holding Pond above A12 Pit	5/21/2018	3	Pond	Upgradient*	East of Southern Part of North Limb	486		15		0.329	0.688	
SW-010	Pond #3 / Main Holding Pond above A12 Pit	9/18/2018		Pond	Upgradient*	East of Southern Part of North Limb	395		< 4	U	0.453	0.748	
SW-011	Pond 1 above A12 Pit (East)	5/21/2018		Pond	On disturbance	Southern Part of North Limb	475		7	J	0.23	0.743	
SW-011	Pond 1 above A12 Pit (East)	9/18/2018		Pond	On disturbance	Southern Part of North Limb	409		< 4	U	0.257	1.30	
SW-012	Pond 2 above A12 Pit (West)	5/21/2018		Pond	On disturbance	Southern Part of North Limb	476		33		0.287	0.72	
SW-012	Pond 2 above A12 Pit (West)	9/18/2018		Pond	On disturbance	Southern Part of North Limb	566		< 4	U	0.997	4.28	
SW-013	A12 Lake in A12 Pit	5/21/2018		Pit Lake	On disturbance	Southern Part of North Limb	522		9	J	0.433	1.07	
SW-013	A12 Lake in A12 Pit	9/19/2018		Pit Lake	On disturbance	Southern Part of North Limb	473		< 4	U	0.510	1.48	
SW-014	Big Willow Springs	5/17/2018		Spring	On disturbance	Between South 40 and East Limb	443		5	_	0.148	0.342	
SW-014	Big Willow Springs	9/13/2018		Spring	On disturbance	Between South 40 and East Limb	440		< 4	U	0.169	0.371	
SW-015	Willow Creek (Upper Ross Fork Creek)	5/16/2018		Creek	Downgradient	South 40	526		343		0.130	0.830	
SW-015	Willow Creek (Upper Ross Fork Creek)	9/11/2018		Creek	Downgradient	South 40	556			U	0.251	1.15	4
SW-016	Lake in JD/JF Pit	5/16/2018		Pit Lake	On disturbance	South 40	503		31		0.201	1.52	
SW-016	Lake in JD/JF Pit	9/12/2018		Pit Lake	On disturbance	South 40	473	<u> </u>	< 4	U	0.288	4.30	
SW-018	Ross Fork Creek (downstream of Danielson Creek)	5/15/2018		Creek	On disturbance	West of South 40	85.7	<u> </u>	1410	0	0.237	J 1.07	
SW-018	Ross Fork Creek (downstream of Danielson Creek)	9/12/2018		Creek	On disturbance	West of South 40	153			U	< 0.05	U 1.62	
SW-018	Ross Fork Creek (downstream of Danielson Creek)		B Duplicate	Creek	On disturbance	West of South 40	154			U	0.060	1.55	
										0			
SW-019 SW-019	Ross Fork above the Narrows Ross Fork above the Narrows	5/15/2018 9/12/2018		Creek Creek	On disturbance On disturbance	West of South 40 West of South 40	71.7 133		958 < 4	U	0.031	U 0.517 0.966	
SW-019	Big Springs (spring only)	5/16/2018		Spring	Upgradient	South of South 40	81.3	<u> </u>	< 4 215		< 0.020	U 0.396	_
SW-020	Big Springs (spring only)	9/12/2018		Spring		South of South 40	114		<u>215</u> 11		< 0.020	U 0.569	
SW-020 SW-021	Jeff Cabin Creek(water source for Falkner Ranch)	5/18/2018		Creek	Upgradient	South of South 40 South of East Limb & SE of South 40	147		757	1	0.108	2.2	+
SW-021	Jeff Cabin Creek(water source for Falkner Ranch)	9/18/2018			Upgradient	South of East Limb & SE of South 40	186			U	0.063	2.2	
SW-021 SW-022	,			Creek	Upgradient	East of South 40	268	<u> </u>	<u>< 4</u> 47	•	0.063		
	Lower Big Jimmy Creek Spring(area feeding into Portneuf River)	5/18/2018		Creek	Upgradient or Background							3.7	+
SW-022	Lower Big Jimmy Creek Spring(area feeding into Portneuf River)	9/18/2018		Creek	Upgradient or Background	East of South 40	232		< 4	U	0.126	0.950	
SW-023	Portneuf River (downstream of Bakers Creek)	5/18/2018		River	Downgradient	East of East Limb	349		51		0.128	1.45	
SW-023	Portneuf River (downstream of Bakers Creek)	9/17/2018		River	Downgradient	East of East Limb	375		< 4	U	0.098	1.78	
SW-024A	Portneuf River (above Bakers Creek)	5/18/2018		River	Downgradient	East of East Limb	288		59		0.162	1.22	
SW-024A	Portneuf River (ābove Bakers Creek)	9/17/2018		River	Downgradient	East of East Limb	214		< 4	U	0.147	1.71	4
SW-025	Z Pit Lake	5/17/2018			On disturbance	East Limb	259		80	1	0.309	0.546	
SW-025	Z Pit Lake	9/14/2018		Pit Lake	On disturbance	East Limb	251			U	0.556	1.71	4
SW-026	Queedup Springs(by Lone Pine Canyon Road)	5/17/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East of East Limb	595			U	0.022	J 3.20	
SW-026	Queedup Springs(by Lone Pine Canyon Road)	9/13/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East of East Limb	547			U	0.034	J 2.99	4
SW-028	Lone Pine Spring(Y Spring South, along Lone Pine Road)	5/17/2018		Spring	Upgradient	East of East Limb	463		186	ļ	0.079	0.125	
SW-028	Lone Pine Spring(Y Spring South, along Lone Pine Road)	9/13/2018		Spring	Upgradient	East of East Limb	549		< 4		0.124	0.461	
SW-029	W Pit Lake	5/20/2018		Pit Lake	Downgradient	East Limb	340		12		0.309	23	4
SW-029	W Pit Lake	9/14/2018	3	Pit Lake	Downgradient	East Limb	327		< 4	U	0.478	57.5	4



Table 3.1-1: Surface Water Sampling Results – Dissolved Fraction

						Analyte	Hardness, Ca	alcium Carbonate	Alum	ninum	Antii	mony	Ars	enic
						CAS #	H/	ARDCA	7429	9-90-5	7440	-36-0	7440	-38-2
						Analysis Method	SN	/12340B	SW6	010C	SW	6020	E1632A/	/SW6020
						Ecological Screening Level	N/A		N	I/A	N	/A	0.	14
						Units		mg/l	μ	g/L	μ	g/L	μς	g/L
Site	Site Description	Sample Date			Waterbody Type	Area	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier		Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
SW-030	East Limb North Pond / Holding pond below Y intersection(above HH Pit)	5/20/2018			Upgradient*	West of East Limb	350		72		0.212		0.762	
SW-030	East Limb North Pond / Holding pond below Y intersection(above HH Pit)	9/14/2018			Upgradient*	West of East Limb	289		6	J	0.268		1.00	1
SW-031	Portneuf River (above U Creek)	5/22/2018		River	Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb & NE East Limb	268		704		0.13		0.716	1
SW-031	Portneuf River (above U Creek)	9/19/2018			Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb & NE East Limb	226		< 4	U	0.084		0.533	1
SW-032	Red Rock Spring	5/22/2018			Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb	227		24		0.128		0.439	1
SW-033	Mud Springs (north & east of mine, along road near Red Rock Spring)	5/22/2018		Spring	Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb	159		572		0.134		2.04	1
SW-033	Mud Springs (north & east of mine, along road near Red Rock Spring)	9/19/2018		Spring	Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb	189		< 4	U	0.076		2.12	
SW-034	North Fork of Bakers Creek	5/18/2018		Creek	Downgradient	East of East Limb	483		< 3	U	0.135		1.77	
SW-034	North Fork of Bakers Creek	9/17/2018		Creek	Downgradient	East of East Limb	490		< 4	U	0.057		1.45	í
SW-035	U Creek(above confluence with Portneuf River)	5/22/2018		Creek	Downgradient	East of North Limb	383		130		0.159		0.662	í
SW-035	U Creek(above confluence with Portneuf River)	9/19/2018		Creek	Downgradient	East of North Limb	356		< 4	U	0.153		0.644	í
SW-036	Seep and Pond below EE-2	5/17/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	378		47		0.128		0.589	í
SW-036	Seep and Pond below EE-2	9/13/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	847		< 4	U	0.721		1.36	í
SW-037	Willow Creek (Downstream of South 40 Area, upstream of Ross Fork Creek)	5/16/2018		Creek	Downgradient	South 40	495		25		0.123		0.882	í
SW-037	Willow Creek (Downstream of South 40 Area, upstream of Ross Fork Creek)	5/16/2018	Duplicate	Creek	Downgradient	South 40	502		26		0.119		0.888	í
SW-037	Willow Creek (Downstream of South 40 Area, upstream of Ross Fork Creek)	9/11/2018		Creek	Downgradient	West of South 40	464		< 4	U	0.348		0.976	
SW-038	Lincoln Peak Spring(Above and east of the M Pit area)	5/23/2018		Spring	Upgradient	East of North Limb	485		184		0.135		0.103	í
SW-038	Lincoln Peak Spring(Above and east of the M Pit area)	9/20/2018		Spring	Upgradient	East of North Limb	461		< 4	U	0.116		0.201	í
SW-039	Y Spring(Between SW-014 and SW-015)	5/16/2018		Spring	Downgradient	South 40	564		32		0.417		1.32	
SW-039	Y Spring(Between SW-014 and SW-015)	9/12/2018		Spring	Downgradient	South 40	590		< 4	U	0.398		1.37	í
SW-040	Seep east of II-2 Overburden Disposal Area (OBDA)	5/20/2018		Spring	On or near disturbance	East Limb	552		29		0.135		0.796	
SW-040	Seep east of II-2 Overburden Disposal Area (OBDA)	8/27/2018		Spring	On or near disturbance	East Limb	556		< 4	U	0.062		0.93	í
SW-040	Seep east of II-2 Overburden Disposal Area (OBDA)	9/13/2018		Spring	On or near disturbance	East Limb	546		< 4	U	0.075		0.619	í
SW-041	Catch Basin northeast of II-2 OBDA	5/20/2018		Spring	On or near disturbance	East Limb	153		1760		2.96		11.8	í
SW-042	Catch Basin northeast of AA-2 OBDA	5/20/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	128		3840		0.524		2.18	í
SW-043	Spring east of AA-2 OBDA	5/20/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	533		52		0.115		1.16	í
SW-043	Spring east of AA-2 OBDA	9/13/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	513		< 4	U	0.318		6.97	
SW-044	Seep Area above and northeast of W Pit	5/20/2018		Spring	On disturbance	East Limb	145		53		0.353		1.42	
SW-045	BB-2 North Spring and Pond	5/20/2018		Spring	On disturbance	East Limb	128		2460		1.14		9.6	ĺ
SW-045	BB-2 North Spring and Pond	5/20/2018	Duplicate		On disturbance	East Limb	123		2470		1.16		9.05	
SW-046	Pond on Lone Pine Road	5/20/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	439		22		0.171		0.219	ĺ
SW-047	Seep and Pond below FF-2	5/17/2018			Downgradient	East Limb	554		64		0.055		0.217	
SW-048	Spring box below OBDA 11, downstream of SW-040	5/20/2018			Downgradient	East Limb	520		224		0.167		0.519	
SW-048	Spring box below OBDA 11, downstream of SW-040	9/13/2018			Downgradient	East Limb	548		< 4	U	0.191		2.01	
SW-049	Lincoln Creek(downstream of Yandell and Warm Springs)	5/23/2018			Downgradient	Northwest of North Limb	367		118	J	0.08		2.24	
SW-049	Lincoln Creek(downstream of Yandell and Warm Springs)	5/23/2018			Downgradient	Northwest of North Limb	368		88	J	0.086		2.58	
SW-049	Lincoln Creek(downstream of Yandell and Warm Springs)	9/20/2018			Downgradient	Northwest of North Limb	355		< 4	U	0.060		2.60	



Table 3.1-1: Surface Water Sampling Results – Dissolved Fraction

	Barium		Beryllium Boron			Cadr	mium	Cal	cium	Chromiu	ım (Total)	Chrom	ium (III)	Hexavalent Chromium		n Cobalt		
)-39-3		-41-7)-42-8		-43-9)-70-2)-47-3		5-83-1		0-29-9)-48-4
		6010C		010C		6020		6020		010C		010C		NCE CALC		18.6	SW6010C/SW6020	
		4		66	1	.6	0.	25	116	8000	l 7	7 4		' 4	1	11		3
	μ	g/L	μς		μg/L		μς			g/L		g/L	μ		μg/L		μg/L	
Site	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
Blank	< 0.3	U	< 0.5	U	1.1	J	< 0.006	U	12	J	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.5	U
Blank	1.6	J	< 0.5	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.009	U	19	J	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.01	U	0.005	J
Blank	< 0.6	U	< 0.2	U	1.4	J	< 0.008	U	30		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	U	0.019	J	0.014	J
Blank	< 0.6	U	< 0.2	U	1.1	J	< 0.008	U	28		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	U	0.038			U
Blank	0.5	J	< 0.5	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.009	U	20	J	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.01	U	0.011	J
SW-002	106		< 0.5	U	76.2		0.349		85500		7.6		7.4	J	0.21	J	0.86	
SW-003 SW-003	54.7 62.7		< 0.5 < 0.2	U	92.7 101		1.22 0.082		172000 177000		1.9 < 0.6	IJ	1.8	UJ	0.064 0.045	J	0.708 0.663	
SW-003 SW-004A	93.6		< 0.2	U	41.7		0.062		53500		< 0.6	IJ	< 0.6	UJ	0.045	J	0.003	
SW-004A	89.7		< 0.3	U	20.9		0.020		74200		< 0.9	U		UJ	0.09	J	0.121	
SW-004A	157		< 0.2	U	32.7		0.020	1	106000		< 0.0	U		UJ	0.042	J	0.056	
SW-005	136		< 0.5	U	17.1			U	83700		< 0.9	U	< 0.9		0.124	J	0.030	
SW-006	133		< 0.2	U	17.7		< 0.003	U	79700		< 0.6	U			0.140	J	0.053	
SW-007	33.6		< 0.5	U	35.3		< 0.009		89100		< 0.9	U	< 0.9	UJ	0.474	J	0.023	
SW-007	32.3		< 0.2	U	36.3		< 0.008		84100		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.556	J	0.050	
SW-007	32.4		< 0.2	U	36.0		< 0.008		85400		< 0.6	U	< 0.6		0.545	J	0.055	
SW-008	55.4		< 0.5	U	54.5		0.047		129000		< 0.9	U	< 0.9		0.096	J	0.176	
SW-008	93.5		< 0.2	U	92		< 0.008	U	90000		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.037	J	0.311	
SW-009	81.3		< 0.5	U	104		0.022		150000		< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	0.114		0.05	
SW-010	61.4		< 0.5	U	74.9		0.02		131000		< 0.9	U	1.1	J	0.032		0.183	
SW-010	72.7		< 0.2	U	114		< 0.008	U	76200		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	U	< 0.010	U	0.343	
SW-011	51.3		< 0.5	U	75		0.019	J	120000		< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	0.034		0.159	
SW-011	59.2		< 0.2	U	117		< 0.008	U	67300		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	U	< 0.02	UJ	0.194	
SW-012	47.3		< 0.5	U	76.4		0.096		122000		< 0.9	U	1.1	J	0.036		0.158	
SW-012	188		< 0.2	U	181		0.024		101000		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.044	J+	0.445	
SW-013	64.6		< 0.5	U	75		1.74		137000		< 0.9	U	< 0.9		0.915		0.097	
SW-013	60.4		< 0.2	U	150		0.041		104000		< 0.6	U			0.728	J	0.113	11
SW-014	149		< 0.5	U	48.1		0.098		133000		< 0.9	U			0.134	J	1 0.0	U
SW-014 SW-015	145 135		< 0.2 < 0.5	U	53.4 45.5		0.099 0.026		129000 152000		< 0.6 < 0.9	U	< 0.6 < 0.9		0.182 0.046	J	0.063 0.207	
SW-015	154		< 0.2	U	68.9		< 0.008	U	153000		< 0.6	U		UJ	0.046	J	0.364	
SW-016	107		< 0.2	U	57.3		1.50	U	130000		1.2	ī	< 0.0	UJ	0.999	J	0.063	
SW-016	98.8		< 0.2	U	65.7		0.314		107000		< 0.6	IJ	< 0.6		0.196	.I	0.114	
SW-018	53.1		< 0.5	U	11.3		0.046		23300		1.4	J	1.3	J	0.053	J	0.522	
SW-018	63.3		< 0.2	U	13.5		< 0.008	U	38600		< 0.6	U		UJ	0.104	J	0.081	J
SW-018	63.4		< 0.2	U	11.5			U	38900		< 0.6	U			0.108	J	0.122	J
SW-019	38.9		< 0.5	U	9.2		0.020		19400		< 0.9	U			0.083	J	0.294	
SW-019	48.2		< 0.2	U	10.3			U	33300		< 0.6	U			0.147	J	0.084	
SW-020	33.2		< 0.5	U	8.0		< 0.006	U	21800		< 0.9	U			0.132	J	0.062	
SW-020	40.6		< 0.2	U	8.5		< 0.008	U	27600		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.189	J	0.048	
SW-021	49.8		< 0.5	U	14.8		0.014	J	36700		< 0.9	U	< 0.9		0.185	J+	0.136	
SW-021	52.3		< 0.2	U	13.3			U	44400		< 0.6	U			0.288	J	0.073	
SW-022	175		< 0.5	U	31.7		0.013		81700		< 0.9	U		UJ	< 0.01	UJ	0.421	
SW-022	122		< 0.2	U	22.4				65200		< 0.6	U			0.033	J	0.233	
SW-023	90.4		< 0.5	U	46.4				86500		< 0.9	U	1.1	J	0.049	J	0.092	
SW-023	64.4		< 0.2	U	68.9			U	75800		< 0.6	U			0.106	J	0.061	J
SW-024A	113		< 0.5	U	34.4				83500		< 0.9	U			0.038	J+	0.112	
SW-024A	120		< 0.2	U	37.5			U	59200		< 0.6	U			0.157	J	0.116	U
SW-025	38.9		< 0.5	U	57.6		0.272		42500		< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	0.271	1.		U
SW-025	33.8		< 0.2	U	79.5		0.048		27500		< 0.6	IJ		U UJ	0.365	J+ J	0.134	U
SW-026	28.8 27.5		< 0.5 < 0.2	U	61.9 61.8		0.174 0.172		156000		< 0.9 < 0.6	U		UJ	0.444 1.65	J	< 0.5 0.029	ı
SW-026 SW-028	120		< 0.2	U	29.9		0.172	1	136000 142000		< 0.6	U			0.113	J		U
SW-028	147		< 0.5	U	41.5			U	165000		< 0.9	U			0.062	J	0.326	0
SW-028 SW-029	23.9		< 0.2	U	73.8		0.045		63900		< 0.6	U			0.062	J	0.326	
SW-029	46.7		< 0.3	U	68.2				24500		< 0.9	U	< 0.9		0.036	J+	0.162	
300-028	40.7		∇.∠	U	UO.Z		0.010	J	Z4500	L	∇. 0.0	U	\ ∪.∪	U	0.073	UT	0.220	



Table 3.1-1: Surface Water Sampling Results – Dissolved Fraction

	Barium 7440-39-3		Beryllium			ron		mium		cium		m (Total)		` '	Hexavalent Chromium			
	-		-	-41-7	_	-42-8		-43-9	-)-70-2	_	-47-3		5-83-1		10-29-9)-48-4
	SW6	010C		010C	_	6020	SWe			6010C		010C		NCE CALC	E2	218.6	SW60100	C/SW6020
	4	4		66		.6		25		6000		' 4		' 4		11		3
	μί		μς			g/L	μς			g/L	μί		μί			ıg/L	μί	4
Site	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
SW-030	45.9				68.9		0.013	J	93200		< 0.9	U	1.4	J	< 0.01	U	0.23	
SW-030	86.6			U	103			U	50600		< 0.6	U		UJ	0.054		0.444	
SW-031	109		< 0.5		28		0.03		80600		1.2	J	1.1	J	0.101		0.319	
SW-031	74.6				24.2			U	66000		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.200	J	0.144	
SW-032	66.4				29.2		0.011	J	61000		< 0.9	U		UJ	0.03	J	0.086	
SW-033	62.5		< 0.5		35.7		0.023		45300		0.9		0.9	J	< 0.01		0.813	
SW-033	64.3		< 0.2		43.3			U	52400		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.052		0.083	
SW-034	40.8				71.7		0.009	J	101000		< 0.9	U		UJ	0.033		0.078	
SW-034	26.9		< 0.2		89.0			U	90000		< 0.6	U		UJ	0.058		0.054	
SW-035	104		< 0.5		36.1		0.014	J	111000		< 0.9	U		UJ	0.049	_	0.158	
SW-035	119		< 0.2		32.0			U	102000		< 0.6	U		UJ	0.041	J	0.182	
SW-036	71.0		< 0.5		68.8		0.010	J	94300		< 0.9	U		UJ	0.034	J	< 0.5	U
SW-036	530		< 0.2		219		0.008	J	171000		< 0.6	U		UJ	0.042	J	1.93	
SW-037	115		< 0.5		43.3		0.00.	J	139000		< 0.9	U		UJ	0.039	J	0.050	
SW-037	117		< 0.5		42.2		0.006	J	142000		< 0.9	U		UJ	0.037	J	0.043	
SW-037	127		< 0.2		67.9			U	113000		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.058	J	0.139	
SW-038	96.9		< 0.5	U	43.9		0.009	J	148000		< 0.9	U		UJ	0.135		0.094	
SW-038	91.2		< 0.2		37.8			U	140000		< 0.6	U		UJ	0.122		0.126	
SW-039	171		< 0.5		64.8		0.045		169000		< 0.9	U	< 0.9	UJ	0.095	J	0.107	
SW-039	143		< 0.2	U	73.7		0.019	J	171000		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.055	J	0.218	
SW-040	79.9		< 0.5	U	109		1.31		139000		< 0.9	U	1	J	2.25	J+	0.088	
SW-040	87.2		< 0.2	U	115		0.945		135000		0.8	J	< 0.6	U	0.985		0.064	
SW-040	83.4		< 0.2	U	125		0.716		130000		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	2.69	J	0.060	
SW-041	81.8		< 0.5	U	51		5.42		47200		79.5		79.3		0.159	J+	1.74	
SW-042	97.3		< 0.5		53.7		0.867		32800		12.1		12.1		0.037	J+	2.53	
SW-043	82.7		< 0.5	U	63.5		0.026		148000		< 0.9	U	1.1	J	0.178	J+	0.297	
SW-043	176		< 0.2	U	79.2		0.008	J	131000		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.023	J	1.66	
SW-044	13.3		< 0.5	U	99.8		0.36		36600		< 0.9	U	2.6	J	0.144	J+	0.35	
SW-045	45.6		< 0.5	U	41.7		5.65		38800		89.1		78.8		0.311	J+	1.74	
SW-045	43.8		< 0.5	U	42.2		5.75		37200		89.3		89		0.308	J+	1.77	
SW-046	104		< 0.5	U	30.7		0.078		132000		< 0.9	U	1.5	J	0.15	J+	0.066	
SW-047	72.7		< 0.5	U	42.5		0.009	J	161000		< 0.9	U		UJ	0.170	J	< 0.5	U
SW-048	78.1		< 0.5	U	128		0.03		130000		< 0.9	U	1.2	J	0.629	J+	0.183	
SW-048	113		< 0.2	U	152		< 0.008	U	122000		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.051	J	0.524	
SW-049	39.5		< 0.5	U	39.9		< 0.009	U	97200		< 0.9	U	< 0.9	UJ	0.576	J	0.065	
SW-049	39.6		< 0.5	U	39.6			U	97000		< 0.9	U	< 0.9	UJ	0.586	J	0.065	
SW-049	38.7		< 0.2	U	37.0		< 0.008	U	94400		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.643	J	0.043	



Table 3.1-1: Surface Water Sampling Results – Dissolved Fraction

Table 3.1-1.		ater Sampling R	Iron			Magn	ocium	Mana	ranoco	Ma	oreun/	Molyh	odenum	Nii	ckel	Phoe	phorus	Poto	ssium	Solo	enium
	7440	pper -50-8	7439-89-6		ead 9-92-1	_	nesium 9-95-4		ganese 9-96-5		ercury 89-97-6	,	9-98-7		0-02-0		9-14-0		551um)-09-7		2-49-2
	SW6		SW6010C		/6020		6010C		6010C		V7470		6010C		6010C		C/E365.3		010C		6020
	9	9	N/A		2.5		000		20		N/A		I/A		52		I/A		000		3.1 ²
	μg	ı/L	μg/L		ıg/L		g/L		g/L		ug/L		g/L		g/L		g/L		g/L		g/L
Site	Result		sult Qualifie		Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
Blank		U < 3	U	0.006	J	2.2	J	< 0.3	U	< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	20	J	60	J		U
Blank Blank		U 7 U 4	J	< 0.007 0.008	U I	3.6	J	< 0.3	U	< 0.02 < 0.02	U II	3.4 < 0.5	U	< 0.9 < 0.4	U	10 25	J	< 50 < 60	li		U
Blank		U 4	.1	< 0.006	IJ	10.5		0.1	J	< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U	13	J	< 60	IJ		U
Blank		U 8	J	< 0.007	U	8.9		< 0.3	U	< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	8	J	< 50	U		U
SW-002	5.4	3060		1.61		43000		84.2		< 0.02	U	2.9	J	5.6		367		3200		2.9	
SW-003		U 322		0.077		56100		219		< 0.02	U	110		45.3		198		4360		52.4	
SW-003		U 26		< 0.006	U	115000		441		< 0.02	U	110	1.	32.6		79		9030		18.1	
SW-004A SW-004A		U 82 U 15	<u> </u>	0.063	11	12900 18500		33.9 17.5		< 0.02 < 0.02	U	2.4 < 4.2	U	1.9 4.0	J	62 111		840 8380		4.8 2.9	
SW-004A		U 58	J	0.034	U	15900		6.6		< 0.02	U	1.2	J.I	< 0.9	U	< 6	U	950		1.1	
SW-006		U 47		0.027		9250		2.4		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	< 6	U	820		0.4	J
SW-006		U 11	J	0.027	J	9250		< 1.1	U	< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U		U	880		0.4	J
SW-007	< 2.1	U < 3	U	0.007	J	22800		1.4		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	< 6	U	890		0.7	J
SW-007		U 11	J	0.015	J	22700		30.0		< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U	46		900		0.5	J
SW-007		U 11	J	< 0.04	U	22400		33.9		< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U	45		890		0.5	J
SW-008 SW-008		U 167 U 122		0.109 0.059		27600 36100		77.6 125		< 0.02 < 0.02	II	1.6 4.8	J	1.2 5.6	J	< 6 104	U	1310 13700		2.1 0.8	
SW-009		U < 3	U	< 0.004	U	40000		< 0.3	U	< 0.02	U	2.5	J	< 0.9	U	50		1680		3	J
SW-010		U 33	- J	0.036	<u> </u>	38700		231		< 0.02	U	8.5		< 0.9	U	58		2080		3.3	
SW-010		U 13	J	0.041		49600		81.6		< 0.02	U	6.2		0.7	J	< 42	U	2680		0.6	J
SW-011		U 50		< 0.004	U	42500		244		< 0.02	U	5.8		< 0.9	U	67		2210		2.4	
SW-011		U 11	J	< 0.04	U	58600		14.3		< 0.02	U	4.7		0.4	J	< 42	U	1690		0.6	J
SW-012		U 91		0.052	1	41500		142		< 0.02	U	5.8		< 0.9	U	89		2190		2.7	
SW-012 SW-013		U 63 U < 3	U	< 0.04 < 0.004	III	76200 43800		1010 13.5		< 0.02 < 0.02	11	15.0 23.6		11.2 16.8		328 < 6	U	16600 2480		2.6 86.1	\vdash
SW-013		U 4	J	< 0.004	U	51800		4.7		< 0.02	U	24.5		8.5		< 42	U	2710		81.7	
SW-014		U <12	U	< 0.010	U	27000		< 0.3	U	< 0.02	U	1.7	J	< 0.9	U	63		1300		2.7	
SW-014		U 9	J	0.016	J	28700		0.07	J	< 0.02	U	7.9		< 0.4	U	61		1270		2.6	
SW-015		U 345		0.236		35600		43.3		< 0.02	U	1.8	J	< 0.9	U	66		1880		2.7	
SW-015		U 7 U 47	J	0.035		42300 43400		97.1		< 0.02 < 0.02	U	7.5		< 0.4	U	46		3550 1970		1.2	1
SW-016 SW-016		U 4	1	0.041	1	50000		10.4 5.1		< 0.02	11	7.1	J	5.3 3.5	1	99 101		2560		69.9 24.2	
SW-018	2.2	J 1340	<u> </u>	1.12	3	6690		38.9		< 0.02	IJ	< 0.9	U	1.2	J	84		910			U
SW-018		U 16	J	0.016	J	13700		14.9		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	< 0.4	U	< 42	U	770			U
SW-018	< 0.9	U 18	J	0.011	J	13900		15.0		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	< 0.4	U	< 42	U	790		< 0.2	U
SW-019		U 872		0.681		5660		19.0		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U		J	53		710			U
SW-019		U < 3	U	0.006	J	12200		< 1.1	U	< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U	< 42	U	610			U
SW-020 SW-020		U 212 U 5	1	0.161 0.021		6530 11000		3.3 < 1.1	U	< 0.02 < 0.02	U	< 0.9 < 0.5	U	< 0.9 < 0.4	U	< 22 < 42	U	380 450			U
SW-020 SW-021		U 512	J	0.021		13400		7.5	<u> </u>	< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U	54		860			U
SW-021		U 9	J	< 0.04	U	18200		2.4		< 0.02	Ū	5.7		< 0.4	U	< 42	U	750			U
SW-022		U 333		0.054		15600		11.4		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	0.9	J	90		1830		0.4	J
SW-022		U 9	J	< 0.04	U	16900		5.4		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	< 0.4	U	< 42	U	2490			U
SW-023		U 68		0.053	ļ.,	32200		42.3		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	80	ļ	8700		0.4	J
SW-023		U 6	J	< 0.02	U	45100		9.8 38.5		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	< 0.4	U	< 42	U	16600	1	0.7	J
SW-024A SW-024A		U 76 U 5	.1	0.061 0.024		19300 16000		38.5 11.7		< 0.02 < 0.02	II	< 0.9 < 4.2	U	< 0.9 < 0.4	U	96 < 42	U	2430 4620		0.3	J
SW-024A SW-025		U 162	J	0.024		37200	_	28.7		< 0.02	U	24.4		7.6		44		6610		26.2	
SW-025		U < 3	U	< 0.02	U	44300		1.6		< 0.02	Ū	28.9		5.4		< 42	U	7890		29.8	
SW-026		U < 3	U	< 0.010	U	49800		< 0.3	U	< 0.02	U	1.6	J	1.5	J	< 28	U	18500		8.1	
SW-026		U 6	J	< 0.006	U	50300		< 0.07	U	< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	2.0	J	< 42	U	17100		8.8	
SW-028		U 167		0.104	1	26300		10.2		< 0.02	U	1.5	J	< 0.9	U	49		1230		1.7	<u> </u>
SW-028 SW-029		U 9 U 89	J	0.009 0.026	J	33200 43900		328 217		< 0.02 < 0.02	U	< 4.2 6.5	U	< 0.4	U	64 79	-	1420 19500		0.5 1.4	J
SW-029		U 7	.1	< 0.026	U	64600		54.1		< 0.02	U	4.6		3.4	J		U	26900		0.8	J
J V V - U Z S	< ∪.5	0 /	J	< 0.0∠	10	04000	1	J4. I	ı	< ∪.UZ	Jo	→. .∪	1	JJ. T	J	\ 7 2	10	20300	<u>I</u>	0.0	10



Table 3.1-1: Surface Water Sampling Results – Dissolved Fraction

		oper -50-8 010C	7439	on 9-89-6 6010C	7439	ead 9-92-1 /6020	7439	esium 9-95-4 6010C	7439	ganese 9-96-5 6010C	7439	rcury 9-97-6 7470	7439	denum 9-98-7 6010C	7440	ckel 0-02-0 6010C	772	sphorus 3-14-0 0C/E365.3	7440	ssium 1-09-7 1-010C	7782	enium 2-49-2 /6020
	9			//A		2.5		000		20		I/A		I/A		52		N/A		000		/3.1 ²
0:1-	μί	,		g/L Qualifier		g/L		g/L		g/L		g/L Qualifier	Γ,	g/L	Г,	g/L		ıg/L		g/L		g/L
Site SW-030	Result < 2.1	Qualifier	Result 81	Qualifier	Result 0.083	Qualifier	Result 28400	Qualifier	Result 81.1	Qualifier	Result < 0.02	Qualifier	Result < 0.9	Qualifier U	Result < 0.9	Qualifier U	Result 223	Qualifier	Result 6230	Qualifier	Result 0.4	Qualifier
SW-030	< 0.9		16	1	0.063		39400		72.1		< 0.02	II	< 4.2	U	0.6	ı	148		7510		0.4	1
SW-031	< 2.1		803	0	0.483		16100		39.2		< 0.02	П	< 0.9	U	0.9	J	134		1510		0.5	1.1
SW-031	< 0.9		9	.I	0.012	J	14900		11.2		< 0.02	IJ	< 4.2	U	< 0.4	U	53		1330		0.3	1.1
SW-032	< 2.1	Ü	25		0.012	J	18100		0.6	J	< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	26	J	320		0.2	J
SW-033	< 2.1		658		0.371		11200		120		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	1.1	J	83		470		< 0.2	U
SW-033	< 0.9		31		0.008	J	14200		6.7		< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U	62		560		< 0.2	Ū
SW-034	< 2.1		< 3	U	0.018	J	56000		13.9		< 0.02	U	4.5		< 0.9	U	48		20400		0.8	J
SW-034	< 0.9	U	5	J	< 0.02	U	64400		< 0.07	U	< 0.02	U	7.1	J	< 0.4	U	< 42	U	24700		1.0	
SW-035	< 2.1	U	144		0.105		25700		53.4		< 0.02	U	1.3	J	< 0.9	U	70		1260		0.6	J
SW-035	< 0.9	U	7	J	< 0.04	U	24700		60.4		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	< 0.4	U	44		1640		0.5	J
SW-036	< 2.1	U	81		0.044		34700		193		< 0.02	U	2.2	J	1.8	J	80		7680		0.4	J
SW-036	< 0.9	U	63		0.022		102000		13600		< 0.02	U	10.1		9.8		118		31500		0.8	J
SW-037	< 2.1	U	23		0.027		35800		0.4	J	0.02	J	1.6	J	< 0.9	U	< 16	U	1680		2.5	
SW-037	< 2.1	U	23		0.025		35900		< 0.3	U	< 0.02	U	1.9	J	< 0.9	U	< 15	U	1690		2.4	
SW-037	< 0.9	U	8	J	0.011	J	44200		< 0.07	U	< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	< 0.4	U	< 42	U	2720		0.6	J
SW-038	< 2.1	U	152		0.098		28000		10.7		< 0.02	U	2.3	J	< 0.9	U	< 6	U	1240		3.8	
SW-038	< 0.9	U	13	J	< 0.04	U	27100		93.1		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	< 0.4	U	< 42	U	1230		3.3	
SW-039	< 2.1	U	36		0.028		34600		37.2		< 0.02	U	5.5		< 0.9	U	158		3260		3.2	
SW-039	< 0.9	U	21	J	0.017	J	39500		126		< 0.02	U	5.0		< 0.4	U	95		3240		3.5	
SW-040	< 2.1		84		0.06		49800		1.3		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	3.4	J	198		2860		47.7	
SW-040	< 0.9		< 3	U	< 0.02	U	53200		< 0.07	U	< 0.02	U	5.8		1.9	J	31		3020		63.7	
SW-040	< 0.9		< 21	U	< 0.006	U	53700		< 1.1	U	< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	1.4	J	44		2990		62.1	4
SW-041	37.4		3030		2.12		8530		676		0.15	J	45.2		73.8		2370		19800		1.9	
SW-042	8.4		3830		1.87		11200		1800		< 0.02	U	15.1		16.6		698		13000		0.8	J
SW-043	< 2.1	_	77		0.055		39800		314		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	181		3250		2.3	
SW-043	< 0.9		81		0.020	J	45100		3790		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	2.9	J	128		3860		2.0	
SW-044	2.8		237		0.076		12900		26.8		< 0.02	U	14.5		9.5		230		370		2	
SW-045	37		4180		1.94		7640		137		0.16	J	22.7		72		2960		17100		2.3	
SW-045	36.3		4030		1.96		7350		135		0.17	J	22.3		69.3		2850	ļ.	16800		2.3	
SW-046	< 2.1		34		0.024		26500		13.2		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	34	J	1180		1.6	+
SW-047	< 2.1	_	58		0.057		37000		48.8		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	54		1320		0.9	J
SW-048	< 2.1		211		0.14		47400		36.1		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	57		780		42.8	4
SW-048	< 0.9		15	J	0.029		59100		551		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	1.1	J	120	11	3660		29.3	4
SW-049	< 2.1		112	J	0.082		30100		7.7		< 0.02	U	1.9	J	< 0.9	U	< 6	U	4920		2.2	4
SW-049	< 2.1		86	J	0.1		30500		7.7		< 0.02	U	2.6	J	< 0.9	U	< 6	U	4930		2.2	4
SW-049	< 0.9	U	5	J	< 0.04	U	29000		3.1		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	< 0.4	U	< 42	U	4590		2.1	



Table 3.1-1: Surface Water Sampling Results – Dissolved Fraction

Blank			ver		dium		llium		nium		adium		Zinc
Site													
Site Site Sesuit Qualifier Result Qualifier Qualifier Result Qualifier Result Qualifier Result Qualifier Result Qualifier Qualifier Result Qualifier								_					
Select Court Cou													
Blank	Site												Qualifier
Blank	Blank	< 0.008		< 20			U		U	< 1.1	U	0.6	J
Slank	3lank	< 0.002	U	< 20	U	< 0.008	U	< 0.005	U	< 1.1	U	< 0.6	-
Slank		< 0.009	U		J	< 0.009				< 0.5			
SW-002			U	30			U				_		_
\$\text{SW-003} & 0.097 & \$1500 & 0.085 & \$2.6\$ & \$12.6\$ & \$40.8\$ \$\text{SW-004A}\$ & 0.009 & \$\text{U}\$ & \$145000 & \$<0.008\$ & \$\text{U}\$ & \$0.033\$ & \$3.8\$ & \$\text{J}\$ & \$1.2\$ & \$\text{J}\$ \$\text{SW-004A}\$ & 0.007 & \$\text{J}\$ & \$14000 & \$<0.008\$ & \$\text{U}\$ & \$0.339\$ & \$3.8\$ & \$\text{J}\$ & \$1.2\$ & \$\text{J}\$ \$\text{SW-004A}\$ & \$0.009\$ & \$\text{U}\$ & \$0.099\$ & \$\text{U}\$ & \$0.089\$ & \$\text{J}\$ & \$0.099\$ & \$\text{U}\$ & \$0.089\$ & \$\text{J}\$ & \$\text{J}\$ & \$\text{L}\$ & \$\text{U}\$ & \$\text{SW-005}\$ & \$<0.002\$ & \$\text{U}\$ & \$\text{J}\$ & \$\text{J}\$ & \$\text{U}\$ & \$\text{U}\$ & \$\text{U}\$ & \$\text{SW-005}\$ & \$<0.002\$ & \$\text{U}\$ & \$\text{J}\$ & \$\text{U}\$ & \$U			U	_	U		U		U		U		U
SW-003													
\$WY-004A 0.007 J 14000		_											
\$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c													J
SW-006			_				_				J		J
SW-006											_		
SW-006													U
SW-007			_								i i		J
SW-007			_				_				J		
SW-007 C											J		
SW-008											U		
SW-008											-		
SW-009											J		J
SW-010		_	U				J				U		J
SW-010			U	64100		< 0.008	U			< 1.1	U		J
SW-011		< 0.02	U	86500		< 0.02	U	2.43		3.7	J	< 4.2	U
SW-012	SW-011	< 0.008	U			< 0.008	U	3.85		< 1.1	U	1.2	J
SW-012	SW-011	< 0.009	U	88300		< 0.009	U	1.27		2.5	J		U
SW-013		0.01	J	65600		< 0.008	U			< 1.1	U		J
SW-013							U						U
SW-014 < 0.008			_										
SW-014 < 0.009 U 53000 0.037 1.77 0.7 J 2.0 J SW-015 < 0.008 U 36200 < 0.008 U 1.87 4.2 2.1 J SW-015 < 0.02 U 43100 0.026 1.63 3.4 J < 0.4 U SW-016 0.064 41000 0.138 9.50 19.8 5.3 SW-016 < 0.02 U 48600 0.121 8.42 14.0 0.5 J SW-018 0.010 J 5100 0.021 0.303 2.3 J 5.8 SW-018 < 0.009 U 10100 < 0.009 U 0.531 0.9 J < 0.4 U SW-018 < 0.009 U 10300 < 0.009 U 0.488 0.6 J < 0.4 U SW-019 < 0.008 U 3570 0.012 J 0.182 1.6 J 3.2 J SW-019 < 0.009 U 6390 < 0.009 U 0.327 < 0.5 U < 0.4 U SW-019 < 0.009 U 4040 < 0.009 U 0.310 1.1 J < 0.6 U SW-020 < 0.009 U 4040 < 0.009 U 0.316 < 0.5 U < 0.4 U SW-021 0.009 J 6420 0.078 0.398 2.6 J 2.6 J SW-021 < 0.020 U 7490 0.067 0.455 1.2 J < 4.2 U SW-022 < 0.008 U 17000 < 0.008 U 0.455 1.2 J < 0.7 J SW-022 < 0.009 U 14300 < 0.002 U 1.08 2.6 J < 0.7 J SW-023 < 0.009 U 14300 < 0.002 U 1.08 2.6 J < 0.7 J SW-023 < 0.009 U 14300 < 0.020 U 1.08 2.6 J < 0.5 J SW-024 < 0.008 U 19900 < 0.008 U 1.25 2.1 J 0.5 J SW-024 < 0.009 U 19300 < 0.009 U 1.48 3.5 J 0.5 J SW-024 < 0.009 U 19300 < 0.009 U 1.48 3.5 J 0.5 J SW-024 < 0.009 U 19300 < 0.009 U 1.48 3.5 J 0.5 J SW-025 < 0.009 U 19300 < 0.009 U 1.48 3.5 J 0.5 J SW-026 < 0.009 U 23600 0.096 1.14 < 2.5 U 11.6 J < 0.5 J SW-026 < 0.009 U 23600 0.096 1.14 < 2.5 U 11.6 J < 0.4 U SW-028 < 0.009 U 33600 0.096 1.15 1.21 2.3 J 20.5 SW-028 < 0.009 U 33600 0.096 0.096 1.14 < 0.08 J 0.05 J SW-028 < 0.009 U 36600 0.008 U 0.086 0.086 1.6 J 0.4 U U 1.3 J 0.5 J 0.05 J 0.008 U													
SW-015 < 0.008 U 36200 < 0.008 U 1.87 4.2 2.1 J SW-015 < 0.02 U 43100 0.026 1.63 3.4 J < 0.4 U U SW-016 0.064 41000 0.138 9.50 19.8 5.3 SW-016 < 0.02 U 48600 0.121 8.42 14.0 0.5 J SW-018 0.101 J 5100 0.021 0.303 2.3 J 5.8 SW-018 < 0.009 U 10100 < 0.009 U 0.531 0.99 J < 0.4 U SW-018 < 0.009 U 10300 < 0.009 U 0.488 0.6 J < 0.4 U SW-019 < 0.008 U 3570 0.012 J 0.182 1.6 J 3.2 J SW-019 < 0.009 U 6390 < 0.009 U 0.327 < 0.5 U < 0.4 U SW-020 < 0.009 U 0.3316 < 0.5 U < 0.4 U SW-020 < 0.009 U 0.3316 < 0.05 U < 0.4 U SW-021 < 0.009 U 0.3316 < 0.5 U < 0.4 U SW-021 < 0.002 U 4040 < 0.009 U 0.3316 < 0.5 U < 0.4 U SW-021 < 0.002 U 7490 0.067 0.038 U 0.338 2.6 J 2.6 J SW-021 < 0.002 U 14300 < 0.008 U 0.067 0.435 1.2 J < 1.2 J < 4.2 U SW-023 < 0.008 U 14300 < 0.008 U 0.455 1.6 J 0.7 J SW-023 < 0.008 U 14300 < 0.008 U 1.07 1.9 J 0.7 J SW-023 < 0.008 U 25600 < 0.008 U 0.455 1.6 J 0.7 J SW-023 < 0.008 U 25600 < 0.008 U 1.07 1.9 J 0.7 J SW-024 < 0.008 U 14300 < 0.008 U 1.07 1.9 J 0.7 J SW-024 < 0.008 U 19300 < 0.008 U 1.48 3.5 J 0.5 J SW-024 < 0.009 U 13500 < 0.008 U 1.48 3.5 J 0.5 J SW-024 < 0.009 U 13500 0.071 2.67 11.6 0.8 J SW-025 < 0.009 U 23600 0.096 1.14 < 2.5 U 18.4 SW-026 < 0.009 U 23600 0.096 1.14 < 2.5 U 18.4 SW-026 < 0.009 U 23600 0.096 1.14 < 2.5 U 18.4 SW-028 < 0.009 U 36600 < 0.008 U 0.886 1.6 J < 0.4 U U SW-028 < 0.009 U 36600 < 0.008 U 0.886 1.6 J < 0.4 U U U SW-028 < 0.009 U 36600 < 0.009 U 0.886 1.6 J < 0.4							U						
SW-015 < 0.02											J		
SW-016 0.064 41000 0.138 9.50 19.8 5.3 SW-016 < 0.02			_				U						
SW-016 < 0.02			U								J		U
SW-018 0.010 J 5100 0.021 0.303 2.3 J 5.8 SW-018 < 0.009			11										1
SW-018 < 0.009													J
SW-018 < 0.009 U 10300 < 0.009 U 0.488 0.6 J < 0.4 U SW-019 < 0.008			~				11				J		11
SW-019 < 0.008											ı		
SW-019 < 0.009 U 6390 < 0.009 U 0.327 < 0.5 U < 0.4 U SW-020 < 0.008											.l		.I
SW-020		1									IJ		U
SW-020 C C C C C C C C C											J		
SW-021 0.009 J 6420 0.078 0.398 2.6 J 2.6 J SW-021 < 0.02 U 7490 0.067 0.435 1.2 J < 4.2 U SW-022 < 0.008 U 17000 < 0.008 U 0.45 1.6 J 0.7 J SW-022 < 0.009 U 14300 < 0.02 U 1.08 2.6 J < 4.2 U SW-023 < 0.008 U 25600 < 0.008 U 1.07 1.9 J 0.7 J SW-023 < 0.009 U 28600 < 0.009 U 1.25 2.1 J 0.5 J SW-024A < 0.008 U 19900 < 0.008 U 0.968 2.7 J < 0.6 U SW-025 0.010 J 27400 0.093 3.13 6.5 4.3 SW-026 < 0.008 U 23600<			_				_				U		
SW-021 < 0.02 U			J								J		J
SW-022 < 0.008 U			U						İ		J		U
SW-023 < 0.008	SW-022	< 0.008	U	17000		< 0.008	U	0.45		1.6	J	0.7	J
SW-023 < 0.009	SW-022	< 0.009	U	14300		< 0.02	U	1.08		2.6	J	< 4.2	U
6W-024A < 0.008	SW-023	< 0.008	U	25600		< 0.008	U	1.07			J	0.7	J
6W-024A < 0.009											J		
6W-025 0.010 J 27400 0.093 3.13 6.5 4.3 6W-025 < 0.009							_				J		_
6W-025 < 0.009		_					U				J		J
6W-026 < 0.008		_											ļ
SW-026 < 0.009											ļ		J
SW-028 < 0.008											_		
SW-028							<u> </u>				-		<u> </u>
SW-029 < 0.008 U 18800 < 0.008 U 1.77 3.2 J 1.5 J											U		
											J		
	SW-029 SW-029	< 0.008	U	18800 31100		< 0.008 < 0.009	U	1.77		3.2 5.9	J	1.5 0.7	J



Table 3.1-1: Surface Water Sampling Results – Dissolved Fraction

Table 3.1-1:	Surface W	ater Sampl	ing Result	s – Dissolv	ed Fractio	on						
	Si	lver	Soc	lium	Tha	allium	Ura	nium	Vana	adium	2	Zinc
	7440	0-22-4	7440	-23-5	7440	0-28-0	7440)-61-1	7440)-62-2	744	10-66-6
	SW	6020	SW6	010C	SW	6020	SW	6020	SW6	6010C	SW	6010C
		.34	680	000	N	I/A	2	.6		15		100
	μ	g/L	μί	g/L		g/L	μί	g/L	μ	g/L	ŀ	ug/L
Site	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier		Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
SW-030	< 0.008	U	46900		< 0.008	U	0.816		2	J	< 0.6	U
SW-030	< 0.009	U	73500		< 0.009	U	0.739		3.4	J	0.7	J
SW-031	< 0.008	U	14800		0.008	J	0.838		3.3	J	3.5	J
SW-031	< 0.009	U	12900		< 0.009	U	0.748		2.1	J	< 0.4	U
SW-032	< 0.008	U	15700		< 0.008	U	0.818		1.5	J	0.7	J
SW-033	< 0.008	U	11100		< 0.008	U	0.287		4	J	4.2	
SW-033	< 0.009	U	13600		< 0.009	U	0.450		3.8	J	< 0.4	U
SW-034	< 0.008	U	33700		< 0.008	U	1.19		2.6	J	1.3	J
SW-034	< 0.009	U	35200		0.032	J	0.991		1.3	J	< 0.4	U
SW-035	< 0.008	U	26700		< 0.008	U	1.18		2	J	1.2	J
SW-035	< 0.009	U	22000		< 0.009	U	1.21		1.9	J	< 4.2	U
SW-036	< 0.008	U	45900		< 0.008	U	1.45		< 1.8	U	0.6	J
SW-036	< 0.009	U	262000		< 0.009	U	1.52		20.2		0.5	J
SW-037	< 0.008	U	36300		< 0.008	U	1.80		2.2	J	0.6	J
SW-037	< 0.008	U	36300		< 0.008	U	1.83		2.3	J	< 0.6	U
SW-037	< 0.009	U	46400		< 0.02	U	1.56		3.4	J	0.5	J
SW-038	< 0.002	U	34000		< 0.008	U	2.17		< 1.1	U	0.7	J
SW-038	< 0.009	U	32100		< 0.009	U	2.18		0.8	J	0.4	J
SW-039	< 0.008	U	38500		< 0.008	U	3.40		3.6	J	1.1	J
SW-039	< 0.009	U	44700		< 0.009	U	3.60		4.0	J	0.4	J
SW-040	0.038		65900		0.03		5.11		3.7	J	16.2	
SW-040	< 0.02	U	73900		0.026		5.13		1.9	J	9.8	
SW-040	< 0.009	U	75200		0.020		5.05		2.4	J	9.9	
SW-041	1.5		4900		0.28		4.81		136		231	
SW-042	0.075		7710		0.043		2.37		21		46.2	
SW-043	< 0.008	U	71700		< 0.008	U	2.28		4.2		0.7	J
SW-043	< 0.009	U	79300		< 0.009	U	3.10		13.0		0.6	J
SW-044	0.039		53100		< 0.008	U	7.62		5.7		6.2	
SW-045	1.74		1020		0.425		3.01		133		251	
SW-045	1.77		1000		0.421		3.08		134		245	
SW-046	< 0.008	U	29200		< 0.008	U	0.854		2.6	J	1.6	J
SW-047	< 0.008	U	38500		< 0.008	U	0.744		< 1.5	U	2.2	J
SW-048	< 0.008	U	71100		< 0.008	U	3.87		3.5	J	2.7	J
SW-048	< 0.009	U	85500		< 0.009	U	3.60		5.0		< 0.4	U
SW-049	< 0.002	U	16100		0.105		1.77		2.9	J	0.9	J
SW-049	< 0.002	U	16100		0.106		1.78		2.9	J	1.1	J
SW-049	< 0.009	U	15500		0.087		1.78		2.1	J	0.4	J
	Notes:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				-	•		• -

Value is above the Ecological screening level

1. Ecological screening levels, minimum of sources presented in RA Approach (Appendix B to the RI Work Plan)

2. Selenium used from the EPA freshwater aquatic life criteria (2016) for lentic and lotic systems

< - Result is not detected above the method detection limit (MDL)

CAS - Chemical Abstracts Service

J - Result is estimated, J+ denotes estimated value biased high

mg/l - milligram per liter

N/A - No Screening level available

QC - Quality Control

U - Result is not detected above the MDL

μg/L - microgram per liter

*Water source is upgradient of mining, but the dikes or dams that hold back the water are of unknown composition



Table 3.1-2: Surface Water Sampling Results – Total Fraction

						Analyte	Hardness, Calcium	Carbonate	Alur	minum	Antii	mony	Arse	enic
						CAS #	HARDCA	١	742	9-90-5	7440	-36-0	7440-)-38-2
						Analysis Method	SM2340E	3	SW	6010C	SW	6020	E16	632A
						Ecological Screening Level	N/A			87	(6	N/	
						Human Health Screening Level	N/A		530	00000	5	.6		018
						Units	mg/l			ıa/L		a/L	hd	
Site	Site Description	Sample Date	Sample OC	Position	Waterbody Type	Area	Result	Qualifier		Qualifier	F\	Qualifier	Result	
Blank	Site Description	5/17/2018		1 OSILIOII	waterbody Type		0.067		< 3	l l	< 0.020		0.005	ı Qualifici
Blank	 	5/22/2018					0.007		< 3	U	0.048		< 0.003	11
		5/23/2018					0.034		< 3		0.046		< 0.003	0
Blank									<u>< 3</u> 4	<u> U</u>				
Blank		9/13/2018					0.08	•	•	J			0.003	J
Blank		9/18/2018	Biank				0.066		< 4				0.010	J
SW-002	Lincoln Creek below North Limb	5/23/2018			Downgradient		394		2460		0.348		1.71	
SW-003	O, P Pit Lake	5/23/2018			On disturbance		662		13	_	0.557		0.97	
SW-003	O, P Pit Lake	9/20/2018			On disturbance		925		66		0.931		2.85	
SW-004A	Cattle Pond A above O, P Pit(near reclaimed area)	5/23/2018			On disturbance		186		64		0.251		0.521	
SW-004A	Cattle Pond A above O, P Pit(near reclaimed area)	9/19/2018			On disturbance		263		431		0.336		1.15	
SW-005	Lincoln Creek above North Limb	5/23/2018		Creek	Upgradient or Background		324		124		0.191		0.314	
SW-006	Covered Springs(on Lincoln Creek going to east)	5/22/2018					245		74		0.079		0.209	
SW-006	Covered Springs(on Lincoln Creek going to east)	9/19/2018		Spring	Upgradient or Background		240		5	J	0.036	J	0.197	<u> </u>
SW-007	Bronco Springs (almost due east of K Pit)	5/22/2018			Upgradient or Background		314		< 3	U	0.096		0.191	
SW-007	Bronco Springs (almost due east of K Pit)	9/19/2018					306		77	J	0.069		0.260	
SW-007	Bronco Springs (almost due east of K Pit)	9/19/2018	Duplicate		Upgradient or Background		303		43	J	0.069		0.248	
SW-008	Cow Spring / Unnamed pond near cattle trough(above K Pit)	5/23/2018			On disturbance		429		147		0.142		0.282	
SW-008	Cow Spring / Unnamed pond near cattle trough(above K Pit)	9/20/2018			On disturbance		379		413		0.256		1.26	
SW-009	Source of Bunkhouse Spring	5/21/2018					527		< 3		0.151		0.567	
SW-010	Pond #3 / Main Holding Pond above A12 Pit	5/21/2018			Upgradient*		483		22		0.306		0.764	
SW-010	Pond #3 / Main Holding Pond above A12 Pit	9/18/2018			Upgradient*		392		158		0.410		0.772	
SW-010	Pond 1 above A12 Pit (East)	5/21/2018			On disturbance		480		26		0.229		0.896	_
SW-011	Pond 1 above A12 Pit (East)	9/18/2018			On disturbance		397		28	_	0.229		1.28	
	\ /	5/21/2018			On disturbance		479		<u>20</u> 40		0.238			
SW-012	Pond 2 above A12 Pit (West)						589		40 297				0.835 4.34	
SW-012	Pond 2 above A12 Pit (West)	9/18/2018			On disturbance						0.924			
SW-013	A12 Lake in A12 Pit	5/21/2018			On disturbance		519		11		0.428		1.01	
SW-013	A12 Lake in A12 Pit	9/19/2018			On disturbance		472		30		0.513		1.35	\vdash
SW-014	Big Willow Springs	5/17/2018			On disturbance		461		46		0.155		0.377	
SW-014	Big Willow Springs	9/13/2018			On disturbance		446		6		0.136		0.376	
SW-015	Willow Creek (Upper Ross Fork Creek)	5/16/2018			Downgradient		520		470		0.152		1.02	
SW-015	Willow Creek (Upper Ross Fork Creek)	9/11/2018			Downgradient		566		2120		0.161		1.35	
SW-016	Lake in JD/JF Pit	5/16/2018			On disturbance		501		42		0.218		1.54	
SW-016	Lake in JD/JF Pit	9/12/2018			On disturbance		483		115		0.322		4.26	
SW-018	Ross Fork Creek (downstream of Danielson Creek)	5/15/2018		Creek	On disturbance		86.1		1740		0.035	J	1.29	
SW-018	Ross Fork Creek (downstream of Danielson Creek)	9/12/2018		Creek	On disturbance		152		168		< 0.05	U	1.77	
SW-018	Ross Fork Creek (downstream of Danielson Creek)	9/12/2018	Duplicate	Creek	On disturbance	West of South 40	154		159		< 0.05	U	1.70	<i>i</i> 1
SW-019	Ross Fork above the Narrows	5/15/2018		Creek	On disturbance	West of South 40	73.3		1240		0.029	J	0.846	
SW-019	Ross Fork above the Narrows	9/12/2018		Creek	On disturbance	West of South 40	136		104		< 0.05		0.998	
SW-020	Big Springs (spring only)	5/16/2018		Spring	Upgradient	South of South 40	80.4		232		< 0.020	U	0.393	
SW-020	Big Springs (spring only)	9/12/2018		Spring	Upgradient	South of South 40	115		26		< 0.020	U	0.712	
SW-021	Jeff Cabin Creek(water source for Fa kner Ranch)	5/18/2018			Upgradient	South of East Limb & SE of South 40	150		910		0.111		2.46	
SW-021	Jeff Cabin Creek(water source for Fa kner Ranch)	9/18/2018			Upgradient	South of East Limb & SE of South 40			162		0.027		2.55	
SW-022	Lower Big Jimmy Creek Spring(area feeding into Portneuf River)	5/18/2018					277		180		0.174		4.26	
SW-022	Lower Big Jimmy Creek Spring(area feeding into Portneuf River)	9/18/2018					232		87		0.049		1.01	
SW-023	Portneuf River (downstream of Bakers Creek)	5/18/2018			Downgradient Downgradient		362		81		0.128		1.46	
SW-023	Portneuf River (downstream of Bakers Creek)	9/17/2018			Downgradient		376		181		0.080		1.93	\vdash
SW-024A	Portneuf River (above Bakers Creek)	5/18/2018			Downgradient		297		89		0.156		1.15	\vdash
SW-024A	Portneuf River (above Bakers Creek)	9/17/2018			Downgradient		212		246		0.102		1.93	\vdash
SW-024A SW-025	Z Pit Lake	5/17/2018			On disturbance		260		246 109		0.102		0.573	\vdash
	Z Pit Lake				On disturbance		249				0.507			\vdash
SW-025		9/14/2018							79				1.83	\vdash
SW-026	Queedup Springs(by Lone Pine Canyon Road)	5/17/2018			Downgradient		604		< 3	_			3.28	\vdash
SW-026	Queedup Springs(by Lone Pine Canyon Road)	9/13/2018			Downgradient		557		5		0.020		3.21	\vdash
SW-028	Lone Pine Spring(Y Spring South, along Lone Pine Road)	5/17/2018			Upgradient		475		440		0.079		0.148	\vdash
SW-028	Lone Pine Spring(Y Spring South, along Lone Pine Road)	9/13/2018		Spring	Upgradient	East of East Limb	555		1460		0.105		0.542	



Table 3.1-2: Surface Water Sampling Results – Total Fraction

						Analyte CAS # Analysis Method Ecological Screening Leve Human Health Screening Leve	SM2340E I N/A	١	SW6	-90-5 7444 010C SW	mony 0-36-0 6020 6 5.6	7440 E16 N	senic 0-38-2 632A I/A 018
						Units	mg/l			, –	g/L	μ	g/L
Site	Site Description	Sample Date			Waterbody Type	Area	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
SW-029	W Pit Lake	5/20/2018			Downgradient	East Limb	344		9	J 0.289		23.4	
SW-029	W Pit Lake	9/14/2018			Downgradient	East Limb	329		17	0.395		67.9	
SW-030	East Limb North Pond / Holding pond below Y intersection(above HH Pit)	5/20/2018		Pond	Upgradient*	West of East Limb	344		137	0.198		0.659	
SW-030	East Limb North Pond / Holding pond below Y intersection(above HH Pit)	9/14/2018			Upgradient*	West of East Limb	292		891	0.230		1.06	
SW-031	Portneuf River (above U Creek)	5/22/2018			Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb & NE East Limb	270		1360	0.131		0.822	
SW-031	Portneuf River (above U Creek)	9/19/2018			Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb & NE East Limb	224		561	0.052		0.608	
SW-032	Red Rock Spring	5/22/2018			Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb	226		100	0.116		0.429	
SW-033	Mud Springs (north & east of mine, along road near Red Rock Spring)	5/22/2018		Spring	Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb	161		1080	0.122		2.11	
SW-033	Mud Springs (north & east of mine, along road near Red Rock Spring)	9/19/2018			Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb	193		2880	0.062		2.32	
SW-034	North Fork of Bakers Creek	5/18/2018		Creek	Downgradient	East of East Limb	521		12	0.142		1.47	
SW-034	North Fork of Bakers Creek	9/17/2018		Creek	Downgradient	East of East Limb	497		11	0.039	J	1.47	
SW-035	U Creek(above confluence with Portneuf River)	5/22/2018		Creek	Downgradient	East of North Limb	385		209	0.143		0.708	
SW-035	U Creek(above confluence with Portneuf River)	9/19/2018		Creek	Downgradient	East of North Limb	354		209	0.143		0.632	
SW-036	Seep and Pond below EE-2	5/17/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	386		56	0.119		0.622	
SW-036	Seep and Pond below EE-2	9/13/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	880		2350	0.648		2.87	
SW-037	Willow Creek (Downstream of South 40 Area, upstream of Ross Fork Creek)	5/16/2018		Creek	Downgradient	South 40	500		30	0.136		0.900	
SW-037	Willow Creek (Downstream of South 40 Area, upstream of Ross Fork Creek)	5/16/2018 [Duplicate	Creek	Downgradient	South 40	501		30	0.132		0.956	
SW-037	Willow Creek (Downstream of South 40 Area, upstream of Ross Fork Creek)	9/11/2018	·	Creek	Downgradient	West of South 40	452		< 11	U 0.156		0.926	
SW-038	Lincoln Peak Spring(Above and east of the M Pit area)	5/23/2018		Spring	Upgradient	East of North Limb	477		256	0.119		0.125	
SW-038	Lincoln Peak Spring(Above and east of the M Pit area)	9/20/2018		Spring	Upgradient	East of North Limb	471		560	0.078		0.229	
SW-039	Y Spring(Between SW-014 and SW-015)	5/16/2018			Downgradient	South 40	565		84	0.400		1.15	
SW-039	Y Spring(Between SW-014 and SW-015)	9/12/2018		Spring	Downgradient	South 40	592		1070	0.382		1.65	
SW-040	Seep east of 11-2 Overburden Disposal Area (OBDA)	5/20/2018		Spring	On or near disturbance	East Limb	583		74	0.136		0.745	
SW-040	Seep east of 11-2 Overburden Disposal Area (OBDA)	8/27/2018		_	On or near disturbance	East Limb	557		24	0.058		1.03	
SW-040	Seep east of 11-2 Overburden Disposal Area (OBDA)	9/13/2018		Spring	On or near disturbance	East Limb	542		23	0.063		0.860	
SW-041	Catch Basin northeast of 11-2 OBDA	5/20/2018		Spring	On or near disturbance	East Limb	154		1810	2.96		9.84	
SW-042	Catch Basin northeast of AA-2 OBDA	5/20/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	130		3710	0.533		2.32	
SW-043	Spring east of AA-2 OBDA	5/20/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	541		76	0.107		1.18	
SW-043	Spring east of AA-2 OBDA	9/13/2018			Downgradient	East Limb	555		16600	0.151		7.87	
SW-044	Seep Area above and northeast of W Pit	5/20/2018			On disturbance	East Limb	146		51	0.356		1.52	
SW-045	BB-2 North Spring and Pond	5/20/2018			On disturbance	East Limb	129		2630	1.17		8.8	
SW-045	BB-2 North Spring and Pond	5/20/2018 [On disturbance	East Limb	125		2550	1.13		8.17	
SW-046	Pond on Lone Pine Road	5/20/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	437		22	0.143		0.26	
SW-047	Seep and Pond below FF-2	5/17/2018			Downgradient	East Limb	556		100	0.054		0.190	
SW-048	Spring box below OBDA 11, downstream of SW-040	5/20/2018		Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	530		455	0.145		0.421	
SW-048	Spring box below OBDA 11, downstream of SW-040	9/13/2018			Downgradient	East Limb	557		4980	0.140		2.49	
SW-049	Lincoln Creek(downstream of Yandell and Warm Springs)	5/23/2018		Creek	Downgradient	Northwest of North Limb	366		262	0.09		2.19	
SW-049	Lincoln Creek(downstream of Yandell and Warm Springs)	5/23/2018 [Creek	Downgradient	Northwest of North Limb	368		280	0.099		2.25	
SW-049	Lincoln Creek(downstream of Yandell and Warm Springs)	9/20/2018			Downgradient	Northwest of North Limb	358		205	0.051		2.44	



Table 3.1-2: Surface Water Sampling Results – Total Fraction

	Bar 7440 SW6		Bery 7440 SW6	-41-7	7440	ron 1-42-8 6020	7440	mium -43-9 6020	7440	cium -70-2 010C	Chroi 7440 SW6	-47-3	1606	ium (III) 5-83-1 NCE CALC	18540	t Chromium 0-29-9 18.6	7440	balt 0-48-4 0/SW6020	7440	pper 0-50-8 6010C
	N.	/A	N/ 2.	'A	N	/A .6	N 0.	/A		/A	N/ 18	/A	N	/A B0	N	/A 523	N	/A 3	N	I/A 9
	uc uc		uo uo		u		u.		μo		μο			30 a/L		7/L		a/L		a/L
Site	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
Blank	< 0.3	U	< 0.5	U	0.9	J	< 0.006	U	19	J	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.5	U	< 2.1	U
Blank				U	< 0.5	U	< 0.006	U	9	J		U		U	< 0.01	U	< 0.008	U	< 2.1	U
Blank				U	1	J	< 0.006	U	10	J		U		U	< 0.01	U	< 0.008	U	< 2.1	U
Blank				U	2.0		< 0.008	U	23			U		U	< 0.010	U	< 0.009	U	< 0.9	U
		_		U	1.3	J	< 0.008	U	18	J		U		U	< 0.010	U	< 0.009	U	< 0.9	U
	106 55.4			U U	75 93.5		0.409 1.37	J	80500 167000		6.6 1.7	1	6.4 1.6	J	0.211 0.077	J+ J+	0.866 0.668		6.3 2.2	1
	66.3			U	107		0.761	ı	179000		4.0	J I	3.9	ı	0.066	I	0.619		< 0.9	U
	96			U	37.7		0.093	3	52200		1.6	J	1.5		0.072	J+	0.106		< 2.1	U
	101			U	20.7		0.598	J	74400		4.7				0.050	J	0.246		< 0.9	U
SW-005	163		< 0.5	U	30.9		< 0.006	U	102000		< 0.9	U	< 0.9	UJ	0.128	J+	0.092		< 2.1	U
	138		1 0.0	U	16.9		< 0.006		82000			U			0.1	J	0.053		< 2.1	U
	134			U	18.2		< 0.008		81200			U			0.134	J	0.022		< 0.9	U
	33.6			U	33.4		< 0.006		87300			U			0.494	J	0.01	J	6.8	ļ., —
	33.2		₹ 0.2	U	33.6		< 0.008	J	85600			U			0.524	J	0.062		< 0.9	U
	32.8 55.1		, 0.2	U U	33.8 51.2		< 0.008	U	84800 125000		< 0.6 1.2	U			0.534 0.106	J	0.060 0.166		< 0.9 < 2.1	U
	106			U	94		0.06		92500		1.2	.l	1.1		0.106		0.166		< 0.9	U
	81.3			U	106		0.169		143000			U			0.026	J	0.463		< 2.1	U
	63.1			U	74.6		0.021		127000			U			0.036	J	0.183		< 2.1	U
	75.8			Ū	115		0.025		76000			Ū		U	< 0.010	U	0.267		< 0.9	U
	54.3		< 0.5	U	76.8		0.029		121000		< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	0.041	J	0.161		< 2.1	U
SW-011	58.6		< 0.2	U	109		0.027		66200			U	< 0.6	U	< 0.010	U	0.147		< 0.9	U
	49.9		٧ 0.0	U	77.1		0.107		121000			U			0.04	J	0.177		< 2.1	U
	207			U	186		0.698		107000		3.7	J	3.7		0.036	J	0.483		< 0.9	U
	65.4			U	73.8		1.75		129000			U			0.909	J	0.093		< 2.1	U
	61.4 152			U U	90		0.064		104000			U U			0.754	J	0.082	1	< 0.9	U
	146			U	48.8 53.5		0.134 0.097		140000 131000			U			0.132 0.187	J	0.7	J	< 2.1 < 0.9	U
	136			U	46.9	J	0.031		150000			U			0.040	.I	0.029	J	< 2.1	U
	170			U	70.7	J	0.129		157000		2.6	J	2.6		0.045	J	0.841		< 4.2	U
	108		< 0.5	U	58.2	J	1.59		128000		1.3	J			0.987	J	0.075		< 2.1	U
SW-016	105		< 0.2	U	65.8		1.23		110000		3.5	J	3.3	J	0.212	J	0.100		< 4.2	U
	61.8			U	10.3	J	0.058		23300		1.8	J	1.8		0.062		0.659		2.4	J
	63.6		-	U	15.2		0.012		38500			U			0.101		0.114		< 0.9	U
	65.4			U	12.8		0.011		39100			U			0.107		0.109		< 0.9	U
	47.7				8.7	J	0.035		19800			U			0.074		0.463		2.2	J
	51.5 33.5				9.4 8.1	1	0.008		34300 21500			U U			0.137 0.131	J	0.074 0.070		< 0.9 < 2.1	U
	41.5				8.6	<u> </u>			27800			U			0.131	J	0.070	J	< 0.9	U
	52.5			U	14.8		0.019		37400			U			0.212		0.174		< 2.1	U
	54.2			U	13.1				44700			U			0.295		0.087		< 0.9	U
	183				31.8		0.021		84500			Ū		UJ	< 0.01		0.48		< 2.1	U
SW-022	123			U	22.8				65200			U			0.042		0.084		< 0.9	U
	95.1			U	42.5		0.013		91700			U			0.05	J	0.097		< 2.1	U
	66.9				69.5		0.013	J	76500			U			0.056	J	0.079		< 0.9	U
	117		1 0.0	U	33.4		0.01	J	86300			U	1.2		0.043		0.102		< 2.1	U
	121			U	40.0		0.012		58400			U			0.134	J	0.087	11	< 0.9	U
	39.4 34.0		< 0.5 < 0.2	U	58.7 76.0		0.293		44100 27100		< 0.9 1.5	U	< 0.9 1.2		0.298 0.343	J	< 0.5 0.139	U	< 2.1 < 0.9	U
	29.2			U	76.0 63.1		0.289 0.179	J	157000			U			0.450	J	< 0.5	U	< 2.1	U
	28.7				62.5		0.179		140000			U			1.67	J	0.022	J	< 0.9	U
	122				30.3		0.018		148000			U			0.121	J	< 0.5	U	< 2.1	U
SW-028	169			U	41.3		0.063		167000		1.1	J	1.0		0.054	J	0.761		< 0.9	U



Table 3.1-2: Surface Water Sampling Results – Total Fraction

	7440	ium -39-3 010C	Bery 7440 SW6	-41-7	7440	ron -42-8 6020	7440	mium -43-9 6020	7440	cium -70-2 010C	Chro 7440 SW6	-47-3	16065	ium (III) 5-83-1 NCE CALC	18540	t Chromium 0-29-9 18.6	7440	balt 0-48-4 C/SW6020	744	opper 40-50-8 /6010C
		/A	N/		N.	/A		/A	N.			/A		/A		/A		/A		N/A
	10	00	2.		1.	.6	0.		N.		18	30	18	30	0.5	523		3		9
	μg	ı/L	μg	/L	μς	ı/L	μς	_J /L	μο	g/L	μο	ı/L	μς	g/L	μς	g/L	μ	g/L		μg/L
Site	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
SW-029	22.9		< 0.5	U	72.6		0.051		61400		< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	0.069		0.166		< 2.1	U
SW-029	48.9		< 0.2	U	70.5		0.037		24900		< 0.6	\supset	< 0.6	J	0.065	J+	0.173		< 0.9	U
SW-030	51.9		< 0.5		70.4		0.022		88500		< 0.9	U	< 0.9	UJ			0.264		< 2.1	U
SW-030	95.1		< 0.2		105		0.059		51400		0.9	J	0.9		0.028		0.472		< 0.9	U
SW-031	123		< 0.5		28.6		0.079		79800		2.2	J	2.1		0.085		0.651		2.5	J
SW-031	77.9		< 0.2		23.0		0.016		65700		1 0.0	U	< 0.6		0.187		0.198		< 0.9	U
SW-032	66.7		< 0.5		29.4		< 0.006		59500		0.9	J	< 0.9	UJ	0.033	_	0.106		< 2.1	U
SW-033	67.6		< 0.5		35.3		0.028		45100		1.6	J	1.6	J		UJ	1.09		< 2.1	U
SW-033	85.4		< 0.2		41.2		0.021		53400		3.3	J	3.3	J	0.030	_	0.800		< 0.9	U
SW-034	44.1		₹ 0.2		74.4		0.009		109000		0.6	J	< 0.6		0.042		0.08		< 0.9	U
SW-034	27.6		< 0.2		88.9		< 0.008		91900			U	< 0.6		0.070	-	0.047		< 0.9	U
SW-035	106		< 0.5		36.1		< 0.006		110000			U	< 0.9		0.047		0.179		< 2.1	U
SW-035	119		< 0.2		33.2		0.018		102000			U	< 0.6	UJ	0.033		0.167		< 0.9	U
SW-036	72.5		< 0.5		69.9		0.014		97200			U	< 0.9		0.000	J	< 0.5	U	< 2.1	U
SW-036	591		0.2		229		0.127		181000		2.0	J	2.0	J			3.01		1.4	J
SW-037	121		< 0.5		43.9	J	0.009		141000			U	< 0.9		0.040		0.061		< 2.1	U
SW-037	118		< 0.5		44.4	J	0.007		142000			U	< 0.9		0.042		0.056		< 2.1	U
SW-037	123		< 0.2	U	70.4		0.010		109000			U	< 0.6		0.062		0.055		< 0.9	U
SW-038	98.5		< 0.5	U	40.7		< 0.006		143000		0.9	J	< 0.9		0.144		0.129		< 2.1	U
SW-038	97.9		< 0.2		40.3		< 0.008		143000			U	< 0.6		0.121		0.221		< 0.9	U
SW-039	172		< 0.5		63.3	J	0.055		169000			U	< 0.9		0.100		0.128		< 2.1	U
SW-039	158		< 0.2		73.9		0.109		171000		1.3	J	1.2	J	0.060		0.556		< 0.9	U
SW-040	85.4		< 0.5		113		1.41		144000		5.3		3.1	J	2.18		0.115		< 2.1	U
SW-040	87.7		< 0.2		114		1.06		135000		2.0	J	1.0		0.983		0.050		< 0.9	U
SW-040	82.5		< 0.2		121		1.06		128000		0.7	J	< 0.6		2.71	J	0.055		< 0.9	U
SW-041	83.8		< 0.5		52.6		5.72		47200		81.6		81.5		0.124	J	1.79		40.4	
SW-042	96.5		< 0.5		51.1		0.928		33200		12.5		12.5		0.019		2.58		6.7	
SW-043	82.3		< 0.5		65.3		0.035		146000			U	1.2	J	0.183		0.452		< 2.1	U
SW-043	340		0.8		82.9		1.30		138000		29.0		29		0.022		8.23		19.1	4.
SW-044	13.3		< 0.5		102		0.357		36100		< 0.9	U	2.5	J	0.13		0.355		2.9	J
SW-045	46.9		< 0.5		42.9		6.56		39000		93.8		83.5		0.282	J	1.89		40.5	
SW-045	45		< 0.5		42.8		6.21		38000		89.4		89.1		0.288	J	1.84		39.4	4
SW-046	102		< 0.5		30.9		0.083		130000			U	< 0.9	UJ I	0.148	J	0.068		< 2.1	U
SW-047	73.9		< 0.5		43.8		0.012		161000		1.2	J	1.0	J	0.178	J	< 0.5	U	< 2.1	U
SW-048	80.3		< 0.5		128		0.061		132000			U	7	J	0.629		0.296		< 2.1	U
SW-048	153		< 0.2		157		0.397		123000		7.0		/	J	0.033		2.49		3.2	J
SW-049	41.9		< 0.5		35.8		0.016		94300		1.4	J	< 0.9	UJ	0.614		0.137		< 2.1	10
SW-049	42.2		< 0.5		37.5		0.014		96900			U	< 0.9	UJ	0.613		0.14		< 2.1	U
SW-049	40.8		< 0.2	U	35.7		0.010	J	95500		0.6	J	< 0.6	UJ	0.645	J	0.103		< 0.9	U



Table 3.1-2: Surface Water Sampling Results – Total Fraction

	7439	on 0-89-6	7439	ead 0-92-1	7439	esium 0-95-4	7439	janese 9-96-5	7439	cury 9-97-6	7439	denum 9-98-7	7440	ckel -02-0	7723	phorus 3-14-0	7440	ssium -09-7
		010C 000		6020 I/A		6010C /A	N	6010C I/A	0.0	7470 025	3	6010C 35		010C /A		6010C I/A	N	010C /A
	_	00		.5		/A		50		025		35		52		I/A	N	
	F S	g/L	P 3	g/L	μί			g/L	1.	g/L		g/L	μ			g/L	1.5	g/L
Site	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier		Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
Blank Blank	< 3	U	0.007 0.014	J	4.7	J	< 0.3 < 0.3	U	< 0.02 < 0.02	U	< 0.9 < 0.9	U	< 0.9 < 0.9	U	13	J	< 50 < 50	U
Blank	< 3	U	0.004	J	1.2 2.3	J	< 0.3	U	< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	12 10	J	60	ı
Blank		U	< 0.004	U	5	J	0.1	J	< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.9	U	21	J		U
Blank	< 3	U	< 0.006	U	5.0	.I	0.09	.I	< 0.02	U	0.6	J	< 0.4	U	12	.l		U
SW-002	2360		1.73		46900	0	86.5	U	< 0.02	U	1.8	J	5.1	0	377	U	3480	0
SW-003	371		0.097		59400		231		< 0.02	U	108		46.6		233		4800	
SW-003	481		0.145		116000		463		< 0.02	U	111		36.0		241		9210	
SW-004A	91		0.077		13600		35.9		< 0.02	U	1.4	J	1.9	J	66		990	
SW-004A	625		0.287		18700		212		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	5.4		352		8720	
SW-005	129		0.093		16900		18.9		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	43		1110	
SW-006	67		0.067		9700		3.7		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	47		960	
SW-006	16	J	< 0.04	U	9090		< 1.1	U	< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U	< 42	U	850	
SW-007	4		0.342		23200		1.9		< 0.02	U		U	< 0.9	U	< 6	U	880	
SW-007	79		0.055	ļ	22300		37.9		< 0.02	U		U	< 0.4	U	51		920	
SW-007	52		0.055	1	22200		39.1		< 0.02	U		U	< 0.4	U	48		890	
SW-008	177		0.128		28400		81.1		< 0.02	U	1.1	J	1.6	J	51	-	1460	ļ
SW-008	892	U	0.430	11	36000		291	11	< 0.02	U	5.0	1	7.0	U	318		13700	
SW-009 SW-010	< 3 48	O	< 0.004	U	41200 40200		< 0.3	U	< 0.02 < 0.02	U	2.8 8.4	J	< 0.9 < 0.9	U	51 63		1750 2220	
SW-010	186		0.04		49000		94.3		< 0.02	U	6.0		0.8	ı	57		2650	
SW-010	70		0.169		43100		277		< 0.02	U	5.6		< 0.9	U	68		2310	
SW-011	57		0.055		56300		26.6		< 0.02	U	4.8		0.7	ı	48		1660	
SW-012	100		0.055		43000		144		< 0.02	U	5.9		1.8	.1	86		2230	
SW-012	845		0.412		78200		1080		< 0.02	U	20.8		13.7		751		17100	
SW-013	21		< 0.004	U	47800		12.6		< 0.02	U	23		15.8		< 6	U	2750	
SW-013	33		< 0.04	U	51600		18.3		< 0.02	U	24.9		8.8		< 42	U	2710	
SW-014	165		0.050		27000		< 0.3	U	< 0.02	U	2.2	J	< 0.9	U	69		1290	
SW-014	30		< 0.006	U	28900		0.2	J	< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	< 0.4	U	64		1250	
SW-015	455		0.327		35300		44.9		< 0.02	U	1.9	J	< 0.9	U	76		1890	
SW-015	2050		1.41		42300		122		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	< 0.4	U	222		3140	
SW-016	64		0.059		44100		12.6		< 0.02	U	3.7	J	5.3		109		2030	
SW-016	151		0.125		50600		11.4		< 0.02	U	7.0		4.8		262		2570	
SW-018	1690		1.57		6790		50.4		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	1.9	J	108		1080	
SW-018	192		0.144		13500		21.0		< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U	43		850	
SW-018	187		0.162	1	13600		20.9	1	< 0.02	U		U		U	44	1	810	
SW-019 SW-019	1 200		1.14 0.136	-	5800 12300		32.4 3.8	-	< 0.02 < 0.02	U	2.9 < 0.5	U	1.7	J U	73 < 42	U	800 650	
SW-019	239		0.200	1	6480		3.7		< 0.02	U		U	< 0.4	U	< 18	U	390	
SW-020	18		0.028		11000		< 1.1	U	< 0.02	U		U	< 0.9	U	< 42	U	420	
SW-020	621		0.381	1	13800		9.9		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.4	U	65		920	
SW-021	152		0.154		18300		6.4		< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U	48	<u> </u>	770	
SW-022	591		0.198		16100		13.2		< 0.02	U		U	1.3	J	121		1900	
SW-022	83		0.071	Ì	16800		7.1		< 0.02	U		U	< 0.4	U	< 42	U	2470	
SW-023	87		0.066		32200		48		< 0.02	U		U	< 0.9	U	87		8470	
SW-023	155		0.088		45000		15.4		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	< 0.4	U	< 42	U	16900	
SW-024A	112		0.081		19800		46.3		< 0.02	U	4.5		< 0.9	U	104		2430	
SW-024A	212		0.132		16000		17.0		< 0.02	U		U	< 0.4	U	42		4690	
SW-025	227		0.107		36400		38.8		< 0.02	U	24.7		8.4		56		6330	
SW-025	140		0.080	<u> </u>	44000		16.0		< 0.02	U	34.4	ļ	6.0		101		7830	
SW-026	< 10	U		U	51500		< 0.3	U	< 0.02	U	1.8	J	1.9	J	< 30	U	19200	
SW-026	27		0.006	J	50300		< 0.07	U	< 0.02	U		U	2.1	J	42		17100	
SW-028	430		0.303	1	25600		25.5		< 0.02	U	1.8	J	< 0.9	U	57	1	1250	
SW-028	1640	ļ	0.980	L	33600		396		0.02	J	< 4.2	U	0.8	J	182	ļ	1820	



Table 3.1-2: Surface Water Sampling Results – Total Fraction

		on	Le		Magn			anese		cury	,	denum		kel	Phos	ohorus		ssium
		9-89-6	7439		7439			-96-5		-97-6		9-98-7		-02-0		3-14-0)-09-7
		010C		6020	SW6			010C		7470		6010C		010C		010C		6010C
		000	N.		N.			/A		025		35		/A		/A		I/A
		00		.5	N.			50		025		35		52		/A		I/A
		g/L	μς	,	μς	r		g/L		g/L	Г,	g/L	μζ	,		g/L	1.	g/L
Site	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
SW-029	95		0.027		46300		212		< 0.02	U	5.7		4.2		83		20300	
SW-029	49		0.024		64800		119		< 0.02	U	10.7		3.7	J	68		26800	
SW-030	136		0.137		29800		97.4			U	< 0.9	U		U	256		6510	
SW-030	703		0.597		39700		141		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	1.2	J	290		7910	
SW-031	1590		1.04		17100		60.5			U	< 0.9		1.3	J	229		1860	
SW-031	535		0.272		14500		19.6		< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U	95		1350	-
SW-032	77		0.055		18800				< 0.02	U	0.9	J	1.3	J	56		390	<u> </u>
SW-033	1200		0.68		11800		171			U	1.2		2.2	J	110		640	
SW-033	2550		1.83		14500		17.6			U	< 0.5	U	0.5	U	115		1060	
SW-034 SW-034	< 3		0.022 0.025		60400 65000		15.5	IJ	< 0.02 < 0.02	U	< 0.5 6.8	U	< 0.4 < 0.4	U	56 < 42	U	21500 24500	
	226	J			26900			U		U	2.9	J		U		U	1340	
SW-035 SW-035	229		0.149 0.125		24100		57.4 74.8			U	< 4.2	U	1 0.0	U	77 70		1680	-
SW-036	84		0.123		34800		74.6 198		< 0.02	U	3.0	U I	2.0	ı	80		7640	-
SW-036	5330		1.36		104000		14200			U	10.0	J	13.9	J	901		32600	+
SW-037	27		0.037		35900		0.3	1	< 0.02	U	2.7	1	< 0.9	U	< 22	U	1650	
SW-037	36		0.037		35600		0.4	ı		U	2.2	ı		U	< 23	U	1680	
SW-037	8		0.027		43600		< 0.07	П		U	< 4.2	U		U	< 42	U	2650	
SW-038	235		0.225		29200		15.6	0	< 0.02	U	1.6	J		U	< 6	IJ	1370	
SW-038	496		0.269		27600		116			U		IJ		U	62		1380	+
SW-039	86		0.069		34800		41.3		< 0.02	U	5.5		< 0.9	U	167		3290	
SW-039	1010		0.710		40100		152			U	4.9			U	181		3660	
SW-040	192		0.111		54200		3.4			U	< 0.9	U	4.5		402		3080	
SW-040	33	J	0.025		53400		< 0.07	U		U	< 4.2		2.1	J	19		2990	
SW-040	56		0.023		54100		1.8			U	< 4.2		2.1	J	91		3010	
SW-041	3120		2.24		8720		683		0.14	J	46.3		76		2560		20300	
SW-042	3790		1.95		11400		1870		< 0.02	U	15.2		17.7		735		12800	
SW-043	107		0.072		42800		300		< 0.02	U	< 0.9	U	< 0.9	U	250		3460	
SW-043	19700		9.32		51200		4190		0.03	J	< 4.2		25.0		1700		7170	
SW-044	246		0.08		13600		29.6		< 0.02	U	13.7		9.8		247		350	
SW-045	4500		2.1		7580		145		0.18	J	23		73.6		3370		17500	
SW-045	4220		2.04		7430		138		0.17	J	23.1		72.2		3110		17300	
SW-046	33		0.027		27400		13.2			U	. 0.0	U	- 0.0	U	< 6	U	1230	
SW-047	96		0.081		37300		42.2			U	3.3	J		U	50		1340	
SW-048	448		0.29		48600		58			U		U	1.3	J	95		840	
SW-048	5450		3.06		60600		800		0.02	J	< 4.2		6.3		582		5000	
SW-049	255		0.244		31600		14.8			U	1.4		1.2	J	42		5350	
SW-049	284		0.247		30700		13.5			U	1.6		0.9	J	45		5220	
SW-049	187		0.173		29000		8.9		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	< 0.4	U	< 42	U	4620	



Table 3.1-2: Surface Water Sampling Results – Total Fraction

		nium -49-2		ver -22-4		lium -23-5		llium)-28-0		nium -61-1		adium 0-62-2		inc)-66-6
		6020		6020		010C		6020		6020		010C		6010C
		/A -		/A		/A		.8		/A		/A		I/A
	μc	5 •/I		34 a/L	N µc	/A		24 g/L		900 a/L		.1 g/L		20 g/L
Site	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	<i>y</i> ∟ Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
Blank	< 0.2	U	< 0.008		40	J	< 0.008	U	< 0.006	U	< 1.1	U	< 0.6	U
Blank	< 0.2	U	< 0.008	U	< 20	U	< 0.008	U	< 0.006	U	< 1.1	U	< 0.6	U
Blank	< 0.2	U	< 0.008	U	< 20	U	< 0.008	U	< 0.006	U	< 1.1	U	< 0.6	U
Blank	< 0.2	U	< 0.009	U	30	J	< 0.009	U	< 0.008	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U
Blank	< 0.2	U	< 0.009	U	30	J	< 0.009	U	< 0.008	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U
	2.6		0.057		46500		0.047		2.22		17.9		23.3	
SW-003	48.5		0.04		56000		0.085		23.1		13.1		44.9	
SW-003 SW-004A	18.9 4.4		0.051 0.013	1	144000 15000		0.037 < 0.008		8.79 0.853		27.6	1	20.6 1.8	
	3.7		0.069	J	20500		0.014		0.603		7.5	J	12.1	J
SW-004A	1				20000			U	1.02		2	.I	1.2	J
	0.4	J	< 0.008	U	9910		< 0.008	_	0.682		1.3	J	0.8	J
SW-006	0.4	J	< 0.009	-	9190		< 0.009		0.613		1.1	J	0.5	J
	0.6	J	< 0.008	U	21600		< 0.008	U	0.633		< 1.1	U	4.7	
SW-007	0.6	J	< 0.009	U	20400		< 0.009	U	0.604		0.9	J	0.6	J
SW-007	0.6	J	< 0.009	U	20300		< 0.009	U	0.630		1.1	J	0.4	J
SW-008	2		< 0.008	U	36500		< 0.008		1.82		1.9	J	2.1	J
	0.9	J	< 0.02	U	48800		< 0.009	U	0.828		5.3		6.9	
SW-009	3		< 0.008		89200		0.015		5.63		< 1.1	U	1.2	J
	3.2	1	< 0.008		67100		< 0.008	U	4.26		< 1.1	U	1.6	J
	0.7 2.5	J	< 0.009 < 0.008		84900 68600		< 0.009 0.01	U	2.42 3.96		4.0 < 1.1	J	< 4.2 1.3	J
	0.6	J	< 0.008		84700		< 0.02	U	1.29		1.6	ı	3.4	J
	2.7	0	0.013		68100		< 0.02	U	3.84		< 1.1	U	2.5	J
	2.9		0.073	•	127000		0.015	-	7.67		17.1		15.9	
SW-013	86.8		0.009	J	66100		0.088		7.17		31.6		32.9	
SW-013	82.6		< 0.009	U	70300		0.060		7.56		27.3		< 4.2	U
SW-014	2.7		< 0.008	U	46300		0.029		1.76		< 1.1	U	17.2	
	2.5		< 0.009	U	53200		< 0.02	U	1.78		0.6	J	2.6	J
	2.8		< 0.008	U	35700		< 0.008	U	2.03		2.7	J	2.7	J
SW-015	1.1		< 0.02	U	42300		0.028		1.68		6.4		10.7	
	71.5 24.3		0.082		41800 49300		0.137		9.58 8.68		19.2 20.7		6.7 7.1	
SW-016 SW-018	< 0.2	U	0.148 0.010	1	5470		0.147 0.026		0.328		3.6	.1	7.1	\vdash
SW-018	< 0.2	U	< 0.009		9990		< 0.020	U	0.540		0.8	J	0.6	J
		U			10100		< 0.02	_	0.520		0.5	J	0.7	J
		U		U	3630		0.018		0.198		2.0	J	4.8	
SW-019	< 0.2	U			6450		< 0.009		0.335		< 0.5	U	0.4	J
SW-020	< 0.2	U	< 0.008	U	2660		< 0.008		0.134		< 1.1	U	1.1	J
SW-020	< 0.2	U	< 0.009	U	4060		< 0.009		0.305		< 0.5	U	0.5	J
SW-021	< 0.2	U	< 0.008		6570		0.084		0.398		2.3	J	2.7	J
SW-021	< 0.2	U	< 0.009	U	7480		0.047		0.487		1.5	J	< 4.2	U
	0.4	J		U	17300				0.445		2.2	J	2	J
SW-022 SW-023	< 0.2 0.4	U J	< 0.009 < 0.008	U	14200 25000		< 0.009 < 0.008	U	1.03		2.9 2.8	J	< 4.2 0.6	U
	0.4	J	< 0.008		28500		< 0.008	U	1.09		2.8	J	1.2	J
	0.3	J	< 0.009	U	20200		< 0.009	U	0.953		2.7	J	1.1	J
	0.3	J	< 0.009	U	19100		0.034	1	1.49		3.9	J	1.1	J
-	27.1		0.013	J	26200		0.095		3.14		6.8		4.1	J
	29.7		0.025		35000		0.111		2.71		12.7		6.8	
SW-026	8.2		< 0.008		24300		0.089		1.09		< 3.2	U	18.0	
	9.1				23400		0.110		1.20		2.4	J	21.2	
	1.8		< 0.008		28300		< 0.008		0.896		< 2.0	U	2.4	J
SW-028	0.6	J	< 0.009	U	36300		< 0.02	U	0.896		3.4	J	7.0	$oxed{oxed}$



Table 3.1-2: Surface Water Sampling Results – Total Fraction

	Sele	nium	Sil	ver	Sod	lium	Tha	llium	Urai	nium	Vana	adium	Z	inc
	7782	2-49-2	7440)-22-4	7440	-23-5	7440	-28-0	7440	-61-1	7440)-62-2	7440)-66-6
		6020		6020		010C		6020		6020		010C		010C
	N	/A		/A		/A		.8		/A		l/A		l/A
		5		34	N/			24		900		3.1		20
	μ	g/L	μ	g/L		g/L		g/L		g/L	μ	g/L	μ	g/L
Site	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier		Qualifier		Qualifier		Qualifier		Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
SW-029	1.4		< 0.008	U	19600		< 0.008	U	1.79		3.4	J	2.2	J
SW-029	0.9	J	< 0.009	U	31100		< 0.009	U	1.32		6.8		2.2	J
SW-030	0.4	J	< 0.008	U	48900		< 0.008	U	0.92		1.8	J	1.5	J
SW-030	0.4	J	< 0.009	U	73500		< 0.009	U	0.751		4.5		4.7	
SW-031	0.5	J	< 0.008	U	15800		0.038		0.847		4.3		6.6	
SW-031	0.3	J	< 0.02	U	12400		< 0.02	U	0.777		2.7	J	< 4.2	U
SW-032	0.3	J	< 0.008	U	16600		< 0.008	U	0.817		2.8	J	0.9	J
SW-033	< 0.2	U	< 0.008	U	11600		< 0.008	U	0.312		3.9	J	7.9	
SW-033	< 0.2	U	0.015	J	13000		0.037		0.492		10.1		5.1	
SW-034	0.8	J	< 0.008	U	36300		< 0.008	U	1.17		1.4	J	1.1	J
SW-034	1.0	J	< 0.009	U	35300		0.027		0.973		1.1	J	0.5	J
SW-035	0.6	J	< 0.008	U	28100		< 0.008	U	1.19		2.4	J	1.3	J
SW-035	0.5	J	< 0.009	U	21300		< 0.009	U	1.25		2.5	J	1.4	J
SW-036	0.4	J	< 0.008	U	46000		< 0.013	U	1.51		< 2.3	U	0.9	J
SW-036	0.8	J	0.020		258000		0.028		1.54		25.9		16.6	
SW-037	2.5		0.015	J	35900		< 0.008	U	1.81		2.8	J	< 0.6	U
SW-037	2.5		< 0.008	U	35800		< 0.008	U	1.81		1.7	J	0.7	J
SW-037	0.7	J	< 0.02	U	45800		0.043		1.61		3.3	J	< 0.4	U
SW-038	3.5		< 0.008	U	36300		< 0.008	U	2.2		< 1.1	U	1.1	J
SW-038	3.5		< 0.009	U	32500		< 0.009	U	2.20		1.8	J	2.0	J
SW-039	3.0		< 0.008	U	38700		< 0.008	U	3.40		3.7	J	1.6	J
SW-039	3.8		< 0.02	U	45100		< 0.02	U	3.62		5.8		5.6	
SW-040	46.2		0.068		71000		0.03		5.19		5.1		21.6	
SW-040	63.0		< 0.02	U	74100		0.025		5.09		2.4	J	11.7	
SW-040	63.4		< 0.02	U	76300		0.024		5.11		2.4	J	12.3	
SW-041	1.9		1.55		5030		0.294		4.93		141		237	
SW-042	0.8	J	0.097		7560		0.045		2.49		22.4		48.7	
SW-043	2.3		< 0.008	U	75600		< 0.008	U	2.3		3.8	J	0.8	J
SW-043	2.3		0.134		78500		0.126		3.96		50.2		98.6	
SW-044	2.1		0.048		55500		< 0.008	U	7.53		5.9		6.5	
SW-045	2.5		1.79		1140		0.437		3.31		138		261	
SW-045	2.3		1.76		1090		0.43		3.12		133		255	
SW-046	1.6		0.011	J	29800		< 0.008	U	0.835		2	J	1.9	J
SW-047	0.9	J	< 0.008	U	39500		< 0.008	U	0.762		< 2.1	U	2.7	J
SW-048	43.7		< 0.008	U	70500		< 0.008	U	3.94		4.1	J	4.3	
SW-048	28.8		0.037		84800		0.046		3.83		12.7		29.4	
SW-049	2		< 0.008	U	16900		0.112		1.81		3.9	J	2.3	J
SW-049	2		< 0.008	U	16400		0.109		1.87		2.4	J	2.3	J
SW-049	2		< 0.009	U	15400		0.095		1.80		2.4	J	2.0	J
-	•			•					Notes:				•	*

Notes:

335 Value is above the Ecological screening level
1.76 Value is above the Human Health screening level
43.7 Value is above both the Human Health and Ecological screening levels

1. Screening levels, minimum of sources presented in RA Approach (Appendix B to the RI Work Plan)

< - Result is not detected above the method detection limit (MDL)

CAS - Chemical Abstracts Service

J - Result is estimated, J+ denotes estimated value biased high

mg/l - milligram per liter

N/A - No Screening level available

QC - Quality Control

U - Result is not detected above the MDL

μg/L - microgram per liter

*Water source is upgradient of mining, but the dikes or dams that hold back the water are of unknown composition



February 2019

Table 3.1-3: Surface Water Sampling Results – General Chemistry

						Analyte	A kalin	ity, Total	Bicar	bonate	Carbonate (CO3)	Chle	oride
						CAS#		LK		52-3	3812-32-6	1688	7-00-6
						Analysis Method		2320B		320B	SM2320B	E3	300
						Ecological Screening Level	2	20	N	I/A	N/A	2	30
						Human Health Screening Level		I/A		I/A	N/A		I/A
						Units		ng/l		ng/l	mg/l		ıg/l
Site	Site Description	Sample Date	Sample QC	Position	Waterbody Type	Area	Result	Qualifier	Result		Result Qualifie		Qualifier
Blank		5/17/2018	Blank				< 1.0	U	< 1.0		1.0 U	< 0.03	U
Blank		5/22/2018					6	J	6	J <		< 0.06	U
Blank		5/23/2018	Blank				6	J	6	J <	3 U	< 0.03	U
Blank		9/13/2018	Blank				< 3	U	< 3	U <	3 U	0.32	
Blank		9/18/2018					6	J	6	J <	3 U	< 0.3	U
SW-002	Lincoln Creek below North Limb	5/23/2018		Creek	Downgradient	Northwest of North Limb	132		120	11	l J	154	
SW-003	O, P Pit Lake	5/23/2018		Pit Lake	On disturbance	North Limb	208		189	19)	55.3	
SW-003	O, P Pit Lake	9/20/2018		Pit Lake	On disturbance	North Limb	89		89	<	3 U	175	
SW-004A	Cattle Pond A above O, P Pit(near reclaimed area)	5/23/2018	1	Pond	On disturbance	North Limb	112		105	7	J	53.2	
SW-004A	Cattle Pond A above O, P Pit(near reclaimed area)	9/19/2018	:	Pond	On disturbance	North Limb	183		168	16	5	98.0	
SW-005	Lincoln Creek above North Limb	5/23/2018		Creek	Upgradient or Background		242		232	10) J	59.2	
SW-006	Covered Springs(on Lincoln Creek going to east)	5/22/2018		Spring	Upgradient or Background		221		221	<	3 U	26.3	
SW-006	Covered Springs(on Lincoln Creek going to east)	9/19/2018		Spring	Upgradient or Background		224		224	<	3 U	27.6	
SW-007	Bronco Springs (almost due east of K Pit)	5/22/2018			Upgradient or Background		220		220	<		61.8	
SW-007	Bronco Springs (almost due east of K Pit)	9/19/2018		Spring	Upgradient or Background		221		221	<		73.9	
SW-007	Bronco Springs (almost due east of K Pit)		Duplicate	Spring	Upgradient or Background		216		214	<	3 U	73.8	
SW-008	Cow Spring / Unnamed pond near cattle trough(above K Pit)	5/23/2018	1	Spring	On disturbance		209		199	11	l J	128	
SW-008	Cow Spring / Unnamed pond near cattle trough(above K Pit)	9/20/2018		Spring	On disturbance		161		161	<		201	
SW-009	Source of Bunkhouse Spring	5/21/2018		Spring	Upgradient or Background		295		295	<		214	
SW-010	Pond #3 / Main Holding Pond above A12 Pit	5/21/2018		Pond	Upgradient*		247		229	19		194	
SW-010	Pond #3 / Main Holding Pond above A12 Pit	9/18/2018			Upgradient*		89		70	18	3	231	
SW-011	Pond 1 above A12 Pit (East)	5/21/2018	·		On disturbance		215		205	9	J	201	
SW-011	Pond 1 above A12 Pit (East)	9/18/2018			On disturbance	I .	65		29	36		261	
SW-012	Pond 2 above A12 Pit (West)	5/21/2018			On disturbance		214		199	15		212	
SW-012	Pond 2 above A12 Pit (West)	9/18/2018 5/21/2018			On disturbance On disturbance		244		244	<	3 U	396	
SW-013	A12 Lake in A12 Pit	9/19/2018	1				191		184	/	J 11	231	
SW-013 SW-014	A12 Lake in A12 Pit Big Willow Springs	5/17/2018	1		On disturbance On disturbance		131 214		131 214	<	1.0 U	224 151	
SW-014	Big Willow Springs	9/13/2018	1		On disturbance		215		214	<		171	
SW-015	Willow Creek (Upper Ross Fork Creek)	5/16/2018		Creek	Downgradient		277		268	9	3 0	189	
SW-015	Willow Creek (Upper Ross Fork Creek)	9/11/2018		Creek	Downgradient		283		283	<	3 11	199	
SW-016	Lake in JD/JF Pit	5/16/2018			On disturbance		252		234	18		186	
SW-016	Lake in JD/JF Pit	9/12/2018			On disturbance		216		200	16		202	
SW-018	Ross Fork Creek (downstream of Danielson Creek)	5/15/2018			On disturbance		74		74	<		12.2	
SW-018	Ross Fork Creek (downstream of Danielson Creek)	9/12/2018		Creek	On disturbance	I .	134		134	<		24.8	
SW-018	Ross Fork Creek (downstream of Danielson Creek)	9/12/2018	Duplicate		On disturbance		134		134	<		24.2	
SW-019	Ross Fork above the Narrows	5/15/2018			On disturbance		69		69	<		6.83	
SW-019	Ross Fork above the Narrows	9/12/2018		Creek	On disturbance	West of South 40	133		131	<	3 U	11.4	
SW-020	Big Springs (spring only)	5/16/2018		Spring	Upgradient		83		83	<		1.88	
SW-020	Big Springs (spring only)	9/12/2018		Spring	Upgradient	South of South 40	122		122	<	3 U	2.95	
SW-021	Jeff Cabin Creek(water source for Falkner Ranch)	5/18/2018	1	Creek	Upgradient	South of East Limb & SE of South 40	154		154	<	3 U	6.73	
SW-021	Jeff Cabin Creek(water source for Falkner Ranch)	9/18/2018	:	Creek	Upgradient	South of East Limb & SE of South 40	190		185	4	J	9.6	
SW-022	Lower Big Jimmy Creek Spring(area feeding into Portneuf River)	5/18/2018	:	Creek	Upgradient or Background	East of South 40	228		228	<	3 U	54.7	
SW-022	Lower Big Jimmy Creek Spring(area feeding into Portneuf River)	9/18/2018		Creek	Upgradient or Background		219		213	6	J	36.7	
SW-023	Portneuf River (downstream of Bakers Creek)	5/18/2018		River	Downgradient		267		267	<	3 U	50.4	
SW-023	Portneuf River (downstream of Bakers Creek)	9/17/2018			Downgradient		290		280	10		34.1	
SW-024A	Portneuf River (above Bakers Creek)	5/18/2018		River	Downgradient		224		207	18		56.2	
SW-024A	Portneuf River (above Bakers Creek)	9/17/2018			Downgradient		195		178	17		30.7	
SW-025	Z Pit Lake	5/17/2018			On disturbance		126		120	6.		47.8	
SW-025	Z Pit Lake	9/14/2018			On disturbance		95		53	42		69.5	
SW-026	Queedup Springs(by Lone Pine Canyon Road)	5/17/2018		Spring	Downgradient		469		469		1.0 U	22.0	
SW-026	Queedup Springs(by Lone Pine Canyon Road)	9/13/2018		Spring	Downgradient		449		449	<		23.2	
SW-028	Lone Pine Spring(Y Spring South, along Lone Pine Road)	5/17/2018		Spring	Upgradient	East of East Limb	224		224	<	1.0 U	137	



February 2019

Table 3.1-3: Surface Water Sampling Results – General Chemistry

						Analyte	A kalir	nity, Total	Bicar	bonate	Carbona	ate (CO3)	Chlo	oride
						CAS #		ALK	71-	52-3	3812	2-32-6	16887	7-00-6
						Analysis Method	SM	2320B	SM2	320B	SM2	320B	E3	300
						Ecological Screening Level		20	N	I/A	N	l/A	23	30
						Human Health Screening Level		N/A	N	I/A	N	I/A	N,	/A
						Units	r	mg/l	m	ıq/l	m	ıg/l	m	ıq/l
Site	Site Description	Sample Date	Sample QC Pos	sition	Waterbody Type	Area	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
SW-028	Lone Pine Spring(Y Spring South, along Lone Pine Road)	9/13/2018	Spri	ring	Upgradient	East of East Limb	281		281		< 3	U	184	
SW-029	W Pit Lake	5/20/2018	Pit L	,	Downgradient	East Limb	250		232		18		3.44	ſ
SW-029	W Pit Lake	9/14/2018	Pit L	Lake	Downgradient		206		115		91		15.7	
SW-030	East Limb North Pond / Holding pond below Y intersection(above HH Pit)	5/20/2018	Pon		Upgradient*	West of East Limb	227		183		44		117	
SW-030	East Limb North Pond / Holding pond below Y intersection(above HH Pit)	9/14/2018	Pon		Upgradient*		130		74		57		172	
SW-031	Portneuf River (above U Creek)	5/22/2018	Rive		10	East of North Limb & NE East Limb	211		197		14		39.4	
SW-031	Portneuf River (above U Creek)	9/19/2018	Rive		Upgradient or Background		197		186		11		36.5	
SW-032	Red Rock Spring	5/22/2018	Spri		Upgradient or Background		217		208		9		16.3	
SW-033	Mud Springs (north & east of mine, along road near Red Rock Spring)	5/22/2018	Spri	•	Upgradient or Background		164		164		< 3	-	10.3	
SW-033	Mud Springs (north & east of mine, along road near Red Rock Spring)	9/19/2018	Spri	0	10 0		204		204		< 3		13.5	
SW-034	North Fork of Bakers Creek	5/18/2018	Cree	_	Downgradient		347		347		< 3	1	41	
SW-034	North Fork of Bakers Creek	9/17/2018	Cree		Downgradient		347		329		18		34.1	
SW-035	U Creek(above confluence with Portneuf River)	5/22/2018	Cree		Downgradient		246		224		21		89.8	
SW-035	U Creek(above confluence with Portneuf River)	9/19/2018	Cree		Downgradient		233		220		12		99.9	
SW-036	Seep and Pond below EE-2	5/17/2018	Spri		Downgradient		230		226		4.0		111	
SW-036	Seep and Pond below EE-2	9/13/2018	Spri		Downgradient		191		191		< 3		942	
SW-037	Willow Creek (Downstream of South 40 Area, üpstream of Ross Fork Creek)	5/16/2018	Cree	9	Downgradient	II.	236		236		< 3		11.9	ī
SW-037	Willow Creek (Downstream of South 40 Area, upstream of Ross Fork Creek)	5/16/2018			Downgradient		240		240		< 3		187	Ĭ
SW-037	Willow Creek (Downstream of South 40 Area, upstream of Ross Fork Creek)	9/11/2018	Cree		Downgradient		195		195		< 3		N/S	Ť
SW-038	Lincoln Peak Spring(Above and east of the M Pit area)	5/23/2018	Spri		Upgradient		236		234		< 3		141	
SW-038	Lincoln Peak Spring(Above and east of the M Pit area)	9/20/2018	Spri	0	Upgradient		239		239		< 3		146	
SW-039	Y Spring(Between SW-014 and SW-015)	5/16/2018	Spri		Downgradient		311		311		< 3		163	
SW-039	Y Spring(Between SW-014 and SW-015)	9/12/2018	Spri	٥	Downgradient		355		355		< 3		167	
SW-040	Seep east of 11-2 Overburden Disposal Area (OBDA)	5/20/2018	Spri		On or near disturbance		411		411		< 3		98	T .
SW-040	Seep east of 11-2 Overburden Disposal Area (OBDA)	8/27/2018	Spri	٥	On or near disturbance		412		412		< 3		86.3	ř
SW-040	Seep east of 11-2 Overburden Disposal Area (OBDA)	9/13/2018	Spri	٥	On or near disturbance		413		413		< 3		89.3	
SW-041	Catch Basin northeast of 11-2 OBDA	5/20/2018	Spri	•	On or near disturbance		143		143		< 3		22.5	
SW-042	Catch Basin northeast of AA-2 OBDA	5/20/2018	Spri	•	Downgradient		114		103		10		12	
SW-043	Spring east of AA-2 OBDA	5/20/2018	Spri	_	Downgradient		308		308		< 3	-	141	
SW-043	Spring east of AA-2 OBDA	9/13/2018	Spri		Downgradient		304		304		< 3		181	
SW-044	Seep Area above and northeast of W Pit	5/20/2018	Spri	٥	On disturbance	East Limb	249		249		< 3		4.62	
SW-045	BB-2 North Spring and Pond	5/20/2018	Spri		On disturbance		112		112		< 3		1.52	
SW-045	BB-2 North Spring and Pond	5/20/2018		•	On disturbance		109		109		< 3	U	1.48	
SW-046	Pond on Lone Pine Road	5/20/2018	Spri		Downgradient		203		203		< 3	Ü	5.08	
SW-047	Seep and Pond below FF-2	5/17/2018	Spri	0	Downgradient		230		230		< 1.0		198	
SW-048	Spring box below OBDA 11, downstream of SW-040	5/20/2018	Spri	_	Downgradient		406		400		6		83.2	
SW-048	Spring box below OBDA 11, downstream of SW-040	9/13/2018	Spri		Downgradient		411		387		23		111	
SW-049	Lincoln Creek(downstream of Yandell and Warm Springs)	5/23/2018	Cree	•	Downgradient	Northwest of North Limb	191		175		16		20	
SW-049	Lincoln Creek(downstream of Yandell and Warm Springs)	5/23/2018			Downgradient		190		174		16		19.4	
SW-049	Lincoln Creek(downstream of Yandell and Warm Springs)	9/20/2018	Cree		Downgradient	Northwest of North Limb	196		196		< 3		20.2	



Table 3.1-3: Surface Water Sampling Results – General Chemistry

	Nitrogen, I	otal Kjeldah	р	Н	Sul	fate	Total Disso	olved Solids	Total Orga	nic Carbon	Total Susp	ended Solids
		(N		Н	1480	8-79-8		DS		C		SS
	D1	426	E15	50.1	E3	300	SM2	540C	SM5	310C	SM	2540D
	N	I/A	6.5	i - 9	N	/A	N	/A	N	l/A	ı	N/A
	N	I/A	5	- 9	N	/A	2	50	N	l/A	1	N/A
	m	ıg/l	pН	units	m	g/l	m	g/l	m	ıg/l	r	ng/l
Site	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
Blank	0.45		6.58	J	< 0.01	U	< 5.0	U	< 0.07	U	< 5.0	U
Blank	0.49		5.78	J	< 0.02	U	12.7		0.23	J	< 5	U
Blank	0.38		6.07	J	< 0.01	U	< 5	U	0.25	J	< 5	U
Blank	0.38		3.63	J	< 0.02	U	15.5		< 0.07	U	< 5.0	U
Blank	0.09	J	6.21	J	< 0.1	Ui	46.2		0.1	J	< 5.0	U
SW-002	0.73		8.52	J	149		569		2.84		110	
SW-003	1.5		8.18	J	492		1000		7.05		21.5	
SW-003	< 0.04	U	8.20	J	964		1780		12.8		42.0	
SW-004A	0.7		8.52	J	27.2		258		4		7.5	
SW-004A	1.46		8.39	J	21.5		409		9.76		26.0	
SW-005	0.76		8.18	J	39.5		392		1.63		8	
SW-006	0.6	J+	8.08	J	8.48		303		0.68		5	
SW-006	< 0.04	U	8.01	J	9.1		288		0.81		< 5.0	U
SW-007	0.37		7.75	J	45.4		406		0.71		33	
SW-007	0.06	J	7.74	J	55.2		418		1.13		20.0	J
SW-007	< 0.04	U	7.87	J	55.3		483		1.22		45.0	J
SW-008	0.48		8.3	J	110		593		1.74		5	
SW-008	1.07		7.95	J	99.8		711		9.20		35.0	
SW-009	0.37		7.93	J	223		864		2.62		< 5	U
SW-010	0.6		8.42	J	192		748		5.03		< 5	U
SW-010	1.00		8.87		221		752		7.81		18.0	
SW-011	0.71		8.32	J	192		803		5.47		< 5	U
SW-011	0.87		9.41		214		707		7.28		< 5.0	U
SW-012	0.72		8.41	J	197		769		5.6		< 5	U
SW-012	3.38		8.29	J	186		1070		17.0		21.0	
SW-013	0.55		8.35	J	241		825		3.93		< 5	U
SW-013	0.34		8.24	J	230		750		3.79		< 5.0	U
SW-014	0.63		7.89	J	113		652		1.26		< 5.0	U
SW-014	1.61		7.46	J	122		668		0.95		7.0	
SW-015	< 0.04	U	8.32	J	99.0		670		2.62		21.5	
SW-015	0.76		8.29	J	105		867		2.85		89.0	
SW-016	< 0.04	U	8.44	J	120		672		2.82		< 5.0	U
SW-016	0.51		8.37	J	119		759		2.78		8.5	
SW-018	< 0.04	U	8.07	J	7.54		113		2.30		69.5	
SW-018	0.47	J	8.26	J	13.8		199		0.85		6.5	
SW-018	0.69	J	8.16	J	13.7		189		0.75		7.0	
SW-019	< 0.04	U	7.97	J	4.72		96.0		2.02		44.5	
SW-019	0.38		8.43	J	7.45		145		0.30	J	5.5	
SW-020	< 0.04	U	8.08	J	2.58		103		0.96		< 5.0	U
SW-020	0.48		7.98	J	4.55		115		< 0.07	U	12.0	
SW-021	0.58		8.18	J	5.02		163		1.57		10.5	
SW-021	0.46		8.31	J	6.5		335		0.72		11.0	
SW-022	1.28		7.78	J	10.1		389		15.2		18.5	
SW-022	0.91		8.29	J	13.9		285		2.16		5.5	
SW-023	0.89		8.24	J	87.9		461		3.29		< 5	U
SW-023	2.56		8.27	J	138		622		2.66		< 5.0	U
SW-024A	0.45		8.37	J	37		366		3.05		< 5	U
SW-024A	1.09		8.43	J	30.1		273		1.40		5.0	
SW-025	0.68	J+	8.61	J	127		378		2.84		8.0	
SW-025	0.58		9.46	J	148		339		3.75		7.0	
SW-026	0.47		7.43	J	184		648		0.65		< 5.0	U
SW-026	0.31		7.18	J	178		655		0.30		27.0	
SW-028	0.94		8.28	J	98.7		619		1.47		18.0	



Table 3.1-3: Surface Water Sampling Results – General Chemistry

	Nitrogen, T	otal Kjeldah	l p	Н	Sul	fate	Total Disso	olved Solids	Total Orga	anic Carbon	Total Susp	ended Solids
	_	KN ,		Н	1480	8-79-8		DS	_	ОС		rss
		426		50.1		300		540C		310C		2540D
		I/A		5 - 9	1	/A	_	I/A		I/A	_	N/A
		I/A		- 9		/A		50		I/A		N/A
		ng/l		units		a/l		ıa/l		ng/l		ng/l
Site	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
SW-028	0.46		7.91	J	115		805		2.16		121	
SW-029	0.85		8.43	J	2.44		444		7.14		< 5	U
SW-029	1.32		9.45	J	209		427		9.49		5.0	
SW-030	0.63		8.82	J	77		516		9.01		8	
SW-030	0.69		9.47	J	92.1		513		12.0		27.0	
SW-031	0.96		8.37	J	26.3		336		2.33		83.5	
SW-031	0.30		8.41	J	20.8		321		1.40		19.5	
SW-032	1.1		8.13	J	16		283		5.94		< 5	U
SW-033	0.81		7.81	J	6.8		226		3.58		20	
SW-033	0.28		8.09	J	10.0		271		1.80		274	
SW-034	0.42		8.25	J	211		670		3.94		< 5	U
SW-034	0.52		8.28	ı	139		643		3.03		< 5.0	U
SW-035	0.78		8.31	J	62.7		495		2.76		10	
SW-035	0.36		8.32	ı	59.3		480		2.99		17.5	
SW-036	0.89		8.46	J	108		578		5.64		< 5.0	U
SW-036	7.00		7.57	ı	13.3		1760		64.2		142	
SW-037	< 0.04	U	8.19	J	8.4	J	615		3.36	J	6.5	
SW-037	< 0.04	U	8.26	ı	98	ı	604		2.47	ī	< 5.0	IJ
SW-037	0.45		8.27	ı	N/S		754		3.06		< 5.0	U
SW-038	0.53		7.88	J	122		660		1.11		13.5	
SW-038	< 0.04	U	8.01	j	122		737		1.28		17.5	
SW-039	0.31		8.13	J	142		776		4.43		5.5	
SW-039	0.64		7.73	ı	149		907		2.88		84.0	
SW-040	0.69		7.38	J	225	J	751		2.32		8	
SW-040	0.48		7.74	J	194	-	853		2.44		< 5.0	U
SW-040	1.14	J+	7.49	J	194		807		1.74		14.5	
SW-041	5.58		8.01	J	15.6		256		11		22	
SW-042	2.6		8.88	J	21.1		193		10		126	
SW-043	0.89		7.69	J	212		773		2.48		13	
SW-043	2.72		7.60	J	173		889		3.90		1460	
SW-044	1.3		8.05	J	3.38		274		10.9		5.5	
SW-045	2.76	J	7.99	J	24.4		257		8.82		46	J
SW-045	3.4	J	8.03	J	25		267		8.71		26	J
SW-046	0.33		8.23	J	3.6		579		1.69		< 5	U
SW-047	0.64		8.34	J	122		762		3.03		6.0	
SW-048	0.69		8.16	J	180		655		2.81		23	
SW-048	1.90		8.19	J	196		815		4.28		266	
SW-049	0.49		8.39	J	180		495		< 0.07	U	35	
SW-049	0.51		8.38	J	177		493		< 0.07	U	30	
SW-049	< 0.04	U	8.28	J	181		519		0.39	J	19.0	
	Notes:					•						

Value is above the Human Health screening level

Value is above the Ecological screening level

Value is outside of the Human Heal h and the Ecological screening level range

1. Ecological and human heal h screening levels, minimum of sources presented in RA Approach (Appendix B to the RI Work Plan)

< - Result is not detected above the method detection limit (MDL)

CAS - Chemical Abstracts Service

i - The Method Reporting Limit/Method Detection Limit or Limit of Quantitation/Limit of Detec ion is elevated due to a matrix interference.

J - Result is estimated, J+ denotes estimated value biased high

mg/l - milligram per liter

N/A - No Screening level available

N/S - No sample result available due to lab error

QC - Quality Control

U - Result is not detected above the MDL

*Water source is upgradient of mining, but the dikes or dams that hold back the water are of unknown composition



Table 3.1-4: Surface Water Sampling Results – Field Parameters

	T				T	I	D: 1 1	0	1	1)A/ / EI
					CHEMICAL_NAME	Conductivity	Dissolved	Oxidation- Reduction Potential	pН	Tomporoturo	Turbidity	Water Flow Rate
						· ·	Oxygen		· ·	Temperature C	,	
					RESULT_UNIT	μS/cm 400	mg/l	millivolts	pH units 6.5 - 9	_	ntu N/A	cfs
					Ecological Screening Level Human Health Screening Level	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5 - 9	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
Site	Site Description	Sample Date	Docition	Waterbody Type	<u> </u>		Result	Result	Result		-	Result
SW-002	•	•		• • • •	North Limb	785	7.81	166.9			87.1	Result
SW-002		_		Downgradient On disturbance	North Limb	999	9.06				11.9	
SW-003	· ·			On disturbance	North Limb	335	16.13				4.54	
SW-004A				Upgradient or Background		463	8.22				11.2	
SW-005		22 May 2018		Upgradient or Background		302	8.31	171.8			2.33	
SW-007	, ,	•		Upgradient or Background		419	8.77		7.58		1.27	
SW-007	Cow Spring / Unnamed pond near cattle trough(above K Pit)	_		On disturbance	East of North Limb	718	8.58				3.64	
SW-009					East of North Limb	871	8.86		7.53		0.38	
SW-009				Upgradient*	East of Southern Part of North Limb	950	10.21	164.2	8.13		2.22	
SW-011				On disturbance	Southern Part of North Limb	956	10.39		7.97		3.34	
SW-011	1 /			On disturbance	Southern Part of North Limb	982	9.85		8.25		5.75	
SW-012	\ '			On disturbance	Southern Part of North Limb	1015	12.36				2.38	
SW-013	Big Willow Springs			On disturbance	Between South 40 and East Limb	724	7.78		7.62		0.54	
SW-015	Willow Creek (Upper Ross Fork Creek)			Downgradient	South 40	844	9.44				14.2	
SW-016	` · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			On disturbance	South 40	911	9.51	192.7			3.35	
SW-018		,		On disturbance	West of South 40	132	9.22				41.3	41.18
SW-019	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			On disturbance	West of South 40	109	9.24		8.05		27.0	
SW-020		_	Spring	Upgradient	South of South 40	107	10.08				5.52	34.5
SW-021	0 1 0 1 7		Creek	Upgradient	South of East Limb & SE of South 40	218	8.69				11.4	4.57
SW-022	Lower Big Jimmy Creek Spring(area feeding into Portneuf R	_	Creek	Upgradient or Background		446	5.62				13.2	
SW-023		_		Downgradient Downgradient	East of East Limb	543	10.33		8.04		4.20	8.8
SW-024A	` '	18 May 2018		Downgradient	East of East Limb	496	10.84				6.52	12.2
SW-025	,	17 May 2018		On disturbance	East Limb	495	9.87		8.64		2.47	
SW-026				Downgradient	East of East Limb	981	2.47				0.40	
SW-028				Upgradient	East Limb	676	8.85				9.98	
SW-029		_		Downgradient	East Limb	586	10.56				2.84	
SW-030	East Limb North Pond / Holding pond below Y intersection(a			Upgradient*	West of East Limb	758	17.00				19.0	
SW-031				1 0	East of North Limb & NE East Limb	398	8.42		8.27		32.3	2.3
SW-032	,			Upgradient or Background		346	8.07		8.11		9.06	
SW-033	Mud Springs (north & east of mine, along road near Red Ro		Spring	Upgradient or Background		245	7.09		7.60		42.9	
SW-034				Downgradient	East of East Limb	742	10.66		8.13		1.69	2.72
		22 May 2018			East of North Limb	607	8.77				9.54	
SW-036		17 May 2018		Downgradient	East Limb	784	10.59				2.34	
SW-037	Willow Creek (Downstream of South 40 Area, upstream of R			Downgradient	South 40	783	10.63				2.02	
SW-038		_	Spring	Upgradient	East of North Limb	642	9.42				4.50	
SW-039	1 0			Downgradient	South 40	951	6.60				7.40	
SW-040				On or near disturbance	East Limb	827	6.78	170.2			15.5	
SW-041				On or near disturbance	East Limb	331	4.63				151	
SW-042		20 May 2018		Downgradient	East Limb	231	10.03				180	
SW-043		20 May 2018		Downgradient	East Limb	903	18.34	194.7			8.62	
SW-044	Seep Area above and northeast of W Pit	20 May 2018	Spring	On disturbance	East Limb	374	11.45	178.6	8.15	15.5	11.3	
SW-045				On disturbance	East Limb	246	6.52	110.6			188	
SW-046		20 May 2018		Downgradient	East Limb	705	9.35				2.97	
SW-047		17 May 2018		Downgradient	East Limb	1002	10.97		8.24	15.6	8.12	
SW-048				Downgradient	East Limb	940	8.42				9.74	
SW-049				Downgradient	Northwest of North Limb	644	7.63	115.6	8.29	23.4	26.2	17.31
SW-003	O, P Pit Lake		Pit Lake	On disturbance	North Limb	1635	8.17	215.7	8.22	16.0	11.4	
SW-004A	Cattle Pond A above O, P Pit(near reclaimed area)	19 Sept 2018	Pond	On disturbance	North Limb	512	12.74	131.5	8.12	16.4	18.3	
SW-006	Covered Springs(on Lincoln Creek going to east)	19 Sept 2018	Spring	Upgradient or Background	Northeast of North Limb	327	8.14	93.1	7.38	8.9	0.53	



Table 3.1-4: Surface Water Sampling Results – Field Parameters

							Dissolved	Oxidation-				Water Flow
					CHEMICAL_NAME	Conductivity	Oxygen	Reduction Potential	рН	Temperature	Turbidity	Rate
					RESULT_UNIT	µS/cm	mg/l	millivolts	pH units	C	ntu	cfs
					Ecological Screening Level	400	N/A	N/A	6.5 - 9	N/A	N/A	N/A
					Human Health Screening Level		N/A	N/A	5 - 9	N/A	N/A	N/A
Site	Site Description	Sample Date	Position	Waterbody Type	Area	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	•	Result
SW-007	·	•	Spring	, ,,	East of North Limb	452	7.78	132.4	7.40	8.7		
SW-008	Cow Spring / Unnamed pond near cattle trough(above K Pit)	20 Sept 2018	Spring	On disturbance	East of North Limb	671	5.84	171.4			13.4	
SW-010	Pond #3 / Main Holding Pond above A12 Pit		Pond	Upgradient*	East of Southern Part of North Limb	932	10.42	147.5		14.9		
SW-011	Pond 1 above A12 Pit (East)		Pond	On disturbance	Southern Part of North Limb	1021	12.46	159.6	9.29	16.9	1.32	
SW-012	Pond 2 above A12 Pit (West)	18 Sept 2018	Pond	On disturbance	Southern Part of North Limb	1486	6.75	140.6	8.15	17.6	34.2	
SW-013	A12 Lake in A12 Pit	19 Sept 2018	Pit Lake	On disturbance	Southern Part of North Limb	1007	4.99			14.5	1.27	
SW-014	Big Willow Springs	13 Sept 2018	Spring	On disturbance	Between South 40 and East Limb	688	8.02	235.6	7.29	8.4	2.09	
SW-015	Willow Creek (Upper Ross Fork Creek)	11 Sept 2018	Creek	Downgradient	South 40	1063	7.97	184.1	8.05	19.2	281	
SW-016	Lake in JD/JF Pit	12 Sept 2018	Pit Lake	On disturbance	South 40	894	11.21	189.0	8.03	17.0	4.45	
SW-018	Ross Fork Creek (downstream of Danielson Creek)	12 Sept 2018	Creek	On disturbance	West of South 40	218	9.57	236.1	8.39	8.2	4.26	30.5
SW-019	Ross Fork above the Narrows	12 Sept 2018	Creek	On disturbance	West of South 40	177	9.69	221.1	8.34	8.7	2.44	9.16
SW-020	Big Springs (spring only)	12 Sept 2018	Spring	Upgradient	South of South 40	80	9.37	191.4	7.80	8.3	19.2	6.5
SW-021		18 Sept 2018	Creek	Upgradient	South of East Limb & SE of South 40	261	9.10	221.1	8.18	10.8	4.84	2.96
SW-022	Lower Big Jimmy Creek Spring(area feeding into Portneuf R	18 Sept 2018	Creek	Upgradient or Background	East of South 40	438	8.04	193.5	8.22	18.9	3.01	
SW-023	Portneuf River (downstream of Bakers Creek)		River	Downgradient	East of East Limb	490	10.72	259.5	8.16	8.1	13.0	5.90
SW-024A	Portneuf River (above Bakers Creek)	17 Sept 2018	River	Downgradient	East of East Limb	321	11.68	162.6	8.57	12.8	8.11	1.05
SW-025	Z Pit Lake	14 Sept 2018	Pit Lake	On disturbance	East Limb	491	10.67	191.0	9.54	19.0	5.51	
SW-026	Queedup Springs(by Lone Pine Canyon Road)	13 Sept 2018	Spring	Downgradient	East of East Limb	822	2.18	106.5	6.67	16.9	0.55	
SW-028	Lone Pine Spring(Y Spring South, along Lone Pine Road)	13 Sept 2018	Spring	Upgradient	East Limb	904	8.52	78.7	7.48	15.9	26.3	
SW-029	W Pit Lake	14 Sept 2018	Pit Lake	Downgradient	East Limb	526	15.24	175.4	9.58	18.2	5.35	
SW-030	East Limb North Pond / Holding pond below Y intersection(a	14 Sept 2018	Pond	Upgradient*	West of East Limb	696	15.03	106.6	9.30	20.1	11.0	
SW-031	Portneuf River (above U Creek)	19 Sept 2018	River	Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb & NE East Limb	332	9.85	72.3	8.26	9.5	9.72	1.37
SW-033	Mud Springs (north & east of mine, along road near Red Ro	19 Sept 2018	Spring	Upgradient or Background	East of North Limb	272	5.24	63.5	7.59	8.8	40.9	
SW-034	North Fork of Bakers Creek	17 Sept 2018	Creek	Downgradient	East of East Limb	639	11.77	206.3	8.32	9.7	1.78	3.82
SW-035	U Creek(above confluence with Portneuf River)	19 Sept 2018	Creek	Downgradient	East of North Limb	581	11.29	102.5	8.11	12.7	19.0	
SW-036	Seep and Pond below EE-2	13 Sept 2018	Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	2352	6.13	40.4	7.42	16.2	606	
SW-037	Willow Creek (Downstream of South 40 Area, upstream of R	11 Sept 2018	Creek	Downgradient	South 40	1011	9.66	142.3	8.02	21.3	7.69	
SW-038	Lincoln Peak Spring(Above and east of the M Pit area)	20 Sept 2018	Spring	Upgradient	East of North Limb	656	9.28	176.9	7.86	7.9	28.3	
SW-039	Y Spring(Between SW-014 and SW-015)	12 Sept 2018	Spring	Downgradient	South 40	896	5.33	92.4	7.33	12.2	76.70	
SW-040	Seep east of 11-2 Overburden Disposal Area (OBDA)	13 Sept 2018	Spring	On or near disturbance	East Limb	815	5.30	14.3	7.12	10.5	38.9	
SW-043	Spring east of AA-2 OBDA	13 Sept 2018	Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	926			7.32	13.4		
SW-048	Spring box below OBDA 11, downstream of SW-040	13 Sept 2018	Spring	Downgradient	East Limb	911	7.35	49.7	8.14	14.0	170	
SW-049	Lincoln Creek(downstream of Yandell and Warm Springs)	20 Sept 2018	Creek	Downgradient	Northwest of North Limb	600	7.98	230.3	8.06	19.3	6.89	14.34

Notes:

5.9

Value is above the Human Health screening level

335

Value is above the Ecological screening level (or below the lower range for pH)

Value is above both screening levels

1. Ecological and human health screening levels, minimum of sources presented in RA Approach (Appendix B to the RI Work Plan)

cfs - cubic feet per second

mg/l - milligram per liter

N/A - No Screening level available



Table 3.2-1: Groundwater Sampling Results – Analytical Results

	Human Health Ground Wa	iter Screen		Bicarbo AL SM: r	alinity, onate as KB-C 2320B mg/l	Carbo AL SM. r	alinity, onate as .KC-C 2320B mg/I N/A	A SM2 m	ty, Total LK 2320B ng/l	Carb HAF SM2 m		742 SW	9-90-5 6010C 1g/L 200	7440 SW µ	mony 0-36-0 6020 g/L .78	744 SW 1	senic 0-38-2 /6020 ig/L .052	7440 SW6 µ	rium 0-39-3 6010C g/L	744 ՏW	0-41-7 6010C ug/L 2.5
	Maximum Conta	minant Lev	Sample	I	N/A		N/A	ı ı	I/A T	IN	/A	I	N/A		<u> Б</u>		10	20	000		4
Location	Sample Date	Fraction	•	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
Deep Wells - East Limb Area			7,1	1	1	,	1	1		1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	
EL-01	29 Aug 2018	D	N	253		< 3	U	253		302		< 4	U	0.248		0.18	J	129		< 0.2	U
EL-01	29 Aug 2018	Т	N	260		< 3	U	260		310		97		0.221		0.25	J	151		< 0.2	U
MW-EL-02	18 Oct 2018	D	N	< 3	U	54		359		452		< 4	U	0.253		0.18	J	1120		< 0.2	U
MW-EL-02	18 Oct 2018	Т	N	< 3	U	32		610		627		28		0.243		0.39	J	1380		< 0.2	U
MW-EL-03	17 Oct 2018	D	N	249		< 3	U	249		352		< 4	U	0.533		18.8		56.2		< 0.2	U
MW-EL-03	17 Oct 2018	Т	N	248		< 3	U	248		345		7	J	0.538		25.0		56.9		< 0.2	U
Deep Wells - South 40 Area	·														•						
MW-S40-1	20 Oct 2018	D	N	361		< 3	U	361		533		< 4	U	0.147		0.75		61.1		< 0.2	U
MW-S40-1	20 Oct 2018	T		363		< 3	U	363		536		5	J	0.123		0.88		62.3		< 0.2	U
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	D	N	269		< 3	U	269		422		< 4	U	0.063		0.87		101		< 0.2	U
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	D	FD	266		< 3	U	266		421		20		0.070		0.86		100		< 0.2	U
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	1 -		267		< 3	U	267		423		< 4	U	0.080		0.81		102		< 0.2	U
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	1		268		< 3	U	268		422		< 4	U	0.094		0.89		101		< 0.2	U
MW-S40-3				162		3	J	165		166		< 4	U	0.127		1.16		107		< 0.2	U
MW-S40-3	19 Oct 2018	Т	N	161		3	J	164		160		< 4	U	0.148		1.46		98.8		< 0.2	U
Deep Wells - SPNL Area																					
MW-SPNL-1				215		< 3	U	215		233		< 4	U	0.191		0.67		96.1		< 0.2	U
MW-SPNL-1	22 Oct 2018	ļ		214		< 3	U	214		233		< 4	U	0.027	J	0.74		94.6		< 0.2	U
MW-SPNL-3				183		4	J	187		176		< 4	U	0.217		1.16		66.3		< 0.2	U
MW-SPNL-3	22 Oct 2018	T	N	181		3	J	184		176		6	J	0.175		1.10		65.9		< 0.2	U
QC Data - Blanks																					
Field Blank	22 00(20)0			6	J	< 3	U	6	J	0.054	J	< 4	U		U	< 0.08	U	< 0.6	U	< 0.2	U
Field Blank	22 Oct 2018	Τ	FB	6	J	< 3	U	6	J	0.094		< 4	U	< 0.020	U	< 0.08	U	< 0.6	U	< 0.2	U



Table 3.2-1: Groundwater Sampling Results – Analytical Results

					Boron	Cad	dmium	Ca	lcium	Chl	oride	Chr	omium	Chrom	nium (III)		avalent	Cobalt	(Copper
			Analy													_	omium			
			CAS		10-42-8		0-43-9		0-70-2		7-00-6		0-47-3		5-83-1		40-29-9	7440-48-4		140-50-8
		Ana	lysis Metho		V6020	SW	V6020	SW	6010C	E:	300	SW	6010C	DIFFERE	NCE CALC	E2	218.6	SW6020	SI	W6010C
			Unit	s	µg/L	۲	ıg/L	μ	ıg/L	m	ng/l	ŀ	ıg/L	μ	g/L	ŀ	ıg/L	μg/L		μg/L
	Human Health Ground Wa	ter Scre	ening Level	1	400	C).92	N	N/A	2	50		100	1	00	0	.035	0.6		80
	Maximum Conta	minant L	evel (MCL)	2	N/A		5	N	N/A	2	50		100	1	N/A		N/A	N/A	1300 (10	000 Secondary
			Sample																,	
Location	Sample Date	Fraction	n Type	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result Qualifi	er Result	Qualifier
Deep Wells - East Limb Area	<u> </u>				•	*	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		-	•	
EL-01	29 Aug 2018	D	N	180		< 0.02	J	63700				< 0.6	U	< 0.6	U	0.042		0.329	< 0.9	U
EL-01	29 Aug 2018	Т	N	184		< 0.02	J	66600		55		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	U	0.033		0.426	< 0.9	U
MW-EL-02	18 Oct 2018	D	N	11.2		0.014	J	181000				13.5		< 0.6	UJ	15.0	J	0.094	< 0.9	U
MW-EL-02	18 Oct 2018	T	N	12.6		0.019	J	247000		6.35		15.6		< 0.6	UJ	16.8	J	0.104	< 0.9	U
MW-EL-03	17 Oct 2018	D	N	30.1		0.073		91000				< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.381	J	0.624	< 0.9	U
MW-EL-03	17 Oct 2018	Т	N	31.3		0.059		87600		89.3		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	3.18	J	0.610	< 0.9	U
Deep Wells - South 40 Area																				
MW-S40-1	20 Oct 2018	D	N	46.5		0.083		121000				< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.030	J	1.31	4.7	
MW-S40-1	20 Oct 2018	Т	N	46.9		0.039		121000		143		1.5	J	1.5		0.013	J	1.21	7.2	
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	D	N	74.2		0.016	J	113000				< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.488	J	1.12	< 0.9	U
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	D	FD	75.4		0.012	J	113000				< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.470	J	1.10	< 0.9	U
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	Т	N	73.2		< 0.009	U	112000		155		5.4		4.9	J	0.454	J	1.22	< 0.9	U
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	Т	FD	72.9		0.012	J	113000		161		5.7		5.2	J	0.459	J	1.22	< 0.9	U
MW-S40-3	19 Oct 2018	D	N	20.3		0.015	J	33800				< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.054	J	0.237	< 0.9	U
MW-S40-3	19 Oct 2018	Т	N	21.6		0.020	J	31400		16.6		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.037	J	0.220	< 0.9	U
Deep Wells - SPNL Area																				
MW-SPNL-1		D	N	28.2		0.019	J	57600				1.8	J	< 0.6	UJ	2.32	J	0.287	< 0.9	U
MW-SPNL-1	22 Oct 2018	Т	N	28.8		< 0.009	U	58400		35.4		3.3	J	1.0	J	2.34	J	0.130	< 0.9	U
MW-SPNL-3	22 Oct 2018	D	N	33.5		0.019	J	34300				8.0	J	0.7	J	0.093	J	0.311	< 0.9	U
MW-SPNL-3	22 Oct 2018	Т	N	34.2		< 0.02	J	34700		28.2		< 0.6	U	< 0.6	UJ	0.037	J	0.195	1.7	J
QC Data - Blanks																				
Field Blank	22 00(20:0	D	FB	< 0.5	U	< 0.009	U	17	J			< 0.6	U	< 0.6	U	0.033		0.008 J	< 0.9	U
Field Blank	22 Oct 2018	T	FB	< 0.5	U	0.015	J	26		< 0.03	U	< 0.6	U	< 0.6	U	0.045		< 0.005 U	< 0.9	lυ



Table 3.2-1: Groundwater Sampling Results – Analytical Results

				Ir	on	L	_ead	Mag	nesium	Mang	anese	Me	ercury	Molyk	odenum	١	Nickel		en, Nitrate-		en, Total		рН
			Analyte																litrite	,	eldahl		
			CAS#		9-89-6	-	39-92-1	_	9-95-4		-96-5	_	9-97-6	_	9-98-7	I	40-02-0	_	3NO2N		ΚN		рН
		Analy	ysis Method		010C	_	V6020	_	6010C		010C	_	/7470	_	6010C		V6010C		353.2		/ D1426	_	/9040C
			Units	μί	g/L	١	µg/L	۲	ıg/L	μ	g/L	μ	ıg/L	μ	g/L		μg/L		mg/l	n	ng/l	p⊦	l units
	Human Health Ground W	later Scree	ning Level 1	3	00		15	1	V/A	4	13	0.	.063		10		39		N/A	1	N/A		5 - 9
	Maximum Cont	taminant Le	evel (MCL)2	300 (Se	condary)		15	1	N/A	50 (Sed	condary)		2	١	N/A		N/A		10	1	N/A	6.5-8.5 ((Secondary
			Sample	,						•													T
Location	Sample Date	Fraction	Type	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifie	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
Deep Wells - East Limb Area				•		•		•		•		•		•		•		•		•			
EL-01	29 Aug 2018	D	N	368		< 0.02	J	34700		289		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	1.1	J						
EL-01	29 Aug 2018	Т	N	5400		0.047		34800		323		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	1.3	J	5.34		2.18		8.01	J
MW-EL-02	18 Oct 2018	D	N	< 3	U	0.024		101		< 0.07	U	< 0.02	U	9.6	J	< 0.4	U						
MW-EL-02	18 Oct 2018	Т	N	3	J	0.044		2430		< 1.1	U	< 0.02	U	5.0		< 0.4	U	1.13		0.79		12.1	J
MW-EL-03	17 Oct 2018	D	N	669		0.013	J	30200		97.1		< 0.02	U	328		10.6							
MW-EL-03	17 Oct 2018	Т	N	924		0.010	J	30700		105		< 0.02	U	318		10.6		< 0.05	U	4.46		7.82	J
Deep Wells - South 40 Area																							
MW-S40-1	20 Oct 2018	D	N	124		0.007	J	56100		40.1		< 0.02	U	6.5		24.2							
MW-S40-1	20 Oct 2018	Т	N	363		0.014	J	56800		36.3		< 0.02	U	6.7		21.2		< 0.05	U	0.17	J	7.83	J
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	D	N	5	J	< 0.007	U	34000		7.7		0.03	J	< 4.2	U	43.9							
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	D	FD	7	J	0.010	J	33700		7.7		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	43.6							
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	Т	N	146		< 0.007	U	34700		9.3		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	48.5		0.498		0.22		7.85	J
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	Т	FD	140		0.008	J	34000		9.3		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	48.8		0.462		0.23		7.85	J
MW-S40-3	19 Oct 2018	D	N	8	J	0.008	J	19700		19.7		< 0.02	U	12.1		6.1							
MW-S40-3	19 Oct 2018	Т	N	56		0.011	J	19700		16.7		< 0.02	U	12.1		6.7		< 0.05	U	0.21		8.38	J
Deep Wells - SPNL Area																							
MW-SPNL-1	22 Oct 2018	D	N	3	J	0.021		21600		1.2		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	5.4							
MW-SPNL-1	22 Oct 2018	T	N	27		0.013	J	21100		1.3		< 0.02	U	< 4.2	U	5.6		0.414		0.26		7.94	J
MW-SPNL-3	22 Oct 2018	D	N	< 3	U	0.015	J	21900		35.1		< 0.02	U	17.6		8.2							
MW-SPNL-3	22 Oct 2018	T	N	123		0.025		21600		35.6		< 0.02	U	18.0		8.4		< 0.05	U	< 0.2	U	8.34	J
QC Data - Blanks																							
Field Blank	22 Oct 2018	D	FB	< 3	U	< 0.007	U	2.9	J	< 0.07	U	< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U						
Field Blank	22 Oct 2018	T	FB	< 3	U	< 0.007	U	7.1		< 0.07	U	< 0.02	U	< 0.5	U	< 0.4	U	0.033	J	0.19	J	5.76	J



Table 3.2-1: Groundwater Sampling Results – Analytical Results

				Phos	phate	Phos	phorus	Pota	assium	Sele	nium	Si	lver	Soc	dium	Sulfate	Th	allium		Dissolved		Organic
			Analyte																	olids		arbon
			CAS#	_	5-44-2		3-14-0		0-09-7	_	2-49-2		0-22-4		0-23-5	14808-79-8		0-28-0		DS		ГОС
		Analy	ysis Method		65.3	_	65.3	_	6010C	_	6020	_	6020		6010C	E300	_	V6020	_	2540C		5310C
			Units		ıg/l		ng/l	ŀ	ug/L	μ	g/L	μ	g/L		g/L	mg/l	 	ıg/L	r	ng/l		mg/l
	Human Health Ground W	ater Scree	ning Level 1	N	l/A	١	I/A		N/A	·	10	9	9.4	N	I/A	250	C	0.02		500		N/A
	Maximum Cont	aminant Le	evel (MCL) ²	N	l/A	١	I/A		N/A		50	1	00	N	I/A	N/A		2	1	N/A	I	N/A
			Sample																			
Location	Sample Date	Fraction	Type	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result Qualifi	er Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualif
eep Wells - East Limb Area								•		•				•			Ť		•		•	
L-01	29 Aug 2018	D	Ν	< 0.004	U	< 0.004	U	4200		176		< 0.02	U	64900			< 0.2	U				
L-01	29 Aug 2018	T	Ν	< 0.004	U	0.014		4160		178		< 0.02	U	64400		107	< 0.008	U	5010		1.84	
1W-EL-02	18 Oct 2018	D	Ν	< 0.004	U	< 0.004	U	8890		52.5		< 0.02	U	15700			0.116					
1W-EL-02	18 Oct 2018	T	Ν	< 0.004	U	0.004	J	9050		47.5		< 0.02	U	17400		41.2	0.119		721		2.29	
1W-EL-03	17 Oct 2018	D	Ν	0.005	J	0.006	J	2290		1.2		< 0.02	U	22000			< 0.02	U				
1W-EL-03	17 Oct 2018	T	Ν	0.004	J	0.009	J	2300		1.2		< 0.02	U	22700		73.2	< 0.02	U	459		1.43	
eep Wells - South 40 Area																						
1W-S40-1	20 Oct 2018	D	Ν	< 0.004	UJ	0.009	J	1950		5.9		< 0.002	U	28300			0.046					
1W-S40-1	20 Oct 2018	T	Ν	0.007	J	0.011		2000		3.1		< 0.002	U	28700		92	< 0.02	U	745		1.03	
1W-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	D	N	0.021	J	0.020		1700		3.2		< 0.002	U	52300			< 0.008	U				
1W-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	D	FD	0.027	J	0.020		1670		3.2		< 0.002	U	51700			< 0.008	U				
1W-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	Т	Ν	0.025	J	0.022		1780		3.2		< 0.002	U	53900		95	< 0.008	U	684		1.10	
1W-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	Т	FD	0.020	J	0.022		1730		3.1		< 0.002	U	52300		91	< 0.008	U	695		1.33	
1W-S40-3	19 Oct 2018	D	Ν	0.011	J	0.012		1050		0.7	J	< 0.002	U	8660			0.024					
1W-S40-3	19 Oct 2018	T	Ν	0.009	J	0.014		1150		0.7	J	< 0.002	U	10300		11.6	< 0.02	U	186		0.29	J
eep Wells - SPNL Area																						
1W-SPNL-1	22 Oct 2018	D	Ν	0.019		0.022		1460		8.7		< 0.02	U	21100			< 0.2	U				
IW-SPNL-1	22 Oct 2018	Т	Ν	0.018		0.021		1430		8.2		< 0.002	U	20100		30.6	< 0.02	U	312		0.39	J
W-SPNL-3	22 Oct 2018	D	N	< 0.004	U	0.006	J	1640		5.0		< 0.002	U	30600			0.062					
1W-SPNL-3	22 Oct 2018	Т	N	< 0.004	U	0.010	J	1580		4.7		< 0.002	U	30000		30.4	0.059		238		1.75	
C Data - Blanks	<u> </u>	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	
ield Blank	22 Oct 2018	D	FB	< 0.004	U	< 0.004	U	< 60	U	< 0.2	U	< 0.002	U	< 20	U		< 0.008	U				
ield Blank	22 Oct 2018	Т	FB	< 0.004	U	< 0.004	U	< 60	U	< 0.2	U	< 0.002	U	< 20	U	0.06 J	< 0.008	U	< 5.0	U	< 0.07	U



103-93418-16.001.900 January 2019

Table 3.2-1: Groundwater Sampling Results – Analytical Results

					spended	Ura	nium	Van	adium	Z	Zinc	Ī		ivity (gross	Ra
			Analyte		olids								alr	pha)	
			CAS#	Т	SS	7440	0-61-1	744	0-62-2	744	0-66-6		1258	37-46-1	
		Analy	sis Method	SM2	2540D	SW	6020	SW	6010C	SW	6010C		E'	900	
			Units	n	ng/l	μ	g/L	ŀ	ıg/L	1	ıg/L		p	ci/l	
	Human Health Ground Wa	ter Screer	ning Level ¹	١	I/A		6		8.6	6	600			15	
	Maximum Conta	minant Le	vel (MCL)2	N	I/A	;	30	ı	N/A	5000 (S	Secondary)			15	
			Sample									Ī			
Location	Sample Date	Fraction	Type	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier		Result	Qualifier	Re
Deep Wells - East Limb Area															
EL-01		D	N			0.730		0.6	J	< 0.4	U	_	< 2.2	U	3.2
EL-01	29 Aug 2018	T	N	12.5		0.792		< 0.5	U	< 4.2	U	_	< 1.7	U	2.8
MW-EL-02	18 Oct 2018	D	N			0.044		4.2		< 0.4	U	Ŀ	< 4.3	J	10
MW-EL-02	18 Oct 2018	T	N	37.0		0.372		4.2		1.4	J		< 5.3	U	8.2
MW-EL-03	17 Oct 2018	D	N			3.77		0.8	J	3.0	J		7.3		2.7
MW-EL-03	17 Oct 2018	T	N	< 5.0	U	3.62		0.7	J	3.4	J		5.7		3.8
Deep Wells - South 40 Area															
MW-S40-1	20 Oct 2018	D	N			5.05		1.1	J	15.6			11.2		4.4
MW-S40-1	20 Oct 2018	T	N	< 5.0	U	4.61		0.7	J	13.1			7.5		4.2
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	D	N			2.28		1.8	J	1.1	J	[5.6		4.4
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	D	FD			2.25		1.6	J	1.5	J		5.9		3.8
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	T	N	< 5.0	U	2.25		1.9	J	1.4	J	;	3.3		3.5
MW-S40-2	20 Oct 2018	T	FD	< 5.0	U	2.29		1.7	J	1.7	J		5		4.7
MW-S40-3	19 Oct 2018	D	N			2.18		< 0.5	U	0.5	J	[3.5		3.
MW-S40-3	19 Oct 2018	T	N	< 5.0	U	1.79		< 0.5	U	0.9	J	[3.7		3.2
Deep Wells - SPNL Area															
MW-SPNL-1	22 Oct 2018	D	N			1.50		4.5		1.2	J		2.8		3.2
MW-SPNL-1	22 Oct 2018	T	N	< 5.0	U	1.52		3.8	J	1.1	J	Γ.	< 1.9	U	1.8
MW-SPNL-3	22 Oct 2018	D	N			3.57		1.1	J	< 4.2	U	Ī	N/S		N/
MW-SPNL-3	22 Oct 2018	T	N	< 5.0	U	3.75		0.9	J	< 4.2	U	7	4.5		3.5
QC Data - Blanks	<u> </u>											_			
Field Blank	22 Oct 2018	D	FB			< 0.005	U	< 0.5	U	0.6	J	-	< 1.28	U	< '
Field Blank	22 Oct 2018	T	FB	< 5.0	U	< 0.005	U	< 0.5	U	0.9	J	[-	< 1.09	U	< '
												_			

	ivity (gross			Radi	um-226	Radi	um-228
	pha)		eta)				
125	87-46-1	1258	37-47-2	1398	32-63-3	1526	52-20-1
E	900	E	900	ES	903.1	E	904.0
	oci/l	ŗ	oci/l	ŗ	oci/l	1	oci/l
	15		4		5		5
	15		4		5		5
Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier	Result	Qualifier
			1	1	1	_	1
< 2.2	U	3.2		0.11		0.18	
< 1.7	U	2.8		0.19		0.09	
< 4.3	J	10	J	1.63		0.99	
< 5.3	U	8.2	J	2.13		1.06	J
7.3		2.7		3.18		1.13	
5.7		3.8		2.68		1.03	
11.2		4.4		0.53		< 0.93	Ιυ
7.5		4.4		0.66		< 1.07	U
	_	4.4		0.66	-		U
5.6 5.9						< 0.96	U
		3.8		1.03		< 0.95	_
3.3		3.5		1.01		< 1.12	U
5		4.7		0.82		< 0.9	U
3.5		3.1		0.53		< 1.26	U
3.7		3.2		0.51		< 1.15	U
2.8		3.2		0.64		< 0.93	lυ
< 1.9	U	1.81		0.45		< 0.85	U
N/S		N/S		N/S		N/S	
4.5		3.5		0.31		< 0.9	U
< 1.28	U	< 1.59	U	< 0.186	U	< 1	U
< 1.09	U	< 1.58	U	< 0.194	U	< 1.01	U
Notes:							

Value is above human heal h screening level

1. Human health ground water screening levels

- 2. Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) provided for reference only
- < Result is not detected above the method detection limit (MDL)
- D Dissolved Fraction
- FB Field Blank
- FD Field Duplicate
- J Result is estimated
- J- Result is estimated with a low bias
- J+ Result is estimated with a high bias
- mg/l milligram per liter
- N Normal Environmental Sample
- N/A No Screening level available N/S - Sample not collected
- pci/l picocuries per liter
- S40 Sou h 40
- T -Total Fraction
- U Result is not detected above the MDL
- μg/L microgram per liter
- UJ Result is estimated and not detected above the MDL

Table 3.2-2: Groundwater Sampling Results – Field Parameters

				Dissolved	Oxidation-			
			Conductivity	Oxygen	Reduction Potential	рН	Temperature	Turbidity
		Units	μS/cm	mg/l	millivolts	pH units	°C	ntu
		Ecological Screening Level	400	N/A	N/A	6.5 - 9	N/A	N/A
		Human Health Screening Level	N/A	N/A	N/A	5 - 9	N/A	N/A
Location	Location Description	Sample Date	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
EL-1	East Limb Area	29 August 2018	597	2.87	-62.0	7.59	11.4	12.2
EL-2	East Limb Area	18 October 2018	2279	7.27	172.0	12.25	9.2	2.36
EL-3	East Limb Area	17 October 2018	847	0.61	-99.9	7.28	10.3	1.41
S40-1	South 40 Area	20 October 2018	858	0.60	34.7	7.09	9.7	1.34
S40-2	South 40 Area	20 October 2018	794	13.48	240.1	7.27	8.9	1.64
S40-3	South 40 Area	19 October 2018	257	0.74	212.3	8.24	10.7	1.65
SPNL-1	Headquarters Area	22 October 2018	368	1.87	185.5	8.03	11.5	0.99
SPNL-3	Headquarters Area	22 October 2018	370	0.81	241.0	8.03	11.7	1.70

Notes:

5.9	Value is above the Human Health screening level
335	Value is above the Ecological screening level (or below the lower range for pH)
335	Value is above both screening levels

1. Ecological and human health screening levels, minimum of sources previously presented

mg/l - milligram per liter

ntu - nephelometric turbidity unit

μS/cm - microSiemens per centimeter



DRAFT

Figures















